

Journa

of Achievements in Materials and Manufacturing Engineering

Investigation of flexibly vibrating subsystem of mechatronic system

A. Buchacz*

Institute of Engineering Processes Automation and Integrated Manufacturing Systems, Silesian University of Technology, ul. Konarskiego 18a, 44-100 Gliwice, Poland * Corresponding author: E-mail address: andrzej.buchacz@polsl.pl

Received 23.02.2009; published in revised form 01.05.2009

Analysis and modelling

ABSTRACT

Purpose: of this paper is to investigate the transients of characteristics of vibrating beams obtained by the exact and approximate methods and to answer to the question - if the method can be used to nominate the characteristics of mechatronic systems.

Design/methodology/approach: was to nominate the relevance or irrelevance between the characteristics obtained by considered methods – especially concerning the relevance of the natural frequencies-poles of characteristics of mechanical part of mechatronic system. The main subject of the research is the continuous vibrating beam.

Findings: this approach is fact, that approximate solutions fulfill all conditions for vibrating beams and some conditions only, particularly for vibrating beams as the subsystems of mechatronic systems.

Research limitations/implications: is that linear continuous flexibly vibrating beam is considered.

Practical implications: of this study is the main point is the analysis and the examination of flexibly vibrating discrete-continuous mechatronic systems which characteristics can be nominated with approximate methods only. **Originality/value:** of this approach relies on the comparison of the compatibility of the characteristics of the

mechatronic and mechanical systems with demanded accuracy, nominated with approximate method.

Keywords: Analysis and modeling; Applied Mechanics; Exact and approximate methods; Continuous system, Vibrating beam

Reference to this paper should be given in the following way:

A. Buchacz, Investigation of flexibly vibrating subsystem of mechatronic system, Journal of Achievements in Materials and Manufacturing Engineering 34/1 (2009) 55-62.

1. Introduction

In the Gliwice research Centre the problems of analysis of vibrating beam systems, discrete and discrete-continuous mechanical systems by means the structural numbers methods modelled by the graphs, hypergraphs¹ has been made in

(e.g.[1,2,7]). The continuous-discrete torsionally vibrating mechatronic systems² were considered in [3]. The approximate method of analysis called Galerkin's method has been used to obtain the frequency-modal characteristics. To comparison of obtaining dynamical characteristics – dynamical flexibilities only for mechanical subsystem torsionally vibrating bar, as a part of

continuous and discrete mechanical systems concerning the frequency spectrum has been made

² The problems concerned of piezoelectricity and electrostriction were presented for example in [6, 8-14].

¹ Other diverse problems have been modeled by different kind of graphs next they were examined and analyzed in (e.g.[15]). The problems of synthesis of selected class of continuous, discrete-

complex mechatronic system, exact method and Galerkin's method were used [5]. In this paper frequency analysis and frequency – modal analysis have been presented for the mechanical part of mechatronic system, that means flexibly vibrating beam. In this aim three methods - the exact one and two approximate ones of analysis - have been used.

2. Vibration beam as the subsystem of mechatronic system

2.1. Natural frequency analysis

The beam - as the subsystem of mechatronic system³ (Fig. 1) - with the constant cross section, clamped on left end and free on the right one with harmonic force excitation in form $P(t) = P_0 \sin \omega t$ is considered.



Fig. 1. Flexibly vibrating mechatronic system

The equation of motion of the beam only (Fig. 1) takes form

$$EIy(x,t)_{xxxx} + \rho Ay(x,t)_{tt} = 0,$$
 (1)

where: y(x,t) - deflection at the time moment *t* of the lining beam section within the distance *x* from the beginning of the system, *E* - Young modulus, ρ - mass density of material of the beam, *I* - polar inertia moment of the beam cross section, *A* – area of the beam cross section.

The boundary conditions on the beam ends are following

$$y(0,t) = 0, y_{x}(0,t) = 0, y_{xx}(0,t) = 0, EIy_{xxx}(0,t) = -P(t),$$
 (2)

where: *l*- length of the beam.

