

Examples of material solutions in bimetallic layered castings

T. Wróbel^{a,*}, M. Cholewa^a, S. Tenerowicz^b

^aSilesian University of Technology, Foundry Department, Towarowa 7, 44-100 Gliwice, PL

^bExperimental-Implementation Foundry Division, Towarowa 7, 44-100 Gliwice, PL

*Corresponding author. E-mail : tomasz.wrobel@polsl.pl

Received 31-03-2011; accepted in revised form 04-04-2011

Abstract

In paper is presented technology of bimetallic layered castings based on founding method of layer coating directly in cast process so-called method of mould cavity preparation. Prepared castings consist two fundamental parts i.e. bearing part and working part (layer). The bearing part of bimetallic layered casting is typical foundry material i.e. pearlitic grey cast iron, whereas working part (layer) is depending on accepted variant plates of alloy steels sort X6Cr13, X12Cr13, X10CrNi18-8 and X2CrNiMoN22-5-3. The ratio of thickness between bearing and working part is 8:1. The verification of the bimetallic layered castings was evaluated on the basis of ultrasonic NDT (non-destructive testing), structure and macro- and microhardness researches.

Keywords: Bimetal, Cast Iron, Steel, Austenite, Ferrite, Pearlite

1. Introduction

In the engineering industry noticeable is a growing demand for castings with special properties such as abrasive wear resistance, corrosion resistance at room or elevated temperature. Elements of this type often carried out entirely from expensive and hard to reach materials like of Ni, Co, Ti, or others. In many cases the requirements for high performance properties affect only the working surface of the casting. Especially if wear of an element leads to its destruction through exceeded the allowable main dimension decrease.

Among many methods for producing metallic coatings on materials for specific performance properties to be mentioned is founding technology so-called method of mould cavity preparation in which the element which is the working surface layer of the casting is placed in mould in form of monolithic or granular insert (Fig. 1) directly before pouring molten metal [1÷5]. This technology is the most economical way of enrichment the surface of castings, as it allows the production of layer elements directly in the process of cast. Therefore, this technology

can provide significant competition for the commonly used technologies of surfacing by welding and thermal spraying [6÷8], because in addition to economic advantages do not generate opportunities for the development of cracks in the heat affected zone, which arises as a result of making layer by welding method.




Fig. 1. View of mould cavity with granular insert – 1 [9]

The idea of the proposed technology of layered casting was taken from the relevant mining industry method of manufacture of composite surface layers based on granular inserts from Fe-Cr-C alloy and placed in mould directly before pouring molten metal. Obtained in this way working surface layers have a high hardness and metal-mineral wear resistance [1, 3 and 9].

Moreover in literature are present data about layered castings made on the basis of monolithic inserts, for example from carbon steel poured by liquid chromium cast iron [4] or from grey cast iron dipping into liquid hypoeutectic Al-Si alloy [10÷12].

2. Range of studies

In range of studies were made bimetallic layered castings, which consist two fundamental parts i.e. bearing part and working part (layer) (Fig. 2).




Fig. 2. Scheme of bimetallic layered casting

The bearing part of bimetallic layered casting is typical foundry material i.e. pearlitic grey cast iron, whereas working part (layer) is depending on accepted variant plates of alloy steels sort X6Cr13, X12Cr13, X10CrNi18-8 and X2CrNiMoN22-5-3. In addition to its high corrosion resistance, some of these steels are also high heat resistance and/or hardness (wear resistance) (Fig. 3).

In aim of making a test bimetallic layered castings with dimensions 125 x 105 x 45mm, in sand mould with no preheating were placed plates of alloy steels (Fig. 4), which then were poured by liquid grey cast iron from pouring temperature $T_{zal} = 1450^{\circ}\text{C}$.

On the basis of results of previous studies [13 and 14] were used steel plates with thickness 5mm, which surfaces staying in direct contact with liquid metal were covered by activator, which favours the formation of a permanent joint between both materials of layered casting. Obtained in this way the ratio of thickness between bearing and working part about 8:1.

The quality of the bimetallic layered casting was evaluated on the basis of ultrasonic NDT (non-destructive testing) made using the DIO 562 flaw detector by STARMANS ELEKTRONICS. Next metallographic examination of macro- and microscopic was carried out. Metallographic specimens etched in the reagent Mi19Fe containing [15]: 3g of ferric chloride, 10 cm³ hydrochloric acid and 90 cm³ ethanol. Moreover measurements of macro- and microhardness were made using appropriately MIC2 Krautkramer-Branson's and FM 700's Future-Tech.




