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## FOREWORD

The Quarterly of Applied Mathematics has been founded primarily to meet the needs of certain mathematicians and engincers whose interests extend beyond the accepted boundaries of their respective groups. These mathematicians find their greatest interest in the application of mathematics to physical problems, and these engineers seek solutions of practical problems by advanced mathematical methods. Thus they meet on the common ground of applied mathematics with a stimulating variety of interest.

It is not desirable to attempt too precise a definition of the boundaries of the field to which the Quarterly will be devoted. The mathematical solution of one problem often throws light on another problem in an entirely different field; indeed, the peculiar strength of the mathematical method lies in its power to cut across those lines of demarcation which seem to divide science into separate compartments.

Nevertheless, it is necessary to give an outline of policy for, within fairly wide limits, the pages of the Quarterly should appeal to a common interest. It seems best to start with the common ground of mathematics and engineering as a nucleus, and to build around it a wider circle of interest, embracing mathematical theory related to engineering problems. Thus certain subjects-fluid mechanics, elasticity, plasticity, thermodynamics, and classical mechanics in its engineering applications-are to be regarded as lying within the scope of the Quarterly, and to these must be added electrical engineering, which has been one of the most fruitful fields of mathematical application.

While it is not the purpose of the Quarterly to publish experimental results, we shall welcome mathematical contributions which have an intimate connection with application in industry or practical science. Indeed, the ideal contribution to our pages would be one in which advanced and general mathematical methods lead speedily to results which are in close agreement with experiment, and which are of high importance, either in direct practical application or as an illumination of interesting phenomena hitherto unexplained.

# TOOLING UP MATHEMATICS FOR ENGINEERING* 

BY<br>THEODORE von KARMAN<br>California Institute of Technology

It has often been said that one of the primary objectives of Mathematics is to furnish tools to physicists and engineers for solution of their problems. It is evident from the history of the mathematical sciences that many fundamental mathematical discoveries have been initiated by the urge for understanding nature's laws and many mathematical methods have been invented by men primarily interested in practical applications. However, every true mathematician will feel that a restriction of mathematical research to problems which have immediate applications would be unfair to the "Queen of Sciences." As a matter of fact, the devoted "minnesingers" of the Queen have of ten revolted against degradation of their mistress to the position of a "handmaiden" of her more practical minded and temporarily more prosperous sisters.

It is not difficult to understand the reasons for the controversial viewpoints of mathematicians and engineers. They have been pointed out more than once, by representatives of both professions.

The mathematician says to the engineer: I have built a building on a sound foundation: a system of theorems based on well defined postulates. I have delved into the analysis of the process of logical thinking to find out whether or not there are any statements which could be considered true or at least potentially true. I am interested in functional relations between entities which are well defined creations of my own mind and in methods which enable me to explore various aspects of such functional relations. If you find any of the concepts, logical processes or methods which I have developed useful for your daily work, I am certainly glad. All my results are at your disposal, but let me pursue my own objectives in my own way.

Says the engineer: Your great forbears, who were mathematicians long before you, talked a different language. Did not Leonhard Euler distribute his time between discoveries in pure mathematics and in the theory of engineering devices? The fundamentals of the theory of turbines, the theory of buckling of columns, the theory of driving piles into soil were contributions of Euler. The development of mathematical analysis cannot be separated from the development of physics and especially of mechanics. It is doubtful whether a human mind would ever have conceived the idea of differential equations without the urge to find a mathematical tool for the computation

[^0]of the path of moving bodies. If one assumes that the motion is determined by certain fundamental mechanical or geometrical relations, which are valid at every instant of the motion, one naturally is led to the idea of the differential equation. Also, the calculus of variations was invented mainly for solution of physical problems; some of which were of teleological, some of practical nature. The eighteenth century and the first decades of the ninetcenth were perhaps the period of the most glorious progress in mathematical science; at that time, there was no distinction between pure and applied mathematicians. The abstract minded mathematicians stepped in after the big job was done; they endeavored to fill certain logical gaps, to systematize and codify the abundance of methods and theorems which the giants of the foregoing period created by a combination of logical thinking and creative intuition.

The mathematician: It seems to me that you underestimate the importance of what you call systematization and codification. Don't you think that in order to assure the correct application of calculus and differential equations, there was an absolute necessity to define exactly what we mean by a limiting process; or, was it not absolutely necessary to give a real sense to such terms as infinitely small and infinitely large? You may remember that Galileo-whom you hardly can call an abstract or pure mathematicianpointed out the contradictions which are unavoidable if you try to apply the notions of equality and inequality to infinite quantities. He noticed that you can say either that the number of the integers is larger than the number of the squares, since every square is an integer, but not every integer is a square; or you can say with the same justification that there are as many squares as integers, since every number has a square. The notions of commensurability, denumerability, the logical analysis of the continuum, the theory of sets, and in more recent times, topology, were fundamental steps in the development of the human mind. Many of these developments were conceived independently of any conscious physical applications. But even for the sake of applications, it was necessary to improve the foundations of our own house, that is to improve the logical structure of mathematics. Without exact analysis of the conditions for the convergence of series (the conditions which allow carrying out the processes of differentiation and integration), nobody could feel safe in handling series. It is not correct that the tendency to seek for a solid foundation of the new discoveries began after the men endowed with imagination and intuition did the big job. D'Alembert already demanded that the calculus be founded on the methods of limits. Cauchy, Legendre and Gauss certainly were among the creative mathematical geniuses in your sense; they effectively contributed to the transition from intuition to rigor. In the second half of the nineteenth century this development continued toward the great goal that the mathematicians of that age-perhaps optimistically-considered as perfect logic or absolute rigor. However, in addition to the clarification of the fundamentals, that period also opened
new paths for applied mathematics. You mentioned, for example, differential equations. Don't you believe that the theory of functions of complex variables, the classification of differential equations according to their singularities, and the investigation of these singularities, all developed in the period that you call the period of codification, were most important steps in building up the very branch of mathematics from which you engineers derive so much benefit? These theories changed the primitive way of finding solutions of differential equations by trial into a systematic method of mastering the whole field.

The engineer: I agree, especially with what you say about complex variables. Indeed, the conformal transformation is one of the most powerful and most elegant methods for the solution of innumerable physical and engineering problems. I also agree with you on the fundamental importance of the analysis of singularities. In fact, our graphical and numerical methods necessarily fail or become awkward near the irregular points and we have to take recourse to analytical methods. However, you mathematicians unfortunately are somewhat like a physician who is less interested in the laws of normal functioning of the human body than in its diseases, or like the psychologist who instead of investigating the laws of normal mental processes concentrates his attention on the pathological aberrations of the human mind. We have to deal in most cases with "sound functions" and would like to have efficient methods to determine with fair accuracy their behavior in certain definite cases.

Answers the mathematician: Can you not apply the general methods that we developed for the solution of differential and integral equations? If the solutions are given by "sound functions," as you please to call them, I do not see any great difficulty nor do I see what more you expect us to do.

The engineer: Your general theorems deal mostly with the existence of solutions and the convergence of your methods of solution. You may recall the wisecrack of Heaviside: "According to the mathematicians this series is divergent; therefore, we may be able to do something useful with it." You people spend much time and much wit to show the existence of solutions whose existence often is evident to us for obvious physical reasons. You seldom take the pains to find and discuss the actual solutions. If you do so, then you restrict yourself mostly to simple cases, as for example, problems involving bodies of simple geometrical shapes. I refer to the so-called special functions. I concede that a great many such functions were investigated by mathematicians. Their values have been tabulated, their developments in series and their representations by definite integrals have been worked out in great detail. Unfortunately, such functions have only a restricted field of application in engineering. The physicist in his search for fundamental laws may choose specimens of simple geometrical shapes for his experimentation. The engineer has to deal directly with structures of complicated shapes; he
cannot give to a structure a simple geometrical form just because the stress distribution in such a structure can be calculated by special functions. Furthermore, most special functions are applicable only to linear problems. In the past, physicists and engineers often linearized their problems for simplicity's sake. Mathematicians liked this simplification because it furnished a beautiful hunting ground for the application of elegant mathematical methods. Unfortunately, as engineering science progressed, the need for more exact information and the necessity to get nearer and nearer to physical reality, forces us to grapple with many nonlinear problems.

The mathematician: Well, many modern mathematicians are extremely interested in non-linear problems. It seems your primary need is the development of appropriate methods of approximation. However, you are not right in your criticism of our proofs of existence. Many proofs of existence in modern mathematics go far beyond the limits of intuition. Then, too, I understand you engineers have good success with various iteration methods. Now, if we want to prove for example the existence of a solution of a boundary value problem, very often we use the iteration method. In other words, we really construct a sequence of approximate solutions exactly as you do. The whole difference is that we prove and you only assume that the process of iteration leads to a unique solution. Also, your so-called "energy method" used for the solution of your problems in elasticity and structures appears to me closely related to the direct methods of the calculus of variations, i.e., to methods which try to construct directly the minimizing function for given boundary values, without referring to the Euler-Lagrange differential equation. It seems to me that after all there are many common elements in pure analysis and applied mathematics.

The engineer: I shall not deny that; as a matter of fact, I have always felt that analysis is the backbone of applied mathematics. However, if you really start to apply analysis to actual cases you will see that there is a long way from the general idea of a method of approximation to a successful application of the same method. There is, for example, the question of available time and manpower. For certain types of work, we have ingenious mechanical or electrical devices such as the differential analyzer or electric computers. However, in most cases we have to do the computation without such help. Then it is not sufficient to know that the process of approximation converges. We have to find out which method requires the least time for a given degree of approximation; we have to have a fair estimate of the improvement of accuracy by successive steps. All such practical questions require difficult mathematical considerations. I think we definitely need mathematicians who help us to refine and, if you wish to say so, criticize and systematize our intuitive methods. In fact, successful applications of mathematics to engineering require the close cooperation of mathematicians and engineers. It is by no means a routine job to recognize the underlying common
mathematical relations in apparently very different fields. The mathematician who intends to do applied mathematical research has to have a pretty good sense for the physical processes involved. On the other hand, the engineer has to go into the fundamentals of analysis to a considerable depth in order to use the mathematical tools properly. An arbitrary assembly of machine tools does not constitute an efficient machine shop. We know there are powerful machine tools in your mathematical arsenal. The task before us is to know how to adapt and apply them.

The mathemalician: I think you've got something there. To carry your analogy further, in order to get the solution of engineering problems into production, you need some kind of tool designers. These are the real applied mathematicians. Their original backgrounds may differ; they may come from pure mathematics, from physics or from engineering, but their common aim is to "tool up" mathematics for engineering.

# A REVIEW OF THE STATISTICAL THEORY OF TURBULENCE* 

BY<br>HUGH L. DRYDEN<br>National Bureau of Standards

1. Introduction. The irregular random motion of small fluid masses to which the name turbulence is given is of such complexity that there can be no hope of a theory which will describe in detail the velocity and pressure fields at every instant. Existing theories may be classified as either empirical or statistical.