Own question (near homogeneous boundary conditions) for beam is however following

$$X^{(\rm IV)}(x) - k^4 X(x) = 0,$$
(3)

$$X(0,t) = 0, X'(0,t) = 0, X''(l,t) = 0, X''(l,t) = 0.$$
(4)

The general solution of own functions has the form

$$X(x) = A\sin kx + B\cos kx + C\sinh kx + D\cosh kx.$$
 (5)

After substitution of following derivatives of (5) into boundary conditions (4) was received

$$X(0,t) = 0, X(0,t) = 0, X(l,t) = 0, X(l,t) = 0.$$
(6)

Out of set (6) results, that

$$\cos z = \frac{-1}{\cosh z}, \quad z = kl. \tag{7}$$

The solution of equation (7) the own values are

$$z_n \approx \frac{2n-1}{2}\pi.$$
 (8)

Relationships between constants A, B, C, D are following

$$B_{n} = A_{n} \frac{\cos z_{n} + \cosh z_{n}}{\sin z_{n} - \sinh z_{n}}, C_{n} = -A_{n}, D_{n} = -A_{n} \frac{\cos z_{n} + \cosh z_{n}}{\sin z_{n} - \sinh z_{n}}, \quad (9)$$

and therefore own functions have form

$$X_{n} = A_{n} \begin{pmatrix} \sin \frac{z_{n}}{l} x + \frac{\cos z_{n} + \cosh z_{n}}{\sin z_{n} - \sinh z_{n}} \cos \frac{z_{n}}{l} x - \\ -\sin \frac{z_{n}}{l} x - \frac{\cos z_{n} + \cosh z_{n}}{\sin z_{n} - \sinh z_{n}} \cosh \frac{z_{n}}{l} x \end{pmatrix}, \ n = 1, 2, 3, \dots.$$
(10)

2.2. The exact method of determining of dynamical flexibility

Deflection y(x,t) is the harmonic function because the excitation is harmonic one, that means

$$y(x,t) = X(x)\sin\omega t .$$
⁽¹¹⁾

Calculating suitable derivatives of (10) as well as substituting into (2) the set of equations, after transformations, was obtained

$$\begin{cases} B+D=0\\ A+C=0\\ -A\sin kl - B\cos kl + C\sinh kl + D\cosh kl = 0\\ -A\cos kl + B\sin kl + C\cosh kl + D\sinh kl = \frac{-P_0}{Elk^3} \end{cases}$$
(12)

After transformations the set (10) is following

$$\begin{vmatrix} -(\cos kl + \cosh kl) & -(\sin kl + \sinh kl) \\ \sin kl - \sinh kl & -(\cos kl + \cosh kl) \end{vmatrix} \cdot \begin{vmatrix} A \\ B \end{vmatrix} = \begin{vmatrix} 0 \\ -P_0 \\ Elk^3 \end{vmatrix} = \mathbf{WA} = \mathbf{F}.$$
(13)

³ The mechatronic system was considered in [4].

The main determinant of set of equations (13) equals

$$\left|\mathbf{W}\right| = \begin{vmatrix} -(\cos kl + \cosh kl) & -(\sin kl + \sinh kl) \\ \sin kl - \sinh kl & -(\cos kl + \cosh kl) \end{vmatrix} = 2(1 + \cos kl \cosh kl) .$$
(14)

To qualify constants A, B, C, D should count following determinants

$$\mathbf{W}_{A} = \begin{vmatrix} 0 & -(\sin kl + \sinh kl) \\ -P_{0} \\ \hline Elk^{3} & -(\cos kl + \cosh kl) \end{vmatrix} = \frac{P_{0}}{Elk^{3}} (\sin kl + \sinh kl), \quad (15)$$

$$\mathbf{W}_{B} = \begin{vmatrix} -(\cos kl + \cosh kl) & 0\\ -(\sin kl + \sinh kl) & \frac{-P_{0}}{Elk^{3}} \end{vmatrix} = \frac{P_{0}}{Elk^{3}} (\cos kl + \cosh kl) .$$
(16)

