

Viktor KULYK¹, Dariusz PĄCZKO²

¹Institute of Mathematics
Silesian University of Technology

²Institute of Mathematics and Physics
Opole University of Technology

CERTAIN METHODS OF COMPLEMENTATION OF LINEAR EXTENSIONS OF DYNAMIC SYSTEMS TO REGULAR SYSTEMS

Summary. The paper presents a method of transformation of two weakly regular systems into one regular system. The method has been generalised to any number of weakly regular systems.

PEWNE METODY DOPEŁNIENIA LINIOWYCH ROZSZERZEŃ UKŁADÓW DYNAMICZNYCH DO UKŁADÓW REGULARNYCH

Streszczenie. W artykule przedstawiono metodę doprowadzenia dwóch układów słabo regularnych do jednego układu regularnego. Metoda została uogólniona na dowolną liczbę układów słabo regularnych.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classification: 34D10, 34D35, 37L25.

Keywords: dynamical system, regular system.

Corresponding author: D. Pączko (d.paczko@po.opole.pl).

Received: 14.07.2014.

1. Introduction

It is well known that examination of existence of invariant manifolds of dynamic systems is related to existence of the Green's function for the linearised system, i.e. for a linear extension of a dynamical system (see [1, 5, 6]). More precisely, if a linear extension has one Green's function, i.e. the system is regular, then the invariant manifold for a heterogeneous extension of the dynamical system can be expressed in an explicit integral form. This makes it possible to examine the smoothness of the invariant manifold. Deep research in this direction can be found in [2, 7–9]. Book [3] shows that regularity of a linear extension of a dynamic system having the form of

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dx}{dt} = a(x), & x \in \mathbb{R}^m, \\ \frac{dy}{dt} = A(x)y, & y \in \mathbb{R}^n, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

is equivalent to the existence of a certain non-degenerated quadratic form whose derivative, with respect to the tested system, is positive definite. Namely, we have the following theorem:

Theorem 1. *Let there be a quadratic form*

$$W = \langle S(x)y, y \rangle, \quad y \in \mathbb{R}^n, \quad (2)$$

associated with symmetric matrix $S(x) \in C^1(\mathbb{R}^m)$, whose derivative with respect to the system of equations

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dx}{dt} = a(x), \\ \frac{dy}{dt} = -A^T(x)y, \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

is positive definite; thus

$$\dot{W} = \left\langle \left[\dot{S}(x) - S(x)A^T(x) - A(x)S(x) \right] y, y \right\rangle \geq \|y\|^2, \quad (4)$$

then system (1) will be weakly regular. If in addition we assume that

$$\det S(x) \neq 0 \quad \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^m, \quad (5)$$

then system (1) will be regular.

If a homogeneous linear extension has many different Green's functions, which is a strictly weakly regular system, then examination of smoothness of the invariant manifolds is rather difficult. Therefore, monograph [3] proposes complementing the linear extension to the form of a triangular regular system allowing it to obtain the Green's function for the initial linear extension as an n -dimensional block in a $2n$ -dimensional Green's function. This result is formulated in the following theorem:

Theorem 2. *Let system (1) be weakly regular; then the extended system*

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dx}{dt} = a(x), \\ \frac{dy}{dt} = A(x)y, \\ \frac{dz}{dt} = y - A^T(x)z, \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

is regular. Whereby the derivative of non-degenerated quadratic form

$$V_p = p\langle y, z \rangle + \langle S(x)z, z \rangle,$$

with respect to the system (6) is positive definite for sufficiently large values of parameter p .

It turned out that the theorem remains true even for the system

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dx}{dt} = a(x), \\ \frac{dy}{dt} = A(x)y, \\ \frac{dz}{dt} = B(x)y - A^T(x)z, \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

where matrix $B(x)$ is any positive definite matrix (or even negative definite). Theorem 2 can be further generalised to a wider class of systems having the form

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dx}{dt} = a(x), \\ \frac{dy}{dt} = A(x)y + B_2(x)z, \\ \frac{dz}{dt} = B_1(x)y - A^T(x)z, \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

where $B_1(x)$, $B_2(x)$ are positive definite matrices. Then the derivative of the quadratic form $V = \langle y, z \rangle$ with respect to this system is positive definite, which means that the system is regular.