In the empirical theories attention is focused only on the distribution of mean speed and mean pressure, and assumptions are made as to the dependence of the shearing stresses required to satisfy the equations of motion of the mean flow. These assumptions involve one or more empirical constants. While the type of assumption adopted is often selected on the basis of some hypothesis as to the character of the fluctuations of speed and pressure, the theory rests on the final assumption rather than on the hypothesis as to the fluctuations. The various "mixing length" theories are of this type.

In the statistical theories consideration is given to the frequency distribution and mean values of the pressure and of the components of the velocity fluctuations, i.e. to the statistical properties of the fluctuations, and to the relation between the mean motion and these statistical properties.

Some attempts have been made to apply the methods of statistical mechanics of discrete particles. In all such attempts it is necessary to select certain discrete elements corresponding to the particles, and to make some assumption as to the probability of occurrence of various values of associated properties or more directly the frequency distribution of the associated properties. Difficulties are encountered at both points. The best known theory of this type is that of Burgers ${ }^{1}$ who selected as elements in two-dimensional flow the points in a square network of equally spaced points and as associated property the value of the stream function. This theory has not as yet led to useful results and is not satisfactory to Burgers himself. Other attempts of

[^1]this nature have been made by von Kármán, ${ }^{2}$ Noether, ${ }^{8}$ Tollmien, ${ }^{4}$ Gebelein, ${ }^{5}$ Dedebant, Wehrlé and Schereschewsky, ${ }^{6}$ and Takahasi. ${ }^{7}$

Many of the statistical theories just mentioned do not require the turbulent fluctuations to satisfy the equations of motion nor do they require the fluid motion to be continuous. A statistical theory of turbulence which is applicable to continuous movements and which satisfies the equations of motion was inaugurated in 1935 by Taylor ${ }^{8}$ and further developed by himself and by von Kármán. ${ }^{9}$ It is the object of this paper to give a connected account of the present state of this particular statistical theory of turbulence.
2. Turbulent fluctuations and the mean motion. As in other theories of turbulent flow, the flow is regarded as a mean motion with velocity components, $U, V$, and $W$, on which are superposed fluctuations of the velocity with components of magnitude $u, v$, and $w$ at any instant. The mean values of $u, v$, and $w$ are zero. In most cases $U, V$, and $W$ are the average values at a fixed point over a definite period of time, although in certain problems it is more convenient to take averages over a selected area or within a selected volume at a given instant. The rules for forming mean values were stated by Reynolds ${ }^{10}$ and some further critical discussion by Burgers and others has been recorded in connection with a lecture by Oseen. ${ }^{11}$

When the turbulent motion is produced in a pipe by the action of a constant pressure gradient or near the surface of an object in a wind tunnel in which the fan is operated at a constant speed, there is considerable freedom

[^2]in selecting the time interval for which mean values are taken. So long as the time interval is longer than some fixed value dependent on the scale of the apparatus and the speed, the mean values are independent of the magnitude of the time interval selected and there is a clear separation between the turbulent fluctuations and the mean motion. If the mean motion itself is "slowly" variable, as in the case of the natural wind, difficulty arises; the separation becomes imperfect and arbitrary. The slowly variable mean may be taken over time intervals of five minutes, one day, or ten years according to the object of the study and the magnitude of the turbulent fluctuations varies accordingly. Even in flows under constant pressure gradient, there will usually be some experimental difficulty in maintaining the conditions absolutely constant, and the question will naturally arise as to how the fluctuations arising from this source may be eliminated from the "true" turbulent fluctuations.
3. Vortex trails. For a long time every flow in which "fast" fluctuations of velocity occurred was regarded as a turbulent flow but experimental measurements of fluctuations show several identifiable types. The experimental results suggest the limitation of the term "turbulent fluctuation" to one of these types characterized by the random nature of the fluctuations. This random characteristic is in marked contrast with the regularity and periodicity noted in a second type of fluctuation associated with vortex trails.

It is well known that when a cylinder or other object of blunt cross section is exposed to a fluid stream, a vortex trail appears under certain circumstances, vortices breaking away with a regular periodicity. The speed fluctuations observed in the trail are periodic and in themselves do not produce turbulent mixing. At comparatively short distances the regular pattern transforms into an irregular turbulent motion, but the fluctuations within the trail itself do not have the character of the final turbulent fluctuations.

The fluctuations of turbulence are irregular, without definite periodicity with time. The amplitude distribution corresponds to the Gaussian distribution, i.e. the number of times during a long time interval that a given magnitude of fluctuation is reached varies with the magnitude according to the "error" curve.

If this randomness is regarded as an essential feature of the turbulent fluctuations, turbulence is not equivalent to any regular vortex system however complex. The equivalent vortex picture is a large family of vortex systems, whose statistical properties only, not individual histories, are significant.
4. Space and time averages. The speed fluctuations $u, v$, and $w$, though designated the fluctuations at a point, are in reality averages throughout a certain volume and over a certain time as are the speed components in the usual hydrodynamic theory. The volume is small in comparison with the dimensions of interest in the flow but large enough to include many molecules. A cube of size 0.001 mm , containing at atmospheric pressure about $2.7 \times 10^{7}$ molecules, satisfies this condition. The time interval is short in com-
parison with any time interval of interest in the mean properties of the flow but long in comparison with the time required for a molecule to traverse the mean free path. The number of collisions at atmospheric pressure is of the order of $5 \times 10^{9}$ per second and hence a time interval of $10^{-6}$ seconds would suffice.

No instruments have yet been constructed to give values averaged over so small a volume or so short a time interval. The best performance obtained to date is that of hot wire anemometers which have been developed to the point where average values over a cylindrical volume perhaps 0.01 mm in diameter and 1 mm long and over a time interval of approximately $0.5 \times 10^{-3}$ seconds can be obtained. Experimental results show that averages over these space and time intervals are not appreciably different from those for somewhat larger space and time intervals and suggest that averages over smaller intervals would not be appreciably different. The results also suggest that measuring equipment that does not approach these space and time intervals gives results which largely reflect the properties of the measuring instrument rather than the properties of the turbulent fluctuations. In other words the measurement is that of a variable mean velocity over space and time intervals fixed by the characteristics of the instrument, rather than measurements of the turbulent fluctuations. If the frequency spectrum of the turbulent fluctuations is known, the effect of the instrument characteristics can be estimated, as discussed in section 19.
5. Pulsations. Reference has previously been made to the difficulty in certain cases of making a clear separation between the mean motion and the turbulent fluctuations, because of the difficulty of defining a time interval long enough to include many fluctuations but small enough so that the mean varies only slowly. The difficulty is often increased by the presence of a fairly rapid variation of the mean speed over large areas, perhaps the entire cross section of the fluid stream, to which the name pulsation may be given. Such a fluctuation is recognizable by the fact that there is a regularity in the space distribution of the fluctuations such that definite phase relations exist. Pulsations have been observed in laminar flow in boundary layers. An essential characteristic of the turbulent fluctuations is an irregularity and randomness in the space distribution as well as in the time distribution.

It is often possible to eliminate the effect of pulsations on the measurements by a low frequency cut-off in the equipment for measuring $u, v$, and $w$. The choice of the cut-off frequency is equivalent to a selection of the time interval over which averages are taken to obtain the mean speed and by this device the pulsations are regarded as variations of the mean speed.
6. Continuity of the turbulent motion. It is well known that the structure of a fluid is in the final analysis discontinuous, the fluid consisting of individual molecules. Nevertheless the usual hydrodynamic theory regards the fluid
as a continuum. Such an assumption can be justified when the dimensions of the flow system are very large compared to the mean free path of the molecules. The velocity of the fluid at any point is then defined as the vector average of the velocities of the molecules in a small volume surrounding the point, the value obtained being independent of the magnitude and shape of the volume within certain limits.

Some investigators ${ }^{12}$ have concluded that the phenomena of turbulence require the assumption of discontinuity in the instantancous components. The Taylor-von Kármán statistical theory retains the assumption that the fluctuations are continuous functions of space and time as in Reynolds' theory.

The applicability of this assumption is a matter for experimental determination. If experimentally a volume and time interval can be selected which may be regarded as large in comparison with molecular distances and periods but small as compared to the volumes and time intervals of interest in the turbulent fluctuations, the fluctuations may be safely regarded as continuous. As described in section 4, the experimental data perhaps do not prove but do definitely suggest that such a choice is possible and to that extent the assumption of continuity is experimentally justified.
7. The Reynolds stresses. If in the Navier-Stokes equations of motion the components of the velocity are written as $U+u, V+v, W+w$, thus regarding the motion as a mean motion $U, V_{2} W$, with fluctuations $u, v, w$ superposed, and mean values taken in accordance with the rules mentioned in section 2, a new set of equations is obtained which differs from the first only in the presence of additional terms added to the mean values of the stresses due to viscosity. These additional terms are called the Reynolds stresses or eddy stresses. The eddy normal stress components are $-\rho \overline{u^{2}},-\rho \overline{v^{2}},-\rho \overline{w^{2}}$ and the eddy shearing stress components are $-\rho \overline{u v},-\rho \overline{v w},-\rho \bar{u} w$. Each stress component is thus equal to the rate of transfer of momentum across the corresponding surface by the fluctuations.

In the light of kinetic theory the eddy stresses closely parallel in origin the viscous stresses. It has been explained how $u, v$, and $w$ are themselves the mean speeds of many molecules. The effect of the molecular motions appears in the smoothed equations of the continuum as a stress, the components of which are equal to the rate of transfer of momentum by the molecules across the corresponding surfaces.
8. Correlation. If the fluctuations were perfectly random, the eddy shearing stress components $-\rho \overline{u v},-\rho \overline{v w},-\rho u w$ would be zero. The existence of eddy shearing stresses is dependent on the existence of a correlation between the several components of the velocity fluctuation at any given point. The coefficient of correlation between $u$ and $v$ is defined as

[^3]\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{u v}=\frac{\overline{u v}}{\sqrt{u^{2}} \sqrt{v^{2}}} \tag{8.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

The mean values $\sqrt{u^{2}}, \sqrt{v^{2}}$, and $\sqrt{w^{2}}$ are often called the components of the intensity of the fluctuations.

The eddy shearing stress may be written in terms of the correlation cocfficient as

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\rho \overline{u v}=-\rho R_{u v} \sqrt{u^{2}} \sqrt{v^{2}} \tag{8.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and similarly for the other components.
In addition to the correlation between the components of the velocity fluctuations at a given point, the Taylor-von Kármán theory makes much use of correlations between the components of the velocity fluctuations at neighboring points. Denote the components of the fluctuations at one point by $u_{1}, v_{1}, w_{1}$, and at another point by $u_{2}, v_{2}, w_{2}$. The coefficient of correlation between $u_{1}$ and $v_{2}$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{u_{1} v_{2}}=\frac{\overline{u_{1} v_{2}}}{\sqrt{u_{1}^{2}} \sqrt{v_{2}^{2}}} \tag{8.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and similarly for any other pair. These correlation coefficients form useful tools to describe the statistical properties of the fluctuations with respect to their spatial distribution and phase relationships.
9. Scale of turbulence. The earliest attempt to describe the spatial characteristics of turbulence was the introduction of the mixing length concept, the mixing length being analogous to the mean free path of the kinetic theory of gases. Logical difficulties arise because there are no discrete fluid particles in the turbulent flow which retain their identity. A method of avoiding these difficulties was suggested by Taylor ${ }^{13}$ many years ago. He showed that the diffusion of particles starting from a point depends on the correlation $R_{t}$ between the velocity of a fluid particle at any instant and that of the same particle after a time interval $t$. If the functional relationship between $R_{t}$ and $t$ is of such a character that $R_{t}$ falls to zero at some interval $T$ and remains so for greater intervals, it is possible to define a length $l_{1}$ by the relation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
l_{1}=\sqrt{v^{2}} \int_{0}^{T} R_{t} d t=\sqrt{v^{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} R_{t} d t \tag{9.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

in which $v$ is the component of the velocity fluctuations transverse to the mean flow and in the direction in which the diffusion is studied.