On the base (12-16) the constants A, \dots, D are equal

$$A = -C = \frac{|\mathbf{W}_A|}{|\mathbf{W}|} = \frac{P_0(\sin kl + \sinh kl)}{2Elk^3(1 + \cos kl\cosh kl)},$$
(17)

$$B = -D = \frac{|\mathbf{W}_B|}{|\mathbf{W}|} = \frac{P_0(\cos kl + \cosh kl)}{2Elk^3(1 + \cos kl\cosh kl)}.$$
 (18)

Substituting expression (17) and (18) to (11) and taking into account (10) deflection beam is

$$y(x,t) = -\left[\frac{(\sin kl + \sinh kl)(\sin kx + \sinh kx)}{2Elk^3(1 + \cos kl\cosh kl)} + \frac{(\cos kl + \cosh kl)(\sin kx + \sinh kx)}{2Elk^3(1 + \cos kl\cosh kl)}\right]P_0\sin\omega t .$$
(19)

According to definition of dynamic flexibility, on the basis of (18), it takes form

$$Y = \frac{(\sin kl + \sinh kl)(\sin kx + \sinh kx) - (\cos kl + \cosh kl)(\sin kx + \sinh kx)}{2Elk^3(1 + \cos kl\cosh kl)}.$$
 (20)

The transient of expression (20) is shown in Fig. 2a and the transient of absolute value of dynamic flexibility for x=l, that means $\alpha_Y = |Y|$ is drawn in Fig. 2b.

2.3. The orthogonalization method of determining of dynamical flexibility

The deflection y(x,t) is a harmonic function, that it is considered to be:

$$y(x,t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} S_n(t) X_n(x),$$
(21)

where
$$S_n(t) = \frac{1}{\gamma_n^2} \int_0^l y(x,t) X_n(x) dx, \quad \gamma_n^2 = \int_0^l X_n^2(x) dx =$$

= $\int_0^l \left(\sin \frac{z_n}{l} x + \frac{\cos z_n + \cosh z_n}{\sin z_n - \sinh z_n} \cos \frac{z_n}{l} x + \sinh \frac{z_n}{l} x + \right)$

$$+\frac{\cos z_n + \cosh z_n}{\sin z_n - \sinh z_n} \cosh \frac{z_n}{l} x \Big)^2 dx, \ z_n - \text{ are roots of equation (7) in}$$
form (8).

In result of ortogonalization of equation movement beam in form (1) it was received

$$EI\left[y_{,xxx}X_{n} - y_{,xx}X_{n}^{'} + y_{,x}X_{n}^{'} - yX_{n}^{''}\right]_{0}^{l} + EI\int_{0}^{l}y(x,t)X_{n}^{(IV)}dx + \rho A\int_{0}^{l}y_{,t}X_{n}dx = 0.$$
(22)

Taking into consideration the boundary conditions (2) and the conditions of case of own function (10), it was received was

$$\ddot{S}_n + \omega_n^2 S_n = -\frac{P(t)}{\rho A \gamma_n^2} = -\frac{P_0}{\rho A \gamma_n^2} \sin \omega t.$$
(23)

The solution of equation (23) is following

$$S_n(t) = -\frac{P_0}{\rho A \gamma_n^2} \frac{1}{\omega_n^2 - \omega^2} \sin \omega t,$$
(24)

Deflection of beam is equal

$$y(x,t) = -\frac{P_0}{\rho A} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{X_n(x)}{\gamma_n^2 (\omega_n^2 - \omega^2)} \sin \omega t = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} Y_n \sin \omega t.$$
(25)

On the basis (25) the dynamic flexibility is given as

$$Y_n = \frac{X_n(x)}{\rho A \gamma_n^2 (\omega^2 - \omega_n^2)}$$
(26)