At this point a new question arises. If we fix the quadratic form $V = \langle y, z \rangle$, what conditions would have to be met by the matrices of the system

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dx}{dt} = a(x), \\ \frac{dy}{dt} = A_{11}(x)y + A_{12}(x)z, \\ \frac{dz}{dt} = A_{21}(x)y + A_{22}(x)z, \end{cases} \quad (9)$$

in order for the derivative of this form with respect to this system to be positive definite, i.e. for the system to be regular. Of course, after the previous considerations, the solution to this problem seems to be trivial. It is sufficient that the following condition be satisfied: $A_{11} = -A_{22}^T$, and the matrices A_{12} and A_{21} be positive definite. However, the problem remains unsolved and becomes the starting point for a more thorough analysis of the issue of complementation of weakly regular linear extensions of dynamical systems to regular ones that have the only the Green's function (see [4]). This work aims to present the results obtained in this direction.

2. Main results

Previous studies have focused the complementation of one weakly regular system to a regular one. Currently, based on weak regularity of two systems, we will construct one regular system. Consider two systems of differential equations

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dx}{dt} = \omega(x), \\ \frac{dy}{dt} = A_1(x)y, \end{cases} \quad \begin{cases} \frac{dx}{dt} = \omega(x), \\ \frac{dy}{dt} = A_2(x)y, \end{cases} \quad (10)$$

where $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$, $\omega(x) \in C_{Lip}(\mathbb{R}^m)$, $A_i(x) \in C^0(\mathbb{R}^m)$. The designations come from reference work [3].

The following statement is true.

Theorem 3. *If systems (10) are weakly regular, then the system*

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dx}{dt} = \omega(x), \\ \frac{dz_1}{dt} = [A_2(x) + \frac{1}{2}(A_1(x) + A_1^T(x)) - I_n]z_1 + [A_2^T(x) + A_1(x)]z_2, \\ \frac{dz_2}{dt} = [-A_2(x) + \frac{1}{2}(A_1(x) - A_1^T(x)) + I_n]z_1 - A_2^T(x)z_2, \\ \frac{dz_3}{dt} = [A_2(x) + \frac{1}{2}(A_1^T(x) - A_1(x)) + I_n]z_1 - [A_1(x) + A_2^T(x)]z_2 - A_1^T(x)z_3, \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

where $z_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$, $\omega(x) \in C_{Lip}(\mathbb{R}^m)$, $A_i(x) \in C^0(\mathbb{R}^m)$, is regular, i.e. has exactly one $3n \times 3n$ dimensional Green function.

Also, the derivative of the quadratic form

$$V_p = p^2\{\langle z_1, z_2 \rangle + \langle z_1, z_3 \rangle + \langle z_2, z_3 \rangle\} + p\langle S_2(x)z_2, z_2 \rangle + \langle S_1(x)z_3, z_3 \rangle, \quad (12)$$

with respect to system (11) for sufficiently large values of $p \gg 1$ is positive definite.

Proof. Because of the weak regularity of systems (10) there exist symmetric matrices $S_i(x) \in C'(\mathbb{R}^m, \omega)$, $i = 1, 2$, satisfying the inequality

$$\left\langle [\dot{S}_i(x) - S_i(x)A_i^T(x) - A_i(x)S_i(x)]z, z \right\rangle \geq \|z\|^2, \quad (13)$$

whereby $S_i(x)$ may be a degenerated matrix.

Let

$$V_p = p^2\{\langle z_1, z_2 \rangle + \langle z_1, z_3 \rangle + \langle z_2, z_3 \rangle\} + p\langle S_2(x)z_2, z_2 \rangle + \langle S_1(x)z_3, z_3 \rangle,$$

be a quadratic form with a parameter $p > 0$.

We will show that the derivative of this form with respect to system (11) for sufficiently large values of the parameter $p > 0$, is positive definite.