[^4]This method of assigning a scale to turbulence is of value in the study of diffusion as described in section 22. It is based on the Lagrangian manner of describing the flow by following the paths of fluid particles. It is more common to use the Eulerian description by considering the stream lines existing in space at any instant. Taylor later ${ }^{8}$ suggested a method of describing the scale in the Eulerian system based on the variation of the correlation coefficient $R_{\nu}$ between the values of the component $u$ at two points, separated by the distance $y$ in the direction of the $y$ coordinate, as $y$ is varied. The curve of $R_{\nu}$ against $y$ represents the statistical distribution of $u$ along the $y$ axis at any instant. If $R_{\nu}$ falls to zero and remains zero, a length $L$ may be defined by the relation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=\int_{0}^{\infty} R_{\nu} d y \tag{9.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The length $L$ is considered a possible definition of the average size of the eddies present and has been found to be a most useful measure of the scale of the turbulence, especially for the case of isotropic turbulence. Correspondingly, a length $L_{x}$ may be defined by the relation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{x}=\int_{0}^{\infty} R_{z} d x \tag{9.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R_{z}$ is the correlation between the values of the component $u$ at two points separated by distance $x$ in the direction of the $x$ coordinate.
10. Isotropic turbulence. The simplest type of turbulence for theoretical or experimental investigation is that in which the intensity components in all directions are equal. More accurately, isotropic turbulence is defined by the condition that the mean value of any function of the velocity components and their derivatives at a given point is independent of rotation and reflection of the axes of reference. Changes in direction and magnitude of the fluctuations at a given point are wholly random and there is no correlation between the components of the fluctuations in different directions. Thus $\overline{u^{2}}=\overline{v^{2}}=\overline{w^{2}}$ and $\overline{u v}=\overline{v w}=\overline{u w}=0$.

There is a strong tendency toward isotropy in all turbulent motions. The turbulence at the center of a pipe in which the flow is eddying or in the natural wind at a sufficient height above the ground is approximately isotropic. A grid of round wires placed in a uniform fluid stream sets up a more or less regular eddy system of non-isotropic character which very quickly transforms into a field of uniformly distributed isotropic turbulence.

The assumption of isotropy introduces many simplifications in the statistical representation of turbulence. The two quantities, intensity and scale, appear to give a description of the statistical properties of the turbulent field
which is sufficient for most purposes. Turbulent fields of this type can readily be produced experimentally and studied. The intensity may be varied from less than 0.1 to about 5.0 percent of the mean speed and the scale independently from a few mm to $25 \mathrm{~mm} .^{14}$
11. Decay of isotropic turbulence. The kinetic energy of the turbulent fluctuations per unit volume is equal to $\frac{1}{2} \rho\left(\overline{u^{2}}+\overline{v^{2}}+\overline{w^{2}}\right)$ which for isotropic turbulence becomes $(3 / 2) \rho \overline{u^{2}}$. The rate of decay is therefore $-(3 / 2) \rho d\left(\overline{u^{2}}\right) / d l$. If the isotropic turbulence is superposed on a stream of uniform speed $U$, we may write $d t=d x / U$ and hence the rate of decay with respect to distance $x$ as $-(3 / 2) \rho U d\left(\overline{u^{2}}\right) / d x$.

In a fully developed turbulent flow the Reynolds stresses are proportional to the squares of the turbulent fluctuations. The work done against these stresses, which in the absence of external forces must come from the kinctic energy of the system, is proportional to $\rho u^{\prime 3} / L$ where $u^{\prime}$ is written for $\sqrt{u^{2}}$ and $L$ is a linear dimension defining the scale of the system, which may be taken as the $L$ defined by (9.2). Equating the two expressions for the dissipation and designating the constant of proportionality as $3 A$, we find:

$$
\begin{equation*}
-(3 / 2) \rho U d\left(u^{\prime 2}\right) / d x=3 A \rho u^{\prime 3} / L \tag{11.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
L d\left(U / u^{\prime}\right) / d x=A \tag{11.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating:

$$
\begin{equation*}
U / u^{\prime}-U / u_{0}^{\prime}=A \int_{x_{0}}^{x} d x / L \tag{11.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $U / u_{0}^{\prime}$ is the value of $U / u^{\prime}$ at $x=x_{0}$. This equation has been found to give a very good representation of the experimental data. The essential features of the derivation were given by Taylor. To evaluate the integral, $L$ must be known as a function of $x$. Taylor's first proposal was to assume that $L$ is independent of $x$ and proportional to the mesh $M$ of the grid giving rise to the turbulence. If $L$ is constant,

$$
\begin{equation*}
U / u^{\prime}-U / u_{0}^{\prime}=A\left(x-x_{0}\right) / L \tag{11.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

giving a linear variation of $U / u^{\prime}$ with $x$. Assuming $L / M=k$, Taylor found values of $A / k$ for data from various sources varying between 1.03 and 1.32 .

[^5]When measured values of $L$ became available it was found that $L$ increased as $x$ increased, the results being represented empirically within the accuracy of the measurements by the relation $L=L_{0}+c\left(x-x_{0}\right)$, whence

$$
\begin{equation*}
U / u^{\prime}-U / u_{0}^{\prime}=(A / c) \log _{e}\left[1+c\left(x-x_{0}\right) / L_{0}\right] \tag{11.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taylor ${ }^{15}$ found values of $A$ for data from various sources varying between 0.43 and 0.19 .

Further study suggests another relation for the variation of $L$ with $x$. A discussion of the general theory will be deferred until section 17 and the question discussed on purely dimensional considerations. If one assumes that $d u^{\prime} / d l$, the rate of change of intensity, and $d L / d t$, the rate of change of scale, are determined solely by the values of $L$ and $u^{\prime}$, i.e. that viscosity and upstream conditions have no influence, it follows from dimensional reasoning that

$$
\begin{equation*}
L d\left(1 / u^{\prime}\right) / d t=A \quad \text { and } \quad\left(1 / u^{\prime}\right) d L / d t=B \tag{11.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
L d\left(U / u^{\prime}\right) / d x=A \quad \text { and } \quad\left(U / u^{\prime}\right) d L / d x=B \tag{11.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A$ and $B$ are numerical constants. The first equation of each pair is the same as equation (11.2); the second is a new relation.

Integration of equations (11.6) and (11.7) leads to the relations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{u_{0}^{\prime}}{u^{\prime}}=\left[1+\frac{(A+B) u_{0}^{\prime}\left(x-x_{0}\right)}{L_{0} U}\right]^{A /(A+B)} \tag{11.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{L}{L_{0}}=\left[1+\frac{(A+B) u_{0}^{\prime}\left(x-x_{0}\right)}{L_{0} U}\right]^{B /(A+B)} \tag{11.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u_{0}^{\prime}$ and $L_{0}$ are the values at $x=0$.
If it is desired to introduce a reference dimension pertaining to the dimensions of the grid producing the disturbance, this may be done, but according to equations (11.8) and (11.9) any dimension may be used and the decay does not depend on its value. The mesh distance $M$ is often used but certain results reported by von Kármán ${ }^{16}$ show that if $M / d$ is not too small, the use

[^6]

Fig. 1. The turbulent fluctuation $u^{\prime}$ behind a grid of wires of diameter $d$ as a function of distance $x$ from the grid.


Fig. 2. The scale $L$ behind a grid of wires of diameter $d$ as a function of distance $x$ from the grid.
of the wire diameter $d$ as the reference dimension leads to a single curve for all grids irrespective of the mesh-diameter ratio.

The available data are plotted in Figs. 1 and 2 from references in footnotes 14,15 , and 16 . The solid curves are respectively

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(U / u^{\prime}\right)^{2}=400[(1+0.04(x / d-80)] \tag{11.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
(L / d)^{2}=0.264[(1+0.04(x / d-80)] \tag{11.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

which are in the form of equations (11.8) and (11.9) with the constants $A=B=0.2056$. These curves are frankly selected to fit the National Bureau of Standards data.

If one considers the complete system of screen and turbulent field, dimensional considerations suggest that for geometrically similar screens whose scale is fixed by some characteristic dimension, such as the mesh length $M$, the ratios $u^{\prime} / U$ and $L / M$ would be a function of $x / M$, of the Reynolds Number $U M / \nu$ and of the turbulence of the free stream $u_{i}^{\prime} / U$, in which the screen is placed. If the screens are not geometrically similar but are made up of cylindrical rods of diameter $d$, the intensity and scale also depend on $d / M$ and on the roughness of the screen. The effects of these parameters have not been fully investigated, and doubtless a part of the discrepancy between the available results is to be ascribed to the influence of these factors.

For example, the screens used at the National Bureau of Standards were either woven wire screens or wooden screens with fairly rough surfaces with the members interlacing in the wire screens and intersecting in the wooden screens. The ratio $d / M$ varied from 0.186 to 0.201 . The screens used by Hall were arranged in two planes, i.e., horizontal rods in one plane, vertical rods just touching the horizontal rods but in another plane. The ratio $d / M$ was 0.184 to 0.188 . Von Kármán has studied the effect of varying $d / M$ from 0.086 to 0.462 and has used screens both of the woven type (results published by von Kármán, loc. cit.) and of the biplane type (results not published). A study of these data suggests that the difference between the results for woven screens and biplane screens is unimportant and that if results are plotted in terms of $x / d$ rather than $x / M$ the effect of $d / M$ is small for values of $d / M$ near 0.2. No data are available on the effect of roughness.

Few data are available on the effect of free stream turbulence. Hall obtained an increase of about 10 to 20 percent in $u^{\prime}$ for a 1 -inch screen at the
the paper, but Professor von Kármán has kindly supplied them as follows:

| Grid | Mesh Distance, $M$ <br> inches | Wire Diameter, $d$ <br> inches | $M / d$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 4.96 | 0.230 | 2.16 |
| 2 | 5.00 | .105 | 4.75 |
| 3 | 5.07 | .084 | 6.03 |
| 4 | 4.99 | .043 | 11.6 |

same value of $x / M$ by increasing the free stream turbulence from 0.2 percent to 1.3 percent. We have had the opportunity of making some measurements behind the same 1 -inch screen used in the measurements described in NACA Technical Report No. 581 in an airstream for which the free stream turbulence is 0.03 percent as compared with 0.85 percent for the older measurcments. The results are shown in Fig. 3 as compared with Hall's measurements. It is obvious that the turbulence of the free stream is one of the controlling factors, but not the only one.