2.4. Galerkin's method of calculation of the dynamical flexibility of the beam

It has to be considered that if the shaft is under the action of moment with continuous factorization threw the beam length with the value $F(x)\sin\omega t$ on the length unit – then the equation of motion of the element with length dx lining in the point x is:

$$EIy_{max}dx + \rho Ay_{tt}dx = F(x)\sin\omega tdx.$$
(27)



Fig. 2. The plot of dynamical flexibility of flexibly vibrating continuous system (a), transient of absolute value of dynamical flexibility (b)

58

To determine the dynamic flexibility the factors, which are compatible to concentrate loading $F \sin \omega t$, which works in point *z* have to be found. The loading can be considered as a limit of concentrate loading threw the length- as follows:

$$F(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{F}{h} & \text{when } z - h \le x \le z, \\ 0 & \text{in other section,} \end{cases}$$
(28)

and the equation of excitated vibrations of beam can described as

$$EIy_{xxxx} + \rho Ay_{tt} = P_0 \sin \omega t , \qquad (29)$$

where:
$$P_0 = \frac{F}{h}$$

The defelection of beam - the solution of (29) by means Galerkin's method is given in shape of

$$y(x,t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} y_n(x,t) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n \sin\left[(2n-1)\frac{\pi}{2l}x\right] \sin\omega t .$$
 (30)

Substituting the following derivative of expression (30) to (29) is obtained

$$EIA_{n}\left[(2k-1)\frac{\pi}{2l}\right]^{4}\sin\left[(2k-1)\frac{\pi}{2l}x\right]\sin\omega t + \rho AA_{n}\omega^{2}\sin\left[(2k-1)\frac{\pi}{2l}x\right]\sin\omega t = P_{0}\sin\omega t .$$
(31)

After transformations, the amplitude value A_n of the vibrations takes form of

$$A_{n} = \frac{P_{0}}{\rho A - EI \left[(2n-1)\frac{\pi}{21} \right]^{4}} .$$
(32)

Using the equation (32) and putting it to (30) the dynamical flexibility equals

$$Y_{xl}^{(n)} = \frac{\sin\left[(2n-1)\frac{\pi}{2l}x\right]}{\rho A \omega^2 - EI\left[(2n-1)\frac{\pi}{2l}\right]^4}.$$
 (33)

It simply notices if in expression (26) to substitute own function, so as in Galerkin's method, it is received (33).

The absolute value of dynamical flexibility for the first vibration mode at the end of the beam, i.e. when x=l takes the following form

$$\alpha_{Y}^{(1)} = \left| Y_{ll}^{(1)} \right| = \left| \frac{1}{\rho A \omega^{2} - EI \left(\frac{\pi}{2l} \right)^{4}} \right|.$$
(34)

The plot of expression (34) is shown in Fig. 3.

1

T.

For the second vibration mode, i.e. when n=2, the dynamical flexibility (34) takes the form of

$$\alpha_{Y}^{(2)} = \left|Y_{ll}^{(2)}\right| = \frac{1}{\rho A \omega^{2} - EI \left(\frac{3\pi}{2l}\right)^{4}}$$
(35)

1

The plot of expression (35) is shown in Fig. 4.

For the third vibration mode, i.e. when n=3, the characteristic (34) is given in shape

$$\alpha_Y^{(3)} = \left| Y_{ll}^{(3)} \right| = \left| \frac{1}{\rho A \omega^2 - EI \left(\frac{5\pi}{2l} \right)^4} \right|.$$
 (36)

I.

The plot of equation (36) is shown in Fig. 5.

In global case the dynamical flexibility at the end of the beam gets shape of

$$Y_{xl} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} Y_{xl}^{(n)} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin\left[(2n-1)\frac{\pi}{2l}x\right]}{\rho A \omega^2 - EI\left[(2n-1)\frac{\pi}{2l}\right]^4}.$$
 (37)

For sum k=1,2,3 the plot of value of dynamical flexibility defined by expression (37) is shown in Fig. 6.