Fig. 3. Hardness of alloy steels plates applied to working part (layer) of bimetallic layered castings




Fig. 4. Cross-section of sand mould with plate of alloy steel (1) placed in its cavity

3. Results of studies

On the basis of non-destructive ultrasonic testing it was found that on entire contact surface of both materials in bimetallic layered castings (head placed on the side of the metal sheet) the bottom echo was larger than the echo of the transition zone, which indicates a good diffusion joint between working part (layer) and bearing part.

These results were confirmed by macroscopic visual quality assessment made on selected sections of test bimetallic layered castings. Example view of cross-section of test bimetallic layered casting is presented on Figure 5.

Whereas on Figures 6÷9 are presented microstructure of obtained bimetallic layered castings. As a result of C diffusion phenomena in the direction from cast iron to steel plate and to much lesser range Cr in the opposite direction, transition zone has been formed at the junction of cast iron - steel. Shaped transition zone, structurally different from the cast iron and used steel plates, has the character of diffusion which determining the high quality of joint between both bimetallic components.




Fig. 5. View of example cross-section of bimetallic layered casting in configuration bearing part from grey cast iron – working (layer) part from alloy steel (5mm thick plate)

Moreover, the formation of microstructure of transition zone and adjacent areas is affected by the heating temperature of steel, whose source is the liquid cast iron poured into the mould. For the pouring temperature 1450°C of cast iron, the contact temperature T_s on the border of liquid metal - steel plate, fixed on the basis of dependence [16]:

$$T_s = \frac{\sqrt{\lambda_n \cdot c_n \cdot \rho_n \cdot T_n} + \sqrt{\lambda_r \cdot c_r \cdot \rho_r \cdot T_r}}{\sqrt{\lambda_n \cdot c_n \cdot \rho_n} + \sqrt{\lambda_r \cdot c_r \cdot \rho_r}} \quad (1)$$

where:

λ_n, λ_r – coefficient of thermal conductivity, suitably for the liquid cast iron (bearing part of casting) and steel plate (working part of casting), W/(m·K),

c_n, c_r – specific heat, suitably for the liquid cast iron (bearing part of casting) and steel plate (working part of casting), J/(kg·K),

ρ_n, ρ_r – mass density, suitably for the liquid cast iron (bearing part of casting) and steel plate (working part of casting), kg/m³,

T_n – temperature of the liquid cast iron, °C,

T_r – temperature of steel plate (working part of casting), °C,

is suitably for plate of alloy steel sort X6Cr13 and X12Cr13 about 870°, for plate of alloy steel sort X10CrNi18-8 about 950°C and for plate of alloy steel sort X2CrNiMoN22-5-3 about 940°C.

In case of bimetallic layered casting in configuration bearing part from pearlitic grey cast iron – working (layer) part from alloy steel plate sort X6Cr13 (Fig. 6), in surface layer of this type of casting a single-phase ferritic microstructure was obtained (zone no. 1). In result of carbon diffusion in the direction from cast iron to steel in the outer area of plate (zone no. 2), the concentrations of this element increases above 0,1%, which combined with the high heating temperature of this area leads to the microstructure of the solid solution γ , which during cooling of the casting with a small rate, transforms in martensite. The ratio of martensite of hardness 365 μ HV to the amount of ferrite increases in direction to joint border of bimetal. In the last zone (no. 3) on the side of steel plate, as evidenced by preserved the original orientation of crystal grains, as a result of intensive carburizing from cast iron, martensite of hardness 410 μ HV occurs with iron and chromium carbides of hardness 900 μ HV. The first zone (no. 4) on the side of cast iron creates pearlite of hardness 300 μ HV. This zone is

connected with the previous, no. 3 by non-linear border, which guarantees high quality of joint between both bimetallic components. The presence of pearlite results from the impoverishment of this zone in the carbon, which precludes creating of typical for cast iron high-carbon phases i.e. graphite or cementite. Then, in zone no. 5, as a result of high-speed solidification of molten metal at a concentration of carbon proper for cast iron, hard spot areas are present. The last zone no. 6 consists of a typical microstructure for the grey cast iron poured into moulds, such as flake graphite in a pearlitic matrix of hardness 270 μ HV.