Fig. 3. Effect of free stream turbulence on the turbulence behind a 1 -inch screen.
The study of the turbulent field behind screens as affected by numerous parameters is of interest from the standpoint of a study of screens. However, the turbulent field may be regarded from another point of view, i.e. in relation solely to the theory of isotropic turbulence. If the turbulence is truly isotropic, and if its characteristics can be adequately described by the two quantities, intensity and scale, its behavior can depend only on the values of intensity and scale at some given point. The details of construction of the source screen and its distance upstream are of no importance. Even the influence of the turbulence of the free stream should be absorbed in the given
values of $u^{\prime}$ and $L$ at some one point. The decay of isotropic turbulence is considered from this point of view in section 17.
12. Effect of contraction. The behavior of turbulence in a contracting stream is of interest in connection with the flow in the entrance cone of a wind tunnel. Prandtll ${ }^{17}$ suggested that the longitudinal components of the fluctuations were reduced in the ratio of 1 to $l$ where $l$ is the ratio of the entrance area to the exit area of the cone. This result was derived on the assumption that the gain in energy is the same for all filaments traversing the cone. The same result was obtained from the Helmholtz vortex theorem, which was also used to show that the lateral components were increased in the ratio $\sqrt{l}$. Since the mean speed increases proportional to $l$, the values of $u^{\prime} / U$ and $v^{\prime} / U$ are reduced according to this theory in the ratios $1 / l^{2}$ and $1 / \sqrt{l}$ respectively. This computation neglects the decay of the turbulence because of viscosity.

Taylor ${ }^{18}$ computed the effect of a contraction on certain mathematically defined forms of disturbance. Two objections may be offered to this treatment. First, as in Prandtl's treatment, the decay of the turbulence is neglected. Second, the computation is made on a regular disturbance which is assumed to retain its regularity. When the rapid development of an isotropic turbulent field from a Kármán vortex trail is considered, it is hard to believe that a regular vortex pattern could retain its character throughout the length of a wind tunnel entrance cone unless the scale was very large indeed.

If it is assumed that the istropic turbulent field is unaffected by changes in the mean speed, the decrease in $u^{\prime}$ may be computed from the decay during the time required for the fluid to traverse the cone. This time interval is $\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{1}} d x / U$. If $A$ is the area of the cross section at any value of $x, U A=U_{0} A_{0}$ where $U_{0}$ and $A_{0}$ are the values at $x=x_{0}$, and hence the time interval is $\int_{x_{0}}^{x_{1}} A d x / U_{0} A_{0}$.

There are as yet no suitable experimental data for checking any theory. In the measurements quoted by Taylor all the data were obtained sufficiently close to a grid to lie within the non-isotropic turbulence of the vortex trails from the individual wires.
13. The correlation tensor function. Von Kármán ${ }^{19}$ introduced the correlation tensor function in the statistical theory of turbulence as a generalization of the particular correlation coefficients discussed by Taylor. The correlation coefficients between any component of the speed fluctuation at a given point and any component of the speed fluctuation at another point

[^7]form a tensor. If one point is held fixed and the other varied, the tensor varies as a function of the coordinates of the variable point with respect to the fixed point. We may speak of this function as the correlation tensor function.

In isotropic turbulence the correlation tensor has spherical symmetry and the several components are functions only of the distance $r$ between the two points, and of the time $t$. Denote by $u_{1}, v_{1}, w_{1}$ and $u_{2}, v_{2}, w_{2}$ the components of the velocity fluctuations at the two points $\mathrm{P}_{1}$ and $\mathrm{P}_{2}$ having coordinates ( $x_{1}, 0,0$ ) and ( $x_{2}, 0,0$ ) respectively. Suppose that $\overline{u_{1}^{2},} \overline{v_{1}^{2}}, \overline{w_{1}^{2}}$, which by isotropy are equal, are independent of position and equal to $\overline{u_{2}^{2}}$. Then $\overline{u_{2}^{2}}=\overline{v_{2}^{2}}=\overline{w_{2}^{2}}=\overline{u^{2}}$.

The correlation coefficients $\overline{v_{1} v_{2}} / \overline{u^{2}}$ and $\overline{w_{1} w_{2}} / \overline{u^{2}}$ will be identical because of isotropy and will be some particular function of the distance $r$ between


Fig. 4.
$P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ and of the time $t$, say $g(r, t)$. The correlation coefficient $\overline{u_{1} u_{2}} / \overline{u^{2}}$ will also be a function of $r$ and $t$, say $f(r, t)$. The correlation coefficients $\overline{u_{1} v_{2}} / \overline{u^{2}}, \overline{u_{1} w_{2}} / \overline{u^{2}}, \overline{v_{1} u_{2}} / \overline{u^{2}}, \overline{v_{1} w_{2}} / \overline{u^{2}}, \overline{w_{1} u_{2}} / \overline{u^{2}}$ and $\overline{w_{1} v_{2}} / \overline{u^{2}}$ can be shown to be zero. Thus if the $Y$ and $Z$ axes are rotated about the $X$ axis through $180^{\circ}$, the absolute values of all components are unchanged but the signs of the $v$ and $w$ components are reversed. Denoting values referred to the new axes by capital letters, $U_{1}=u_{1}, U_{2}=u_{2}, V_{1}=-v_{1}, V_{2}=-v_{2}, W_{1}=w_{1}, W_{2}=-w_{2}$, so that, for example, $\overline{U_{1} V_{2}}=-\overline{u_{1} v_{2}}$. But by isotropy, the value of any function of the components is unchanged by rotation of the axes, and therefore $\overline{U_{1} V_{2}}=\overline{u_{1} v_{2}}$. To satisfy both relations $\overline{u_{1} v_{2}}$ must equal zero. Similarly for the other terms containing $u_{1}$ or $u_{2}$. By reflection in the $X Z$ plane $\overline{v_{1} w_{2}}$ and $\overline{w_{1} v_{2}}$ may likewise be shown to be zero.

The correlation coefficient for components of the fluctuations in any arbitrary directions at any two points may be expressed in terms of the functions $f(r, t)$ and $g(r, t)$ and the geometrical


Fig. 5. The principal double correlations in isotropic turbulence. parameters. Consider any two points $P$ and $Q$ and components of the fluctuations $p$ in the direction $\mathrm{PP}^{\prime}$ at P and $q$ in the direction $\mathrm{QQ}^{\prime}$ at Q . (Fig. 4). Denote by $Q Q^{\prime \prime}$ the orthogonal projection of $Q Q^{\prime}$ on the plane $P P^{\prime} Q$; by $\alpha, \beta$, and $\gamma$ the angles $P^{\prime} P Q$, $\pi-P Q Q^{\prime \prime}$, and $Q Q^{\prime} Q^{\prime \prime}$; and by $p_{1}, p_{2}$, $p_{3}$ and $q_{1}, q_{2}, q_{3}$ the components of the fluctuations at $P$ and $Q$ in the direction $P Q$, in the direction normal to $P Q$ and $Q^{\prime} Q^{\prime \prime}$, and in the direction $Q^{\prime \prime} Q^{\prime}$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
p= & p_{1} \cos \alpha+p_{2} \sin \alpha \\
q= & q_{1} \cos \beta \sin \gamma+q_{2} \sin \beta \sin \gamma \\
& +q_{3} \cos \gamma . \tag{13.1}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{p q}=\overline{p_{1} q_{1}} \cos \alpha \cos \beta \sin \gamma+\overline{p_{2} q_{2}} \sin \beta \sin \alpha \sin \gamma \tag{13.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

the other terms vanishing as proved in the preceding paragraph. In terms of $f(r, t)$ and $g(r, t)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{p q} / \overline{u^{2}}=[f(r, t) \cos \alpha \cos \beta+g(r, t) \sin \alpha \sin \beta] \sin \gamma . \tag{13.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The correlations denoted by $f(r, t)$ and $g(r, t)$ are indicated in Fig. 5.
If now any two points with coordinates ( $x_{1}, y_{1}, z_{1}$ ) and ( $x_{2}, y_{2}, z_{2}$ ) and speed fluctuations with components $u_{1}, v_{1}, w_{1}$ and $u_{2}, v_{2}, w_{2}$ are considered, the nine quantities $\overline{u_{1} u_{2}}, \overline{u_{1} v_{2}}, \overline{u_{1} w_{2}}, \overline{v_{1} u_{1}}, \overline{v_{1} v_{2}}, \overline{v_{1} w_{2}}, \overline{w_{1} u_{1}}, \overline{v_{1} v_{2}}$, and $\overline{w_{1} w_{2}}$ are the components of a second rank tensor. Each one may be evaluated by equation (13.2) in terms of $f(r, t)$ and $g(r, t)$ with the result in tensor notation

$$
\begin{equation*}
R=\frac{f(r, t)-g(r, t)}{r^{2}} r r+g(r, t) I \tag{13.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r$ is the vector having components $X=x_{2}-x_{1}, Y=y_{2}-y_{1}, Z=z_{2}-z_{1}$ $r$ is $|r|$ and $I$ is the unit tensor $\left|\begin{array}{lll}1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1\end{array}\right|$.

The velocity fluctuations satisfy the equation of continuity. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial u_{2}}{\partial x_{2}}+\frac{\partial v_{2}}{\partial y_{2}}+\frac{\partial w_{2}}{\partial z_{2}}=0 . \tag{13.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplying by $u_{1} / \overline{u^{2}}$ which is independent of $x_{2}, y_{2}, z_{2}$ and introducing the correlation coefficients $R_{u_{1} u_{2}}$, etc. and the components $X, Y, Z$ of $r$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial R_{u_{2} u_{2}}}{\partial X}+\frac{\partial R_{u, v_{2}}}{\partial Y}+\frac{\partial R_{u_{1} w_{2}}}{\partial Z}=0 . \tag{13.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

From equation (13.4)

$$
R_{u_{1} u_{2}}=\frac{f-g}{r^{2}} X^{2}+g ; \quad R_{u_{1} v_{2}}=\frac{f-g}{r^{2}} X Y ; \quad R_{u_{1} w_{2}}=\frac{f-g}{r^{2}} X Z
$$

whence, remembering that $X^{2}+Y^{2}+Z^{2}=r^{2}, \partial r / \partial X=X / r, \partial f / \partial X=(\partial f / \partial r)$ $(\partial r / \partial X)=(X / r)(\partial f / \partial r)$, etc., equation (13.6) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
X[2(f-g)+r(\partial f / \partial r)]=0 . \tag{13.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The continuity equation must be true for any value of $X$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
2 f(r, t)-2 g(r, t)=-r \partial f(r, t) / \partial r \tag{13.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The correlation tensor can thus be expressed in terms of a single scalar function, either $f(r, t)$ or $g(r, t)$. The function $g(r, t)$ is the correlation coefficient previously denoted by $R_{y}$. The scale $L=\int_{0}^{\infty} R_{y} d y=\int_{0}^{\infty} g d r$. The integral $\int_{0}^{\infty} R_{x} d x=\int_{0}^{x} f d r$ is termed the longitudinal scale $L_{x}$ to distinguish it from the lateral scale $L$. Obviously from equation (13.8)

$$
\begin{equation*}
L-L_{x}=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} r(\partial f / \partial r) d r=\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{\infty} x\left(\partial R_{x} / \partial x\right) d x \tag{13.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $f$ and $g$ are even functions of $r$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& f=1+f_{0}^{\prime \prime} r^{2} / 2+\cdots  \tag{13.10}\\
& g=1+g_{0}^{\prime \prime} r^{2} / 2+\cdots \tag{13.11}
\end{align*}
$$