<u>3. Last remark</u>

On the base of the obtained formulas, which were determined by the exact method and approximate methods, it is possible to make the analysis of the considered class vibrating mechatronic systems. Moreover the analysis of mechatronic systems were the mechanical parts are vibrating beams it possible using only approximate methods.

In case of others of boundary conditions of mechanical parts of mechatronic systems that means the beam it is necessary to achieve offered researches in this paper. In future research works the problems shall be discussed.

Acknowledgements

This work has been conducted as a part of research project N 502 071 31/3719 supported by the Ministry of Science and Higher Education in 2006-2009.



Fig. 3. The plot of absolute value of dynamical flexibility for the first mode vibration



Fig. 4. The plot of absolute value of dynamical flexibility for the second mode vibration



Fig. 5. The plot of absolute value of dynamical flexibility for the third mode vibration



Fig. 6. The plot of absolute value of dynamical flexibility of the sum for n=1, 2, 3 mode vibration

References

- A. Buchacz, Hypergrphs and Their Subgraphs in Modelling and Investigation of Robots, Journal of Materials Processing Technology 157-158 (2004) 37÷44.
- [2] A. Buchacz, The Expansion of the Synthesized Structures of Mechanical Discrete Systems Represented by Polar Graphs, Journal of Materials Processing Technology 164-165 (2005) 1277-1280.
- [3] A. Buchacz, Calculation of characteristics of torsionally vibrating mechatronic system, Journal of Achievements in Materials and Manufacturing Engineering 20 (2007) 327-330.
- [4] A. Buchacz, Dynamical flexibility of discrete-continuous vibrating mechatronic system, Journal of Achievements in Materials and Manufacturing Engineering 28/2 (2008) 159-166.
- [5] A. Buchacz, Comparison of solutions obtained by exact and approximate methods for vibrating shafts, Journal of Achievements in Materials and Manufacturing Engineering 23/1 (2007) 63-66.
- [6] J. Callahan, H. Baruh, Vibration monitoring of cylindrical shells using piezoelectric sensors, Finite Elements in Analysis and Design 23 (1996) 303-318.
- [7] A. Dymarek, T. Dzitkowski, Modelling and Synthesis of Discrete–Continuous Subsystems of Machines with Damping, Journal of Materials Processing Technology 164-165 (2005) 1317-1326.

- [8] J. S. Friend, D. S. Stutts, The Dynamics of an Annular Piezoelectric Motor Stator, Journal of Sound and Vibration 204/3 (1997) 421-437.
- [9] Heimann, W. Gerth, K. Popp, Mechatronics components, methods, PWN, Warsaw, 2001 (in Polish).
- [10] P.R. Heyliger, G. Ramirez, Free Vibration of Laminated Circular Piezoelectric Plates and Discs, Journal of Sound and Vibration 229/4 (2000) 935-956.
- [11] He. Ji-Huan, Coupled Variational Principles of Piezoelectricity, International Journal of Engineering Science 39 (2001) 323-341.
- [12] P. Lu, K. H. Lee, S. P. Lim, Dynamical Analysis of a Cylindrical Piezoelectric Transducer, Journal of Sound and Vibration 259/2 (2003) 427-443.
- [13] W. Soluch, Introduction to piezoelectronics, WKiŁ, Warsaw, 1980 (in Polish).
- [14] O. Song, L. Librescu, N-H. Jeong, Vibration and Stability Control of Smart Composite Rotating Shaft Via Structural Tailoring and Piezoelectric Strain Actuation, Journal of Sound and Vibration 257/3 (2002) 503-525.
- [15] J. Świder, G. Wszołek, Analysis of Complex Mechanical Systems Based on the Block Diagrams and The Matrix Hybrid Graphs Method, Journal of Materials Processing Technology 157-158 (2004) 250-255.