In case of bimetallic layered casting in configuration bearing part from pearlitic grey cast iron – working (layer) part from alloy steel plate sort X12Cr13 (Fig. 7), in surface layer of this type of casting was obtained microstructure with dominant amount of martensite (zone no. 1). Next in result of carbonizing and heating of the outer area of plate whose source is the liquid cast iron poured into the mould, in zone no. 2 was obtained microstructure of hardness 650 μ HV contains martensite with iron and chromium carbides. Amount of carbides increases in direction to joint border of bimetal (zone no. 3). Similarly to previous variant the first zone (no. 4) on the side of cast iron creates pearlite. This zone is connected with former no. 3 by non-linear border too, which guarantees high quality of joint between both bimetallic components. In result of slower cooling rate of casting, than in previous variant, is present fluent transition from pearlitic zone no. 4 to zone no. 5 i.e. flake graphite in pearlitic matrix, without presence of hard spot areas.

In case of bimetallic layered casting in configuration bearing part from pearlitic grey cast iron – working (layer) part from alloy steel plate sort X10CrNi18-8 (Fig. 8), in surface layer of this type of casting a single-phase austenitic microstructure was obtained (zone no. 1). In result of heating the outer area of steel plate from molten cast iron to a temperature above 900°C, in this area (zone no. 2) followed by carbon interstitial diffusion in austenite, which migrates from the whole volume of γ phase to the grain boundaries and in connection with chromium vacancy diffusion from near border grains areas, Cr₂₃C₆ chromium carbides are formed. In addition besides presence of this type of carbides on the borders, they occur in the central areas of austenite grains. The presence of carbides Cr₂₃C₆ result in a decrease of corrosion resistance in this area, as illustrated by the effects of etching (corrosion pits) metallographic specimens appear explicitly in those areas. Next, zone no. 3 is characterized by a microstructure of hardness 550 μ HV consisting of austenite and ferrite with small amount of iron and chromium carbides. High quality of both materials joint in this type of bimetallic layered casting provides the diffuse nature, which illustrates the phenomenon of penetration in the transition zone (zone no. 3) of martensite strips of hardness 400 μ HV, which were formed in the impoverished in carbon cast iron layer (zone no. 4). Furthermore, it was found that depending on local cooling rate in zone no 4, in place of martensite, pearlite occurs. Then, in zone no. 5, as a result of high-speed solidification of molten metal at a concentration of carbon proper for cast iron, hard spot area are present. The last zone no. 6 consists of a typical microstructure for the grey cast iron poured into molds, such as flake graphite in a pearlitic matrix.




Fig. 6. Microstructure of bimetallic layered casting in configuration: bearing part from pearlitic grey cast iron – working part (layer) from alloy steel plate sort X6Cr13 – etching Mi19Fe




Fig. 7. Microstructure of bimetallic layered casting in configuration: bearing part from pearlitic grey cast iron – working part (layer) from alloy steel plate sort X12Cr13 – etching Mi19Fe




Fig. 8. Microstructure of bimetallic layered casting in configuration: bearing part from pearlitic grey cast iron – working part (layer) from alloy steel plate sort X10CrNi18-8 – etching Mi19Fe




Fig. 9. Microstructure of bimetallic layered casting in configuration: bearing part from pearlitic grey cast iron – working part (layer) from alloy steel plate sort X2CrNiMoN22-5-3 – etching Mi19Fe

In case of bimetallic layered casting in configuration bearing part from pearlitic grey cast iron – working (layer) part from alloy steel plate sort X2CrNiMoN22-5-3 (Fig. 9), in surface layer of this type of casting was obtained diphase (duplex) ferritic-austenitic microstructure (zone no. 1). In result of zone no. 2 heating to high temperature in this area was obtained coarse-grained austenite. Whereas in result of carbonizing and heating of the outer area of plate whose source is the liquid cast iron poured into the mould, in zone no. 3 was obtained martensitic microstructure of hardness 400 μ HV. In next zones besides martensite are present large amount of iron and chromium carbides, which guarantee increase of hardness from 550 μ HV (zone no. 4) to about 1000 μ HV (zone no 5). Similarly to previous variants the first zone (no. 6) on the side of cast iron creates pearlite. In result of slower cooling rate of casting, than in previous third variant, is present fluent transition from pearlitic zone no. 5 to zone no. 6 i.e. flake graphite in pearlitic matrix, without presence of hard spot areas.