From equation (13.8), $2 f_{0}^{\prime \prime}=g_{0}^{\prime \prime}$, whence for small values of $r$,

$$
\begin{align*}
R & =\left[1+\left(g_{0}^{\prime \prime} / 2\right) r^{2}\right] I+\left[\left(f_{0}^{\prime \prime}-g_{0}^{\prime \prime} / 2\right]_{r r}\right.  \tag{13.12}\\
& =\left(1+f_{0}^{\prime \prime} r^{2}\right) I-(1 / 2) f_{0}^{\prime \prime} r r .
\end{align*}
$$

We require later the second derivatives of $R$ at $r=0$, i.e. $X=Y=Z=0$, as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{2} R_{u_{1} u_{2}}}{\partial X^{2}}=\frac{\partial^{2} R_{v_{1} v_{2}}}{\partial Y^{2}}=\frac{\partial^{2} R_{w_{1} w_{2}}}{\partial Z^{2}}=f_{0}^{\prime \prime} \tag{13.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\frac{\partial^{2} R_{u_{1} u_{2}}}{\partial Y^{2}}=\frac{\partial^{2} R_{u_{1} u_{2}}}{\partial Z^{2}}$ and similar terms obtained by cyclic exchange $=2 f_{0}^{\prime \prime}$
$\frac{\partial^{2} R_{u_{1} v_{2}}}{\partial X \partial Y}$ and similar terms obtained by cyclic exchange $=-(1 / 2) f_{0}^{\prime \prime}$.
All others, e.g. $\frac{\partial^{2} R_{u_{1}} v_{2}}{\partial X \partial Z}$ etc. are zero.
Von Kármán points out that the correlation tensor is of the same form as the stress tensor for a continuous medium when there is spherical symmetry. In the analogy $f(r)$ corresponds to the principal radial stress at any point, $g(r)$ to the principal transverse stress, and the several $R$ 's to the stress components over planes normal to the coordinate axes. The relation between $f$ and $g$ given by the continuity equation corresponds to the condition for equilibrium of the stresses.

Equation (13.8) has been experimentally checked at the National Physical Laboratory. ${ }^{15}$
14. Correlation between derivatives of the velocity fluctuations. In further developments it will be necessary to know the mean values of the products of the derivatives of the components of the fluctuations at a given point, for example ( $\left.\overline{\left.\partial u_{1} / \partial x_{1}\right)\left(\partial v_{1} / \partial y_{1}\right.}\right)$. These mean values may readily be computed from the correlation tensor. Thus:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial\left(\overline{u_{1} v_{2}}\right)}{\partial x_{1}}=\overline{u^{2}} \frac{\partial\left(R_{u_{1} v_{2}}\right)}{\partial x_{1}}=-\overline{u^{2}} \frac{\partial\left(R_{u_{1} v_{2}}\right)}{\partial X} . \tag{14.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $v_{2}$ is not a function of $x_{1}$, this may be written

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\left(\partial u_{1} / \partial x_{1}\right) v_{2}}=-\overline{u^{2}} \partial R_{u_{1} v_{2}} / \partial X . \tag{14.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating now with respect to $y_{2}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial x_{1}} \frac{\partial v_{2}}{\partial y_{2}}}=\frac{\partial}{\partial y_{2}}\left(\overline{\frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial x_{1}} v_{2}}\right)=-\overline{u^{2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{2}}\left(\frac{\partial R_{u_{1} v_{z}}}{\partial X}\right)=-\overline{u^{2}} \frac{\partial^{2} R_{u_{1} v_{z}}}{\partial X \partial Y} . \tag{14.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now letting $P_{1}$ and $P_{2}$ coincide,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}}=-\overline{u^{2}}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} R_{u v}}{\partial X \partial Y}\right) X=Y=0 . \tag{14.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The limiting value of the second derivative has previously been computed (equation (13.15)), whence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\overline{\partial u}}{\partial x} \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}=\frac{f_{0}^{\prime \prime}}{2} \overline{u^{2}} . \tag{14.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

By similar reasoning it may be shown that

$$
\begin{align*}
\overline{\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right)^{2}}=\overline{\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial y}\right)^{\bar{z}}} & =\overline{\left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial z}\right)^{2}}=-\overline{u^{2}} f_{0}^{\prime \prime}  \tag{14.6}\\
\overline{\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}\right)^{2}}=\overline{\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial z}\right)^{2}} & =\overline{\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}\right)^{2}}=\overline{\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial z}\right)^{2}}=\overline{\left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial x}\right)^{2}}  \tag{14.7}\\
& =\overline{\left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial y}\right)^{2}}=-2 \overline{u^{2}} f_{0}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}}=\overline{\frac{\partial w}{\partial y} \frac{\partial v}{\partial z}}=\overline{\frac{\partial u}{\partial z} \frac{\partial w}{\partial x}}=\overline{\frac{u^{2}}{2}} f_{0}^{\prime \prime} . \tag{14.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The method can be extended to derivatives of higher order.
15. Triple correlations. Von Kármán designates the mean values of the product of three components of the velocity fluctuations, two of which are taken at one arbitrary point and the third at a second arbitrary point, as triple correlations. They arise when correlation coefficients are introduced into the equations of motion. He shows that the triple correlations are components of a tensor of third rank designated $T$ which is a function of $X, Y, Z$ and the time. He proves that in isotropic turbulence this tensor can be expressed in terms of three functions $h(r, l)$,


Fig. 6. The principal triple correlations in isotropic turbulence. $k(r, t)$, and $q(r, t)$ corresponding to the correlations shown in Fig. 6, and that the development of these functions in powers of $r$ begins with the $r^{3}$ term. The equation of continuity permits the expression of $k$ and $q$ in terms of $h$ by the relations:

$$
\begin{align*}
& k=-2 h  \tag{15.1}\\
& q=-h-(r / 2)(d h / d r) \tag{15.2}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus the tensor $T$ can be expressed in terms of a single scalar function $h(r, t)$.
16. Propagation of the correlation with time. The fluctuations are assumed to satisfy the equations of motion, namely,

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial t}+u_{1} \frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial x_{1}}+v_{1} \frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial y_{1}}+w_{1} & \frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial z_{1}} \\
& =-\frac{1}{\rho} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x_{1}}+\nu\left(\frac{\partial^{2} u_{1}}{\partial x_{1}^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} u_{1}}{\partial y_{1}^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} u_{1}}{\partial z_{1}^{2}}\right) \tag{16.1}
\end{align*}
$$

and the two equations obtained by cyclic permutation.
Multiplying this equation by $u_{2}$, introducing $X, Y$, and $Z$, and taking mean values:

$$
\begin{align*}
\overline{u_{2} \frac{\partial u_{1}}{\partial t}}-\frac{\partial\left(\overline{u_{1}^{2} u_{2}}\right)}{\partial X} & -\frac{\partial\left(\overline{u_{1} v_{1} u_{2}}\right)}{\partial Y}-\frac{\partial\left(\overline{\left.u_{1} \overline{w_{1} u_{2}}\right)}\right.}{\partial Z} \\
& =-\frac{1}{\rho} \overline{u_{2} \frac{\partial p}{\partial x_{1}}}+\nu\left(\frac{\partial^{\overline{2} u_{1} u_{2}}}{\partial X^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{\overline{2} u_{1} u_{2}}}{\partial Y^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{\overline{2} u_{1} u_{2}}}{\partial Z^{2}}\right) \tag{16.2}
\end{align*}
$$

By an analogous procedure, it may be shown that:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \overline{u_{1} \frac{\partial u_{2}}{\partial l}}-\overline{\frac{\partial u_{2}^{2} u_{1}}{\partial X}}-\frac{\overline{\partial u_{2} v_{2} u_{1}}}{\partial Y}-\frac{\overline{\partial u_{2} w_{2} u_{1}}}{\partial Z} \\
&=-\frac{1}{\rho} \overline{u_{1} \frac{\partial P}{\partial x_{2}}}+\nu\left(\frac{\partial^{2} \overline{u_{1} u_{2}}}{\partial X^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} u_{1} u_{2}}{\partial Y^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} u_{1} u_{2}}{\partial Z^{2}}\right) \tag{16.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Von Kármán shows that the pressure terms vanish. Adding the two equations and introducing the correlation coefficients, we find

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial t}\left(\overline{u^{2}} R_{u_{1} u_{2}}\right) & -\left(\overline{u^{2}}\right)^{3 / 2} \frac{\partial}{\partial X}\left(\overline{\left(u_{1}^{2} u_{2}\right.}+\overline{u_{2}^{2} u_{1}}\right)-\overline{\left(u^{2}\right)^{3 / 2}} \frac{\partial}{\partial Y}\left(\overline{u_{1} v_{1} u_{2}}+\overline{u_{2} v_{2} u_{1}}\right) \\
& -\left(\overline{u^{2}}\right)^{3 / 2} \frac{\partial}{\partial Z}\left(\overline{u_{1} w_{1} u_{2}}+\overline{u_{2} w_{2} u_{1}}\right)  \tag{16.4}\\
= & 2 n \overline{u^{2}}\left[\frac{\partial^{2} u_{1} u_{2}}{\partial X^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} \overline{u_{1} u_{2}}}{\partial Y^{2}}+\frac{\partial^{2} \overline{u_{1} u_{2}}}{\partial Z^{2}}\right] .
\end{align*}
$$

This equation may be expressed in terms of the functions $f, g, k, q$ and $h$. Then by using the relations (15.1) and (15.2) between these functions obtained from the equation of continuity, a partial differential equation between $f$ and $h$ is obtained, namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial\left(\overline{u^{2}}\right)}{\partial t}+2\left(\overline{u u^{2}}\right)^{3 / 2}\left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial r}+\frac{4 h}{r}\right)=2 \nu \overline{u^{2}}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial r^{2}}+\frac{4}{r} \frac{\partial f}{\partial r}\right) . \tag{16.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is the equation for the change of the function $f$ with time, but it cannot be solved without some knowledge of the function $h$.
17. Self-preserving correlation functions. Let us suppose that the functions $f(r, t)$ and $h(r, t)$ preserve the same form as $t$ increases, only the scale varying. Such functions will be termed "self-preserving." If $L$ is some measure of the scale of the correlation curve, $f$ and $h$ will be functions of $r / L$ only, where $L$ is a function of $t$. The length $L$ may be any measure of the scale such
as the radius of curvature of the correlation curve at $r=0$ or any other designated point, the value of $r$ for a given value of the correlation coefficient, or the quantity obtained by integration of the correlation coefficient from $r=0$ to infinity which has previously been termed the scale of the turbulence. Introducing the new variable $\psi=r / L$ and placing $\left(\overline{u^{2}}\right)^{1 / 2}=u^{\prime}$ in equation (16.5), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{f L}{u^{\prime 3}} \frac{d u^{\prime 2}}{d t}-\frac{1}{u^{\prime}} \frac{d L}{d t} \psi \frac{\partial f}{\partial \psi}+2\left(\frac{\partial h}{\partial \psi}+4 \frac{h}{\psi}\right)=\frac{2}{N}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} f}{\partial \psi^{2}}+\frac{4}{\psi} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \psi}\right) \tag{17.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N$ is the Reynolds Number of the turbulence $u^{\prime} L / \nu$. Since the cocffcient of the third term is a numerical constant, the functions $f$ and $h$ will be functions of $\psi$ and $t$ alone only if the coefficients of the other terms are also numerical constants. This requires that