Let us denote

$$v = \langle z_1, z_2 \rangle + \langle z_1, z_3 \rangle + \langle z_2, z_3 \rangle. \quad (14)$$

By calculating the derivative of the form v with respect to system (11) we obtain

$$\dot{v} = 2\langle Iz_1, z_1 \rangle.$$

Assuming that

$$w = p\langle S_2(x)z_2, z_2 \rangle + \langle S_1(x)z_3, z_3 \rangle, \quad (15)$$

the derivative of this form with respect to system (11) is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{w} = p \left\{ \langle \dot{S}_2 z_2, z_2 \rangle - \langle S_2 A_2^T z_2, z_2 \rangle - \langle A_2 S_2 z_2, z_2 \rangle \right\} + \\ + 2p \langle S_2 [-A_2 + \frac{1}{2}(A_1 - A_1^T) + I] z_1, z_2 \rangle + \langle \dot{S}_1 z_3, z_3 \rangle - \langle S_1 A_1^T z_3, z_3 \rangle - \\ - \langle A_1 S_1 z_3, z_3 \rangle + 2 \langle S_1 [A_2 + \frac{1}{2}(A_1^T - A_1) + I] z_1, z_3 \rangle - 2 \langle S_1 [A_1 + A_2^T] z_2, z_3 \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\begin{aligned} K_1 &= \|S_2 [-A_2 + \frac{1}{2}(A_1 - A_1^T) + I]\|_0, \\ K_2 &= \|S_1 [A_2 + \frac{1}{2}(A_1^T - A_1) + I]\|_0, \\ K_3 &= \|S_1 [A_1 + A_2^T]\|_0. \end{aligned}$$

Using inequality (13), we obtain

$$\dot{w} \geq p \|z_2\|^2 + \|z_3\|^2 - 2pK_1 \|z_1\| \|z_2\| - 2K_2 \|z_1\| \|z_3\| - 2K_3 \|z_2\| \|z_3\|.$$

Since $\dot{V}_p = p^2 \dot{v} + \dot{w}$, the estimate of the formula is true

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{V}_p \geq 2p^2 \|z_1\|^2 + p \|z_2\|^2 + \|z_3\|^2 - 2pK_1 \|z_1\| \|z_2\| - \\ - 2K_2 \|z_1\| \|z_3\| - 2K_3 \|z_2\| \|z_3\|. \quad (16) \end{aligned}$$

Consider the right hand-side of inequality (16) as a quadratic form Φ of three variables t_1, t_2, t_3 :

$$\Phi(t_1, t_2, t_3) = 2p^2 t_1^2 + p t_2^2 + t_3^2 - 2pK_1 t_1 t_2 - 2K_2 t_1 t_3 - 2K_3 t_2 t_3,$$

which corresponds to the following matrix

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} 2p^2 & -pK_1 & -K_2 \\ -pK_1 & p & -K_3 \\ -K_2 & -K_3 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is obvious that for sufficiently large values of the parameter $p > 0$ matrix T is positive definite, and thus the derivative of the quadratic form V_p with respect to system (11) is positive definite for sufficiently large values of parameter $p > 0$.

Now we will prove that quadratic form (12) is positive definite for $p \gg 0$. Let us write the matrix of quadratic form (12) as follows

$$S_p = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{1}{2}p^2 I_n & \frac{1}{2}p^2 I_n \\ \frac{1}{2}p^2 I_n & pS_2(x) & \frac{1}{2}p^2 I_n \\ \frac{1}{2}p^2 I_n & \frac{1}{2}p^2 I_n & S_1(x) \end{pmatrix}. \quad (17)$$

The matrix S_p can be expressed in the following form

$$S_p = p^2 J + p\bar{S}_2(x) + \bar{S}_1(x),$$

where

$$J = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & I_n & I_n \\ I_n & 0 & I_n \\ I_n & I_n & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\bar{S}_1(x) = \text{diag}(0, 0, S_1(x)),$$

$$\bar{S}_2(x) = \text{diag}(0, S_2(x), 0).$$

We will show that matrix S_p^2 for sufficiently large values of parameter p is positive definite.

Because $S_p^2 = p^4 J^2 + p^3 (J\bar{S}_2(x) + \bar{S}_2(x)J) + p^2 (J\bar{S}_1(x) + \bar{S}_2^2(x) + \bar{S}_1(x)J) + \bar{S}_1^2(x)$, then assuming $u = [u_1, u_2, u_3]$, $u_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \langle S_p^2 u, u \rangle &= p^4 \langle J^2 u, u \rangle + p^3 \langle [J\bar{S}_2(x) + \bar{S}_2(x)J] u, u \rangle + \\ &\quad + p^2 \langle [J\bar{S}_1(x) + \bar{S}_2^2(x) + \bar{S}_1(x)J] u, u \rangle + \langle \bar{S}_1^2(x) u, u \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Let us estimate each component of $\langle S_p^2 u, u \rangle$; thus