4. Summary

Founding technology based on mould cavity preparation method allows to obtain a bimetallic layered castings in configuration: bearing part from pearlitic grey cast iron – working part (layer) from alloy steel sort high chromium ferritic or martensitic, chromium-nickel austenitic or duplex ferritic-austenitic, free from defects especially in the sensitive area of a joint of both materials. Obtained permanent joint between steel plate and grey cast iron is characterized with diffusion, which is determined primarily by diffusion of carbon in the direction from cast iron to steel.

Prepared bimetallic layered castings according to work out technology can work in conditions, which require from working surface layer of element a high heat resistance and/or corrosion resistance in medium for example of industrial water. Moreover in case of application on working part (layer) the martensitic high chromium or austenitic chromium-nickel steels is possible to obtain high abrasive wear resistance. In case of chromium-nickel steel this is result of increase in hardness of austenite from about 200 HV to about 400 HV. This increase of hardness following in operating conditions results from induced of plastic deformation martensitic transformation $\gamma \rightarrow \alpha'$ [13 and 17].

Acknowledgements

Scientific project financed from means of budget on science in years 2010 - 2012 as research project N N508 585039.

References

- [1] J. Marcinkowska, B. Kuszniur: Cast coatings on machine elements, Proceedings Materiale of Scientific Conference “Cast Form of Machine Elements”, Olsztyn (1979), 1-11 (in Polish).
- [2] T. Heijkoop, I. Sare: Cast-bonding – a new process for manufacturing composite wear products, *Cast Metals*, 3 (1989), 160-168.
- [3] J. Gawroński, J. Szajnar, P. Wróbel: Study on theoretical bases of receiving composite alloy layers on surface of cast steel castings, *Journal of Materials Processing Technology*, 157–158 (2004), 679-682.
- [4] D. Bartocha, J. Suchoń, S. Jura: Layer castings, *Solidification of Metals and Alloys*, 38 (1998), 151-156 (in Polish).
- [5] M. Cholewa, S. Tenerowicz, T. Wróbel: Quality of the joint between cast steel and cast iron in bimetallic castings, *Archives of Foundry Engineering*, 3 (2008), 37-40.
- [6] A. Klimpel: Surfacing and thermal spraying. Technologies, WNT, Warsaw (2000) (in Polish).
- [7] A. Klimpel, L.A. Dobrzański, A. Lisiecki, D. Janicki: The study of the technology of laser and plasma surfacing of engine valves face made of X40CrSiMo10-2 steel using cobalt-based powders, *Journal of Materials Processing Technology*, 175 (2006), 251-256.
- [8] W. Xibao, W. Xiaofeng, S. Zhongquan: The composite Fe–Ti–B–C coatings by PTA powder surfacing process, *Surface and Coatings Technology*, 192 (2005), 257-262.
- [9] J. Szajnar, P. Wróbel, T. Wróbel: Investigation of thermal processes during test operation of ingot mould with composite surface layer, *Archives of Foundry Engineering, Special Issue 3* (2008), 163-166.
- [10] J. Viala, M. Peronnet, F. Barbeau, F. Bosselet, J. Bouix: Interface chemistry in aluminium alloy castings reinforced with iron base inserts, *Composites: Part A*, 33 (2002), 1417-1420.
- [11] S. Pietrowski, T. Szymczak: Model of the aluminising coating crystallization on iron alloys, *Archives of Foundry Engineering*, 3 (2007), 123-128.
- [12] S. Pietrowski: Structure of aluminising layer on the gray cast iron, *Archives of Foundry*, 11 (2004), 95-104.
- [13] J. Szajnar, M. Cholewa, S. Tenerowicz, T. Wróbel: Enrichment of surface of low-alloyed cast iron with use of austenite layer, *Archives of Foundry Engineering*, 1 (2010), 187-190.
- [14] M. Cholewa, T. Wróbel, S. Tenerowicz: Bimetallic layer castings, *Journal of Achievements in Materials and Manufacturing Engineering*, 43/1 (2010), 385-392.
- [15] K. Sękowski, J. Piaskowski, Z. Wojtowicz: Atlas of structures of founding alloys, WNT, Warszawa 1972, (in Polish).
- [16] J. Taler., P. Duda: Solving direct and inverse heat conduction problems, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (2006).
- [17] J. Adamczyk: Engineering of metallic materials, Publishers of Silesian University of Technology, Gliwice 2004, (in Polish).