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{L}{u^{\prime 3}} \frac{d u^{\prime 2}}{d t} & =-L \frac{d\left(1 / u^{\prime}\right)}{d t}=-A  \tag{17.2}\\
\frac{1}{u^{\prime}} \frac{d L}{d t} & =B  \tag{17.3}\\
\frac{u^{\prime} L}{\nu} & =N_{0} \tag{17.4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $A, B$, and $N_{0}$ are independent of $u^{\prime}, L$, and $t$. It is readily shown that these relations are consistent only if $A=B$ and that the solutions are

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{u^{\prime 2}}-\frac{1}{u_{0}^{\prime 2}} & =\frac{2 A}{N_{0} \nu} t  \tag{17.5}\\
L^{2}-L_{0}^{2} & =2 A N_{0} \nu t \tag{17.6}
\end{align*}
$$

where $u_{0}^{\prime}$ and $L_{0}$ are the values for $t=0$ and $u_{0}^{\prime} L_{0} / \nu=N_{0}$.
These equations are in the form of equations (11.8) and (11.9) with $A=B$ and agree well with the formulation of the experimental data represented by equations (11.10) and (11.11) with $2 A N_{0} \nu / L_{0}^{2}=2 A u_{0}^{2} / N_{0} \nu=2 A \sqrt{u_{0}^{2}} / L_{0}=0.04$, corresponding to $t=0$ at a distance of 80 wire diameters from the grid. The constant $A$ is equal to 0.2056 when $L$ is defined as $\int_{0}^{\infty} R_{v} d y$.

For self-preserving turbulence equation (16.5) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
-A f-A \psi(\partial f / \partial \psi)+2(\partial h / \partial \psi+4 h / \psi)=\left(2 / N_{0}\right)\left[\left(\partial^{2} f / \partial \psi^{2}+(4 / \psi)(\partial f / \partial \psi)\right]\right. \tag{17.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

This equation determines the shape of the correlation curve. Von Kármán ${ }^{19}$ discusses the shape when the function $h$ is neglected. The shape depends on the Reynolds Number $N_{0}$ of the turbulence. The shape also depends on the constant $A$ but closer examination shows that $A$ is always associated with $L$
and is dependent on the method of defining $L$. If $\psi$ is set equal to $r A / L$ instead of $r / L$, and the length $L / A$ is used instead of $L$ in the definition of the Reynolds Number of the turbulence, the $A$ disappears from equation (17.7). Whether the values of $L$ defined by $\int g d r$ will yield the same values of $A$ for all shapes of correlation curves described by (17.7) cannot be definitely answered.

Approximate solutions of (17.7) are not easy since it turns out that $f$ varies with $N_{0}$ in such a manner that, for small values of $\psi$ at least, the term on the right-hand side is of the order of unity.


Fig. 7. Hall's measurements of turbulence behind screens.

According to this suggested theory, the shape is self-preserving and the Reynolds Number remains constant during the decay of a given turbulent field. The scale approaches very large values as the intensity approaches very small values. The length $\lambda$ (which is discussed in section 18) is proportional to $L$. For different values of the Reynolds Number of the turbulence the constant of proportionality varies inversely as the square root of the Reynolds Number. Likewise the shape of the correlation curve varies with the Reynolds number of the turbulence.

Equation (17.6) shows the same functional relation between the scale and the time as given by Prandtl at the Turbulence Symposium as a result of his analysis of photographs of the decay of isotropic turbulence.

Von Kármán also discusses the case in which the assumption is made that the self-preserving feature applies only to large values of $\psi$ and the Reynolds Number $N_{0}$ is sufficiently large that the right-hand term of (17.7) can be neglected. In this case (17.2) and (17.3) are obtained without (17.4) and the solution is identical with that given by (11.8) and (11.9) of section 11. The theoretical equations (17.5) and (17.6) do not involve either $U$


Fig. 8. Von Kármán's measurements of turbulence behind screens.
or $M$ explicitly. However, for comparison with experimental data, they may be written as follows:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\frac{u_{0}^{\prime}}{u^{\prime}}\right)^{2}=1+\frac{2 A u_{0}^{\prime} t}{L_{0}}=1+2 A \frac{u_{0}^{\prime}}{U} \frac{\left(x-x_{0}\right)}{L_{0}}  \tag{17.8}\\
& \left(\frac{L}{L_{0}}\right)^{2}=1+\frac{2 A u_{0}^{\prime} t}{L_{0}}=1+2 A \frac{u_{0}^{\prime}}{U} \frac{\left(x-x_{0}\right)}{L_{0}} \tag{17.9}
\end{align*}
$$

Both $u_{0}^{\prime}$ and $L_{0}$ should be known, but unfortunately $L_{0}$ was not measured in all of the experiments.

Figures 7, 8, and 9 show the results of Hall, of von Kármán, and of the author and his associates (designated NBS) plotted in a manner to facilitate comparison with equation (17.8).

The reference position $x_{0}$ has been taken as 40 times the mesh length ex-
cept for von Kármán's results for which $x_{0}$ was taken as 212.5 times the rod diameter (equivalent to $x_{0} / M=40$ for $d / M=0.188$ ). In the absence of definite information as to $L_{0}, L_{0} / M$ was assumed equal to 0.29 except for von Kármán's results for which $L_{0} / d$ was assumed to be 1.54 (equivalent to $L_{0} / M=0.29$ for $d / M=0.188)$. The value of $u_{0}^{\prime}$ was determined by interpolation from the observations of each experimenter near $x / M=40$, giving the following results.


Fig. 9. NBS measurements of turbulence behind screens.

| Experimenter | Mesh <br> Inches | Rod <br> Diameter <br> Inches | Air Speed <br> ft/sec | $u_{0}^{\prime} / U$ | Remarks |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hall | 1.0 | 0.188 | 20 | 0.0146 |  |
|  | 0.5 | .092 | 20 | .0144 |  |
|  | 0.5 | .092 | 40 | .0152 |  |
|  | 0.5 | .092 | 80 | .0174 |  |
| von Kármán | 0.5 | 0.105 | 38 and 54 | .0201 | Screen 2 |
|  | 0.5 | .084 | 38 and 75 | .0201 | Screen 3 |
|  | 0.5 | .043 | 38 and 75 | .0299 | Screen 4 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 0.25 | 0.050 | $20-70$ | 0.0250 | NACA Tech. Rept. 581 |
|  | 0.5 | .096 | $20-70$ | .0221 | NACA Tech. Rept. 581 |
|  | 1.0 | .196 | $20-70$ | .0224 | NACA Tech. Rept. 581 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1.0 | 0.196 | 30 | 0.0188 | Recent tests |

The values of $u_{0}^{\prime} / U$ range from 0.0144 to 0.0299 ; presumably the differences are due mainly to the factors discussed in section 11, although systematic errors may be partly responsible.

In each figure, equation (17.8) with constant $A$ equal to 0.29 is plotted as a straight line. Most of the points would be better fitted by a curve of increasing slope with increasing time. It thus appears that equation (11.9) with $(A+B) / A$ having some value between 1 and 2 fits the experimental data better than (17.8).

However, the data are not at all consistent. The departures are largest for the smaller values of $u^{\prime} / U$. In Hall's experiments, the results on the $\frac{1}{2}$-inch screen show little systematic departure at 40 and $80 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{sec}$, whereas those on the same screen at $20 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{sec}$ and on the 1 -inch screen begin to rise above the line at $u_{0}^{\prime} t / L_{0}=0.5$. Von Kármán's data on screen 2 at $38 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{sec}$ lie near the line; those on the same screen at $54 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{sec}$ and on screens 3 and 4 at 38 and $75 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{sec}$ begin to rise above the line at $u_{0}^{\prime} t / L_{0}=4.0$. The older results of the author and his associates, while scattered, agree with the line within 12 percent to $u_{0}^{\prime} t / L_{0}=18$; the more recent results begin to rise above the line at $u_{0}^{\prime} t / L_{0}=0.5$ and are in fair agreement with Hall's data on a 1 -inch screen. Unfortunately, data at large values of $x / M$ could not be obtained in the recent experiments.

Thus, even when attention is confined to the behavior of the isotropic turbulent field, there remain discrepancies in the experimental data such that no definite conclusions can be drawn as to the merits of any theory. Further experiments are required under carefully controlled conditions in an air stream of low turbulence over a wide range of values of $x / M$ and with due regard to the various systematic errors that may be present. These experiments would be of the greatest value if the scale were also measured.
18. The length $\lambda$. Relation between $\lambda$ and $L$. The general expression for the mean rate of dissipation in the flow of a viscous fluid is:

$$
\begin{align*}
W=\mu\left\{2 \overline{\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right)^{2}}+2 \overline{\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial y}\right)^{2}}\right. & +2 \overline{\left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial z}\right)^{2}}+\overline{\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}\right)^{2}} \\
& \left.+\overline{\left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial y}+\frac{\partial v}{\partial z}\right)^{2}}+\overline{\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial z}+\frac{\partial w}{\partial x}\right)^{2}}\right\} \tag{18.1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mu$ is the viscosity.
For isotropic turbulence this becomes:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{W}{\mu}=6 \overline{\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right)^{2}}+6 \overline{\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}\right)^{2}}+6 \overline{\frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}} \tag{18.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

which, from the relations given in section 14, reduces to:

$$
\begin{equation*}
W=-7.5^{\circ} \overline{u^{2}} g_{0}^{\prime \prime}=7.5 \mu \overline{(\partial u / \partial y)^{2}} \tag{18.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

But $g_{0}^{\prime \prime}$ is defined by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{0}^{\prime \prime}=-2 \lim _{r \rightarrow 0}\left(\frac{1-g}{r^{2}}\right) \tag{18.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and has the dimensions of the reciprocal of the square of a length. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{0}^{\prime \prime}=-2 / \lambda^{2} \tag{18.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

the factor 2 being introduced to conform to Taylor's definition of $\lambda$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
W=15 \mu \overline{u u^{2}} / \lambda^{2} \tag{18.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The length $\lambda$ may be interpreted in several ways. Equation (18.6) may be considered a definition, $\lambda$ being regarded roughly as a measure of the diameters of the smallest eddies which are responsible for the dissipation of energy. Or, since $1 / \lambda^{2}=\lim _{\rightarrow 0}(1-g) / r^{2}=\lim _{Y \rightarrow 0}\left(1-R_{y}\right) Y^{2}, \lambda^{2}$ is a measure of the radius of curvature of the $R_{v}$ curve at $Y=0$. Or, if a parabola is drawn tangent to the $R_{y}$ curve at $Y=0$, this parabola cuts the axis at the point $Y=\lambda$.