$$\begin{aligned} \langle J^2 u, u \rangle &\geq \frac{1}{4} (\|z_1 + z_2 + z_3\|^2 + \|z_1\|^2 + \|z_2\|^2 + \|z_3\|^2) \geq \frac{1}{4} \|u\|^2, \\ \langle [J\bar{S}_2(x) + \bar{S}_2(x)J] u, u \rangle &\geq -M_2 \|u\|^2, \\ \langle [J\bar{S}_1(x) + \bar{S}_2^2(x) + \bar{S}_1(x)J] u, u \rangle &\geq -M_1 \|u\|^2, \\ \langle \bar{S}_1^2(x) u, u \rangle &\geq -M_0 \|u\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

where $M_i = \text{const} > 0$. Therefore, we obtain the estimate:

$$\langle S_p^2 u, u \rangle \geq \left(\frac{1}{4} p^4 - p^3 M_2 - p^2 M_1 - M_0 \right) \|u\|^2.$$

It follows that for sufficiently large values of parameter $p > 0$ matrix S_p^2 is positive definite, and hence $\det S_p^2 \neq 0$ and, consequently, $\det S_p \neq 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$.

We have proven that quadratic form (12) has a positive definite derivative with respect to system (11) and matrix S_p associated with this form is non-degenerated for sufficiently large values of parameter $p > 0$, so system (11) is regular, i.e. has exactly one Green's function. \square

In the case of two weakly regular systems

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dx}{dt} = \omega(x), \\ \frac{dy}{dt} = A_i(x)y, \quad i = 1, 2, \end{cases}$$

where $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$, $\omega(x) \in C_{Lip}(\mathbb{R}^m)$, $A_i(x) \in C^0(\mathbb{R}^m)$ the structure of such a matrix is obtained

$$P(x) = \begin{pmatrix} A_2 + \frac{1}{2}(A_1 + A_1^T) - I_n & A_2^T + A_1 & 0 \\ -A_2 + \frac{1}{2}(A_1 - A_1^T) + I_n & -A_2^T & 0 \\ A_2 + \frac{1}{2}(A_1^T - A_1) + I_n & -[A_1 + A_2^T] & -A_1^T \end{pmatrix},$$

that the system

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dx}{dt} = \omega(x), \\ \frac{dy}{dt} = P(x)z, \quad z \in \mathbb{R}^{3n}, \end{cases} \quad (18)$$

is regular. Let us illustrate this in the following example.

Example

Let us consider two weakly regular systems of equations

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dx}{dt} = \sin x, & x \in \mathbb{R}, \\ \frac{dy}{dt} = 3(\cos x)y, & y \in \mathbb{R}, \end{cases} \quad \begin{cases} \frac{dx}{dt} = 1, & x \in \mathbb{R}, \\ \frac{dy}{dt} = -(\operatorname{tgh} x)y, & y \in \mathbb{R}. \end{cases}$$

We will show that the system

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dx_1}{dt} = \sin x_1, \\ \frac{dx_2}{dt} = 1, \\ \frac{dy_1}{dt} = [-1 + 3 \cos x_1 - \operatorname{tgh} x_2]y_1 + [3 \cos x_1 - \operatorname{tgh} x_2]y_2, \\ \frac{dy_2}{dt} = [1 + \operatorname{tgh} x_2]y_1 + [\operatorname{tgh} x_2]y_2, \\ \frac{dy_3}{dt} = [1 - \operatorname{tgh} x_2]y_1 - [3 \cos x_1 - \operatorname{tgh} x_2]y_2 - [3 \cos x_1]y_3, \end{cases} \quad (19)$$

is regular.

Let's take the quadratic form

$$V_p = p^2(y_1y_2 + y_1y_3 + y_2y_3) + p(\operatorname{tgh} x_2)y_2^2 - (\cos x_1)y_3^2,$$