Since $W=-(3 / 2) \rho\left(d \overline{\iota^{2}} / d l\right)$, the decay law may be written:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{d u^{2}} / d t=-10 \nu \overline{u^{2}} / \lambda^{2} \tag{18.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

This result can also be derived directly from equation (16.5) as shown by von Kármán.

By comparing this expression for the decay law with that previously given (equation 17.2), namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{d u^{2}} / d t=-A u^{\prime 3} / L \tag{18.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

it is seen that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A u^{\prime} / L=10 \nu / \lambda^{2} \tag{18.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

or, since $u^{\prime} L / \nu=N_{0}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda^{2} / L^{2}=10 / A N_{0} \tag{18.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Introducing the experimental value of $A$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda / L=6.97 / \sqrt{N_{0}} \tag{18.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

A similar relation holds for $\lambda / L_{x}$ where $L_{x}$ is the longitudinal scale. If the Reynolds Number is formed from $L_{x}$, the numerical constant is approximately 4.93.

During the decay of self-preserving turbulence $N_{0}$ is constant and $\lambda$ is proportional to $L$ but the constant of proportionality varies inversely as $\sqrt{N_{0}}$ for turbulent fields of different Reynolds Number.

Although it cannot be expected on physical grounds that these relations hold at very low values of $N_{0}$, there is no experimental evidence of any de-
parture from equations (18.7) and (18.8) for values of $N_{0}$ as low as 10 . There seems to be no difficulty in drawing correlation curves for which $\lambda$ is greater than $L$, but no such experimental curves have been measured. However, in an example quoted by Taylor, ${ }^{15} \lambda / L$ is as great as 0.86 .
19. The spectrum of turbulence, relation between spectrum and correlation. The description of turbulence in terms of intensity and scale resembles the description of the molecular motion of a gas by temperature and mean free path. A more detailed picture can be obtained by considering the distribution of energy among eddies of different sizes, or more conveniently the distribution of energy with frequency. Just as a beam of white light may be separated into a spectrum by the action of a prism or grating, the electric current produced by a hot wire anemometer subjected to the speed fluctuations may be analyzed by means of electric filters into a spectrum.

The mean value of $\overline{u^{2}}$ may be regarded as made up of a sum of contributions $\overline{u^{2}} F(n) d n$, where $F(n)$ is the contribution from frequencies between $n$ and $n+d n$ and $\int_{0}^{\infty} F(n) d n=1$. The curve of $F(n)$ plotted against $n$ is the spectrum curve. According to the proof given by Rayleigh and quoted by Taylor ${ }^{20}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(n)=2 \pi^{2} \lim _{T \rightarrow \infty}\left(I_{1}^{2}+I_{2}^{2}\right) / T \tag{19.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T$ is a long time and

$$
\begin{align*}
& I_{1}=(1 / \pi) \int_{0}^{T} u \cos 2 \pi n t d t \\
& I_{2}=(1 / \pi) \int_{0}^{T} u \sin 2 \pi n t d t \tag{19.2}
\end{align*}
$$

When the fluctuations are superposed on a stream of mean velocity $U$ and are very small in comparison with $U$, the changes in $u$ at a fixed point may be regarded as due to the passage of a fixed turbulent pattern over the point, i.e., it may be assumed that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=\phi(t)=\phi(x / U) \tag{19.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x$ is measured upstream at time $t=0$ from the fixed point. The correlation $R_{x}$ between the fluctuations at the times $t$ and $t+x / U$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{x}=\frac{\overline{\phi(t) \phi(t+x / U)}}{\overline{u^{2}}} \tag{19.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

It can be shown ${ }^{20}$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \phi(t) \phi(t+x / U) d t=2 \pi^{2} \int_{0}^{\infty}\left(I_{1}^{2}+I_{2}^{2}\right) \cos (2 \pi n x / U) d n \tag{19.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

${ }^{20}$ Taylor, G. I., The spectrum of turbulence, Proc. Roy. Soc. London, Ser. A, 164, 476 (1938).
or, substituting for $I_{1}^{2}+I_{2}^{2}$ its value in terms of $F(n)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{x}=\int_{0}^{\infty} F(n) \cos (2 \pi n x / U) d n \tag{19.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(n)=(4 / U) \int_{0}^{\infty} R_{x} \cos (2 \pi n x / U) d x \tag{19.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

In other words, the correlation coefficient $R_{z}$ and $U F(n) / \sqrt{8 \pi}$ are Fourier transforms. If either is measured, the other may be computed. $R_{x}$ is the function denoted by $f$ in section 13 . The length $\lambda$, which was defined in terms of the function $g$ or $R_{y}$, is related to $R_{x}$ by the equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 / \lambda^{2}=2 \lim _{x \rightarrow 0}\left(1-R_{x}\right) / x^{2} \tag{19.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $n$ and $x$ are small, $\cos (2 \pi n x / U)$ in (19.6) may be approximated by $1-2 \pi^{2} x^{2} n^{2} / U^{2}$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 / \lambda^{2}=\left(4 \pi^{2} / U^{2}\right) \int_{0}^{\infty} n^{2} F(n) d n \tag{19.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the turbulence is self-preserving, the shape of the correlation curve is a function of the Reynolds Number of the turbulence. Hence the spectrum curve is also a function of the Reynolds Number of the turbulence. Introducing the longitudinal scale $L_{x}\left(L_{x}=\int_{0}^{\infty} R_{x} d x\right)$ in equation (19.9),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{L_{x}^{2}}{\lambda^{2}}=4 \pi^{2} \int_{0}^{\infty}\left(\frac{n L_{x}}{U}\right)^{2} \frac{U F(n)}{L_{x}} d\left(\frac{n L_{x}}{U}\right) \tag{19.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and in equation (19.7),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{U F(n)}{L_{x}}=4 \int_{0}^{\infty} R_{x} \cos \frac{2 \pi n L_{x}}{U} \frac{x}{L_{x}} d\left(\frac{x}{L_{x}}\right) \tag{19.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

both of which are expressed in terms of the non-dimensional variables $U F(x) / L_{x}, n L_{x} / U, x / L_{x}, \lambda / L_{x}$, and $R_{x}$. The mean speed $U$ enters only in fixing the frequency scalc.

Typical spectrum curves determined experimentally ${ }^{21,22}$ are shown in Fig. 10. Studies of the relation between the spectrum and the correlation curve have been given by Taylor. ${ }^{20}$

From equation (19.10) it may be inferred that if the curve of $U F(n) / L_{x}$ vs.

[^8]$n L_{x} / U$ is independent of $U, L_{x} / \lambda$ should also be independent of $U$, which is contrary to the known dependence of $L_{x} / \lambda$ on the Reynolds Number of the turbulence. Equation (19.9) shows that the value of $\lambda$ is determined largely by the values of $F(n)$ at large values of $n$. The NPL measurements in Fig. 10


Fig. 10. Comparison of National Bureau of Standards and National Physical Laboratory measurements of the spectrum of turbulence, plotted non-dimensionally.

At left, NBS values $40(\cdot)$ and $160(+)$ inches behind 1 -inch mesh screen at $40 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{sec}$.
At right, NPL values of ( $F(n)$ from Table II of reference 21, $L_{x}$ from reference 20) 82 inches behind 3 -inch mesh screen at $15(\cdot), 20(X), 25(+), 30(\triangle)$, and $35(\square) \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{sec}$.

The reference curve in each case is the curve

$$
\frac{U F(n)}{L_{x}}=\frac{4}{1+\frac{4 \pi^{2} n^{2} L_{x}^{2}}{U^{2}}}
$$

where $U$ is the mean speed, $L_{x}$ is the integral $\int_{0}^{\infty} R_{x} d x, R_{x}$ is the correlation between the fluctuations at two points separated by the distance $x$ in the direction of flow, $n$ is the frequency, and $F(n)$ is the fraction of the total energy of the turbulence arising from frequencies between $n$ and $n+d n$.
show clearly this dependence of the spectrum curve on $U$ at high frequencies.
When the Reynolds Number of the turbulence is large, $\lambda / L_{x}$ becomes small. Experimental measurements show that both $R_{z}$ and $R_{\nu}$ curves approach exponential curves. From integration of equation (13.9) it follows that $2 L=L_{x}$ and equation (19.11) for the corresponding spectrum curve becomes:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{U F(n)}{L_{x}}=\frac{4}{1+4 \pi^{2} n^{2} L_{x} / U^{2}} \tag{19.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is the reference curve drawn in Fig. 10. As $U$ decreases, $\lambda$ increases, and the departures at large values of $n L_{x} / U$ becomes greater. The changes in the total energy of the fluctuations associated with these changes in the spectrum at high frequencies are extremely small.

Adopting this expression for the spectrum curve, it is possible to compute the effect of varying the cut-off frequency of the measuring equipment on the measured value of the energy of the fluctuations. If the equipment passes


Fig. 11. Effect of cut-off frequencies of apparatus on observed energy of turbulence for spectrum given by reference curve of Fig. 9.
$n_{0}$ is the lower cut-off frequency, $n_{h}$ the upper cut-off frequency, $L_{x}$ the longitudinal scale, $U$ the mean speed.
high frequencies but cuts off sharply at a lower frequency $n_{0}$, the measured total energy is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} \bar{\rho} \overline{u^{2}} \int_{n_{0} L_{x} / U}^{\infty} \frac{4\left(L_{x} / U\right) d n}{1+4 \pi^{2} n^{2} L_{x}^{2} / U^{2}}=\left(1-\frac{4}{2 \pi} \tan ^{-1} \frac{2 \pi n_{0} L_{x}}{U}\right) \frac{1}{2} \rho u^{2} . \tag{19.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The ratio of the observed to the actual total energy is shown in Fig. 11 for various values of $n_{0} L_{x} / U$.

- Similarly, if the equipment passes low frequencies but cuts off sharply at a higher frequency $n_{h}$, the measured total energy is $(4 / 2 \pi) \tan ^{-1} 2 \pi n_{h} L_{x} / U\left(\frac{1}{2} \rho \overline{u^{2}}\right)$. The ratio of the observed to the actual total energy for high frequency cutoff is also shown in Fig. 10.