and assume that

$$v_1 = y_1y_2 + y_1y_3 + y_2y_3.$$

Then the derivative of v_1 with respect to system (19) is equal to

$$\dot{v}_1 = 2y_1^2.$$

Similarly, by calculating the derivative $v_2 = (\operatorname{tgh} x_2)y_2^2$ with respect to system (19), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{v}_2 &= \frac{1}{\operatorname{ctgh}^2 x_2} y_2^2 + 2(\operatorname{tgh} x_2)y_2\{[1 + \operatorname{tgh} x_2]y_1 + [\operatorname{tgh} x_2]y_2\} = \\ &= \left[\frac{1}{\operatorname{ctgh}^2 x_2} + 2(\operatorname{tgh} x_2)^2 \right] y_2^2 + 2 \operatorname{tgh} x_2 (1 + \operatorname{tgh} x_2) y_1 y_2 \geq \\ &\geq y_2^2 - 4|y_1||y_2|. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, by calculating the derivative of the form $v_3 = (-\cos x_1)y_3^2$ with respect to system (19), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{v}_3 &= y_3^2 \sin^2 x_1 - 2y_3\{[1 - \operatorname{tgh} x_2]y_1 - [3 \cos x_1 - \operatorname{tgh} x_2]y_2 - [3 \cos x_1]y_3\} = \\ &= y_3^2(\sin^2 x_1 + 6 \cos^2 x_1) - 2[1 - \operatorname{tgh} x_2]y_1 y_3 + 2[3 \cos x_1 - \operatorname{tgh} x_2]y_2 y_3 \geq \\ &\geq y_3^2 - 4|y_1||y_3| - 8|y_2||y_3|. \end{aligned}$$

Eventually, the derivative of quadratic form V_p with respect to system (19) satisfies the inequality

$$V_p \geq 2p^2 y_1^2 + p y_2^2 - 4p|y_1||y_2| + y_3^2 - 4|y_1||y_3| - 8|y_2||y_3|.$$

Let us consider the right hand-side of the above inequality as quadratic form Φ dependent on three variables t_1, t_2, t_3 :

$$\Phi(t_1, t_2, t_3) = 2p^2 t_1^2 + p t_2^2 - 4p t_1 t_2 + t_3^2 - 4t_1 t_3 - 8t_2 t_3.$$

The matrix associated with this form is the following

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} 2p^2 & -2p & -2 \\ -2p & p & -4 \\ -2 & -4 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Matrix T is positive definite for $p > 20$; hence system (19) is regular for $p > 20$.

3. Generalisation of the results

Let us consider k systems of differential equations

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dx}{dt} = \omega(x), \\ \frac{dy}{dt} = A_i(x)y, \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, k, \end{cases} \quad (20)$$

where matrices $M(x)$ and $B(x)$ meet the conditions

$$M^T(x) \equiv -M(x), \quad \langle B_0(x)z_1, z_1 \rangle \geq \beta_0 \|z_1\|^2, \quad \beta_0 > 0. \quad (27)$$

Matrix $P(x)$ can be expressed in the following form

$$\begin{aligned} P(x) &= S^{-1}[\text{diag}(B_0, 0, 0, 0) + M(x)] = \\ &= \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} -2I & I & I & I \\ I & -2I & I & I \\ I & I & -2I & I \\ I & I & I & -2I \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} B_0(x) & M_{12}(x) & M_{13}(x) & M_{14}(x) \\ -M_{12}^T(x) & 0 & M_{23}(x) & M_{24}(x) \\ -M_{13}^T(x) & -M_{23}^T(x) & 0 & M_{34}(x) \\ -M_{14}^T(x) & -M_{24}^T(x) & -M_{34}^T(x) & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \\ &= [P_{ij}(x)]_{i,j=1}^4, \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} P_{11}(x) &= \frac{1}{3}(-2B_0(x) - \sum_{i=2}^4 M_{1i}^T(x)), \\ P_{21}(x) &= \frac{1}{3}(B_0(x) + 2M_{12}^T(x) - M_{13}^T(x) - M_{14}^T(x)), \\ P_{22}(x) &= \frac{1}{3}(M_{12}(x) - M_{23}^T(x) - M_{24}^T(x)), \\ P_{33}(x) &= \frac{1}{3}(M_{13}(x) + M_{23}(x) - M_{34}^T(x)), \\ P_{44}(x) &= \frac{1}{3}(M_{14}(x) + M_{24}(x) + M_{34}(x)). \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

Denoting

$$\bar{P}(x) = [P_{ij}(x)]_{i,j=2}^4, \quad \bar{z} = [z_2, z_3, z_4], \quad (30)$$

let us consider the following system

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dx}{dt} = \omega(x), \\ \frac{d\bar{z}}{dt} = \bar{P}(x)\bar{z}, \end{cases} \quad (31)$$

If matrix $\bar{P}(x)$ of system (31) has a special block form

$$\bar{P}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} -A_1^T(x) & 0 & 0 \\ * & -A_2^T(x) & 0 \\ * & * & -A_3^T(x) \end{pmatrix}, \quad (32)$$

then we obtain the following equations

$$\begin{aligned} P_{22}(x) &= -A_1^T(x), \quad P_{23}(x) = 0, \\ P_{33}(x) &= -A_2^T(x), \quad P_{24}(x) = 0, \\ P_{44}(x) &= -A_3^T(x), \quad P_{34}(x) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

based on which one can uniquely determine matrices $M_{ij}(x)$, $i = 1, 2, 3$, $j = 2, 3, 4$. Using (29), equations (33) take the following form

$$M_{12}(x) - M_{23}^T(x) - M_{24}^T(x) = -3A_1^T(x), \quad (34)$$

$$M_{13}(x) + M_{23}(x) - M_{34}^T(x) = -3A_2^T(x), \quad (35)$$

$$M_{14}(x) + M_{24}(x) + M_{34}(x) = -3A_3^T(x), \quad (36)$$

and

$$M_{13}(x) - 2M_{23}(x) - M_{34}^T(x) = 0, \quad (37)$$

$$M_{14}(x) - 2M_{24}(x) + M_{34}(x) = 0, \quad (38)$$

$$M_{14}(x) + M_{24}(x) - 2M_{34}(x) = 0. \quad (39)$$

By subtracting equation (37) from equation (35), equation (38) from (36) and equation (39) from equation (36), we obtain, respectively

$$M_{23}(x) = -A_2^T(x), \quad M_{24}(x) = -A_3^T(x), \quad M_{34}(x) = -A_3^T(x), \quad (40)$$

and, hence, we can determine the remaining matrices $M_{ij}(x)$:

$$\begin{aligned} M_{12}(x) &= -3A_1^T(x) - A_2(x) - A_3(x), \\ M_{13}(x) &= -2A_2^T(x) - A_3(x), \\ M_{14}(x) &= -A_3^T(x). \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

If systems of equations (20) are weakly regular, then, because the conditions (33) for matrix $\bar{P}(x)$ take place, the derivative of the quadratic form

$$\bar{V}(x, \bar{z}) = \langle S(x)\bar{z}, \bar{z} \rangle, \quad (42)$$

with respect to system (31) is positive definite, i.e.

$$\dot{\bar{V}}(x, \bar{z}) = \left\langle [\dot{S}(x) + S(x)\bar{P}(x) + \bar{P}^T(x)S(x)]\bar{z}, \bar{z} \right\rangle \geq \|\bar{z}\|^2. \quad (43)$$

When conditions (25) and (43) are met, the derivative of the quadratic form

$$pV(z) + \bar{V}(x, \bar{z}), \quad (44)$$

with respect to the system (21) for sufficiently large values of parameter $p > 0$ is positive definite. Since quadratic form (44) for sufficiently large values of parameter p is non-degenerated, then system (21) is regular, wherein matrix $P(x)$ is of the following form

$$P(x) = \frac{1}{3} \begin{pmatrix} -2I & I & I & I \\ I & -2I & I & I \\ I & I & -2I & I \\ I & I & I & -2I \end{pmatrix} \times \begin{pmatrix} B_0 & -3A_1^T - A_2 - A_3 & -2A_2^T - A_3 & -A_3^T \\ 3A_1 + A_2^T + A_3^T & 0 & -A_2^T & -A_3^T \\ 2A_2 + A_3^T & A_2 & 0 & -A_3^T \\ A_3 & A_3 & A_3 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{45}$$

where $B_0(x) \in C^0(\mathbb{R}^m)$ is any positive definite matrix.

In a case where $k > 3$ matrix $P(x)$ is of the following form

$$P(x) = \frac{1}{k} \begin{pmatrix} -(k-1)I & I & I & \dots & I \\ I & -(k-1)I & I & \dots & I \\ I & I & -(k-1)I & \dots & I \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ I & I & I & \dots & -(k-1)I \end{pmatrix} \times \begin{pmatrix} B_0(x) & M_{12}(x) & M_{13}(x) & \dots & M_{1,k+1}(x) \\ -M_{12}^T(x) & 0 & M_{23}(x) & \dots & M_{2,k+1}(x) \\ -M_{13}^T(x) & -M_{23}^T(x) & 0 & \dots & M_{3,k+1}(x) \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ -M_{1,k+1}^T(x) & -M_{2,k+1}^T(x) & -M_{3,k+1}^T(x) & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{46}$$

As before, assuming that matrix $\bar{P}(x) = [P_{ij}]_{i,j=2}^k$ has the following special block form

$$\bar{P}(x) = \begin{pmatrix} -A_1^T(x) & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ * & -A_2^T(x) & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ * & * & -A_3^T(x) & \dots & 0 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots \\ * & * & * & \dots & -A_k^T(x) \end{pmatrix}, \tag{47}$$

matrices M_{ij} can be uniquely determined

$$\begin{aligned} M_{23}(x) &= -A_2^T(x), \\ M_{24}(x) &= M_{34}(x) = -A_3^T(x), \\ M_{25}(x) &= M_{35}(x) = M_{45}(x) = -A_4^T(x), \\ &\dots \\ M_{2,k+1}(x) &= M_{3,k+1}(x) = M_{4,k+1}(x) = \dots = M_{k,k+1}(x) = -A_k^T(x), \end{aligned} \tag{48}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
 M_{12}(x) &= -kA_1^T(x) - \sum_{i=2}^k A_i(x), \\
 M_{13}(x) &= -(k-1)A_2^T(x) - \sum_{i=3}^k A_i(x), \\
 &\vdots \\
 M_{1p}(x) &= -(k-p+2)A_p^T(x) - \sum_{i=p}^k A_i(x), \\
 M_{1,k+1}(x) &= -A_k^T(x).
 \end{aligned} \tag{49}$$

Therefore, the following theorem is true.

Theorem 4. *Let systems (20) be weakly regular; then the system*

$$\begin{cases} \frac{dx}{dt} = \omega(x), \\ \frac{dz}{dt} = P(x)z, \quad z = (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_k), \end{cases} \tag{50}$$

where $z_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$, $\omega(x) \in C_{Lip}(\mathbb{R}^m)$, $P(x) \in C^0(\mathbb{R}^m)$, is regular, i.e. has exactly one $(k \cdot n) \times (k \cdot n)$ dimensional Green's function, wherein matrix $P(x)$ is defined by formula (46).

References

1. Boichuk A.A.: *A condition for the existence of a unique Green-Samoilenko function for the problem of invariant torus.* Ukrainian Math. J. **53** (2001), 637–641.
2. Haragus M., Iooss G.: *Local Bifurcations, Center Manifolds, and Normal Forms in Infinite-Dimensional Dynamical Systems.* Springer, 2011.
3. Kulyk V.L., Mitropolski Y.A., Samoilenko A.M.: *Dichotomies and Stability in Nonautonomous Linear Systems.* Taylor & Francis, London 2003.
4. Kulyk V.L., Stepanenko N.: *Alternating-sign Lyapunov functions in the theory of linear extensions of dynamical systems on a torus.* Ukrainian Math. J. **59** (2007), 546–562.

5. Mitropolsky Y.A., Samoilenko A.M., Kulik V.L.: *Investigation of Dichotomy of Linear Systems of Differential Equations Using Lyapunov Functions*. Naukova Dumka, Kiev 1990.
6. Samoilenko A.M.: *On the existence of a unique Green function for the linear extension of a dynamical system on a torus*. Ukrainian Math. J. **53** (2001), 584–594.
7. Samoilenko A.M., Timchishin O.Ya., Prikarpatskii A.K.: *Poincaré-Mel'nikov geometric analysis of the transversal splitting of manifolds of slowly perturbed nonlinear dynamical systems*. Ukrainian Math. J. **11** (1993), 1878–1892.
8. Samoilenko A.M., Prykarpats'kyi A.K., Samoilenko V.H.: *Lyapunov–Schmidt approach to studying homoclinic splitting in weakly perturbed lagrangian and hamiltonian systems*. Ukrainian Math. J. **55** (2003), 82–92.
9. Vainberg M.M., Trenogin V.A.: *The methods of Lyapunov and Schmidt in the theory of non-linear equations and their further development*. Uspekhi Mat. Nauk. Russian Math. Surveys **17**, no. 2 (1962), 1–60.