The fact that the correlation and spectrum curves are of the exponential type has been interpreted ${ }^{22}$ as meaning that turbulence is a generalized chance phenomenon, as nearly chance as a continuous curve can be and retain its continuity.
20. Fluctuating pressure gradients. In theories of the effect of turbulence on transition in boundary layers, it is desired to know the value of the root-mean-square pressure gradients, i.e., $\overline{(\partial p / \partial x)^{2}}, \overline{(\partial p / \partial y)^{2}}$, and $\overline{(\partial p / \partial z)^{2}}$. Taylor has shown ${ }^{8}$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{(\partial p / \partial x)^{2}}=2 \sqrt{2} \rho \overline{u^{2}} / \lambda . \tag{20.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining this with the relation (18.11), i.e., $\lambda / L=6.97 / \sqrt{\left(u v^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} L / \nu}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{\left(\frac{\partial p}{\partial x}\right)^{2}}=\frac{2 \sqrt{2} \rho \overline{u^{2}}}{6.97 L} \sqrt{\frac{(\overline{u 2})^{1 / 2} L}{\nu}} . \tag{20.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The quantities $\sqrt{u^{2}}$ and $L$ occur in this expression in the combination $\left[\left(\sqrt{u^{2}}\right) / L^{1 / 5}\right]^{5 / 2}$. The ratio $\left(\sqrt{u^{2}} / U\right)(D / L)^{1 / 5}$, where $U$ is the mean speed and $D$ the reference dimension of a body under study is known as the Taylor turbulence parameter.
21. The diffusive character of turbulence. An early experimental distinction between turbulent and non-turbulent flow was based on the observation that a filament of dye introduced into a turbulent fluid stream is rapidly diffused over the entire cross section of the stream whereas in a non-turbulent flow the filament retains its identity although it may show some waviness. It has been pointed out in section 7 that the effect of the turbulent fluctuations on the mean motion is the introduction of eddy stresses associated with the transfer of momentum by the diffusion of fluid particles. Von Kármán ${ }^{23}$ has given a useful account of the mechanism of the diffusion of discrete particles and its effect in producing a shearing stress. A theory of diffusion by continuous movements has been developed by Taylor. ${ }^{13}$ The process of diffusion has been found helpful in the experimental study of the statistical properties of turbulence.
22. Diffusion by continuous movements. Consider in a uniform isotropic turbulent field the displacement $X$ and velocity $u$ parallel to the arbitrarily selected $x$ axis. The intensity $\sqrt{u u^{2}}$ is constant, the field being assumed uniform. Let $u_{t}$ and $u_{u}$ be the values of $u$ at times $t$ and $t^{\prime}$ respectively. Consider the definite integral $\int_{0}^{t} u_{t} u_{l} \iota^{\prime} d t^{\prime}$. Introducing the correlation coefficient $R_{\imath, 1}$ between $u_{\imath}$ and $u_{\imath}$, remembering that $\overline{u^{2}}$ is constant,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{t} \overline{u_{l} t^{\prime},} d t^{\prime}=\overline{u^{2}} \int_{0}^{t} R_{t, v^{\prime}} d t^{\prime} . \tag{22.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $t^{\prime}-t=T$ and place $R_{t, t}=R_{T}$. Since $R_{T}$ is an even function of $T$, (22.1) may be written :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{t} \overline{u_{t} t u^{\prime}} d t^{\prime}=\overline{u^{2}} \int_{0}^{t} R_{T} d T . \tag{22.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^9]But

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{t} \overline{u_{1} u_{t} \cdot d t^{\prime}}=\overline{u_{l} \int_{0}^{t} u_{1} \cdot d t^{\prime}}=\overline{u_{t} X}=\overline{u_{X} X} \tag{22.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{u u^{2}} \int_{0}^{t} R_{T} d T=\overline{u X}=(1 / 2) d \overline{X^{2}} / d t \tag{22.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

When the time $t$ is so small that $R_{T}$ approximates unity, equation (22.4) becomes:

$$
(1 / 2) d \overline{X^{2}} / d t=\overline{u^{2} t}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sqrt{X^{2}}=\sqrt{u^{2}} t . \tag{22.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $R_{T}$ is equal to zero for all times greater than some time $T_{0}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{u X}=\overline{u^{2}} \int_{0}^{T_{0}} R_{T} d T=\text { constant. } \tag{22.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define a length $l_{1}$ by the relation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
l_{1}=\sqrt{u^{2}} \int_{0}^{T_{0}} R_{T} d T \tag{22.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

whence

$$
\begin{equation*}
l_{1} \sqrt{u^{2}}=\overline{u X}=(1 / 2) d \overline{X^{2}} / d \iota \tag{22.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{X^{2}}=2 l_{1} \sqrt{\pi^{2}} t . \tag{22.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $R_{T}=e^{-T / T_{0}}, l_{1}=\sqrt{u^{2}} T_{0}$ and the solution of (22.4) yiclds:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{X^{2}}=2 \overline{u^{2}} T_{0}\left[t-T_{0}\left(1-e^{-T / T_{0}}\right)\right] \tag{22.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (22.10) reduces to (22.5) when $t$ is small compared to $T_{0}$ and to (22.9) when $t$ is large compared to $T_{0}$.

The diffusion in a uniform field is accordingly completely determined by the corrclation function $R_{T}$.
23. Diffusion in isotropic turbulence. The foregoing theory is directly applicable to diffusion in a uniform isotropic field. However no general statement can be made as to the relation between the length $l_{1}$ and the scale $L$ defined in terms of the correlation coefficient $R_{y}$ in section 9 . For the turbulence behind a grid or honeycomb, Taylor found from an analysis of the available experimental results that $L$ was approximately twice $l_{1}$.

The essential features of diffusion in isotropic turbulence expressed in equations (22.5) and (22.9) may be summarized as follows:

1. For time intervals which are small in comparison with the ratio of $l_{1}$ to $\sqrt{u^{2}}$, the diffusing quantity spreads at a uniform rate proportional to the intensity $\sqrt{u^{2}}$, and the rate is not dependent on the length $l_{1}$.
2. For time intervals which are large in comparison with the ratio of $l_{1}$ to $\sqrt{u^{2}}$, the diffusing quantity $N$ spreads in accordance with the usual diffusion equation

$$
\frac{\partial N}{\partial t}+U \frac{\partial N}{\partial x}+v \frac{\partial N}{\partial y}+W \frac{\partial N}{\partial z}=\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\left(D \frac{\partial N}{\partial x}\right)+\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\left(D \frac{\partial N}{\partial y}\right)+\frac{\partial}{\partial z}\left(D \frac{\partial N}{\partial z}\right)
$$

with a coefficient of diffusion $D$ equal to $l_{1} \sqrt{u^{2}}$, where $l_{1}$ is a length defined by $\int_{0}^{\infty} R_{T} d T$.
3. For intermediate time intervals, the diffusion is dependent on the function $R_{T}$ which represents the correlation between the speed of a particle at any instant and the speed of the same particle after a time interval $T$.

Consider the diffusion of heat from a hot wire placed in a uniform field of isotropic turbulence in a fluid stream of mean speed $U$. Observations of the lateral spread of the thermal wake at a distant $x$ downstream may be used to compute the root-mean-square lateral displacement $\sqrt{Y^{2}}$ of the heated particles during a time interval $t=x / U$.

It is convenient to characterize the spread by the angle subtended at the source by the two positions where the temperature rise is half that at the center of the wake. There is a lateral spread of heat produced by the ordinary molecular conduction corresponding to an angle $\alpha_{0}$ in degrees of $190.8 \sqrt{k / \rho c U x}$ where $k, \rho$, and $c$ are thermal conductivity, density, and specific heat (at constant pressure) of the fluid. It may be shown that the total subtended angle $\alpha$ is related to the angle $\alpha_{\ell}$ produced by turbulent diffusion and $\alpha_{0}$, as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha^{2}=\alpha_{l}^{2}+\alpha_{0}^{2} \tag{23.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The temperature distribution in the wake follows an "error" curve as does the amplitude of the turbulent velocity fluctuations, so that the lateral displacement $Y$ also has the same Gaussian frequency distribution. The value of the lateral spread at which the ordinate is half the maximum is $2.354 \sqrt{Y^{2}}$ for this distribution. Hence, expressing $\alpha_{\iota}$ in degrees,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{t}=134.7 \sqrt{\overrightarrow{Y^{2}}} / x \tag{23.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

whence from (22.5) for small values of $x$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{6}=134.7 \sqrt{v^{2}} / U \tag{23.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $v$ is written in place of $u$ in (22.5) since the diffusion in the $v$ direction is being studied.

Thus an experiment on thermal diffusion provides a method of measuring $\sqrt{\varepsilon^{2}}$. The method was used by Schubauer ${ }^{24}$ who showed that $\alpha_{\iota}$ was independent of speed over the range 10 to $50 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{sec}$ and also independent of $x$ over the range $1 / 2$ to 6 inches.

From measurements at large values of $x$, it is theoretically possible to compute the correlation curve, $R_{T}$, vs. $T$. In any actual experiment, however, the intensity of the turbulence will decrease with $x$ to an extent that must be considered. As discussed by Taylor, ${ }^{8} R_{T}$ may then be considered a function of $\eta=\int_{0}^{T} \sqrt{v^{2}} d T=\int_{0}^{x}\left(\sqrt{v^{2}} / U\right) d x$. The equation analogous to (22.4) for $v$ and $Y$ becomes:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1 / 2)\left(U / \sqrt{v^{2}}\right)\left(d \overline{Y^{2}} / d x\right)=\int_{0}^{\eta_{x}} R_{\eta} d \eta . \tag{23.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The correlation is given by the expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{\eta}=\frac{d}{d \eta}\left(\frac{U}{2 \sqrt{v^{2}}} \frac{d \overline{Y^{2}}}{d x}\right) \tag{23.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and thus involves a double differentiation of experimental curves, a process which is usually not very accurate.
24. Statistical theory of non-isotropic turbulence. In non-isotropic turbulence the description of the state of the turbulence becomes much more complex. The eddy shearing stresses do not vanish and the eddy normal stresses are not necessarily equal. Six quantities instead of one are required to specify the intensity. Similarly the correlation tensor cannot be expressed in terms of a single scalar function. In general six scalar functions are required. No theoretical investigation using these twelve functions has yet been carried out.

The exploration of this field is still in its earliest stages. Von Kármán ${ }^{9,16}$ has given some discussion of energy transport and dissipation and vorticity transport, neglecting the triple correlations, and he has also presented a more detailed discussion of two-dimensional flow with constant shearing stress (Couette's problem). The advance of the theory is definitely handicapped by the absence of reliable experimental data on the twelve functions required to describe the state of turbulence.
25. Diffusion in non-isotropic turbulence. The only theoretical approach at present available for estimating the diffusion in non-isotropic turbulence is to consider the process as approximately equivalent to diffusion in isotropic turbulence of intensity equal to $\sqrt{v^{2}}$ and scale $l^{\prime}$, where $v$ is the component in the direction in which the diffusion is studied and $l^{\prime}$ is the length defined by an equation analogous to (22.7), namely,

[^10]\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
l^{\prime}=\sqrt{v^{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} R_{T} d t \tag{25.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

In most experiments the length $l^{\prime}$ is not measured. Prandtl defined a mixing length $l$ in terms of the shearing stress $\tau$ by the relation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau=\rho l^{2}\left|\frac{d U}{d y}\right| \frac{d U}{d y} \tag{25.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

This relation may be interpreted as an equation governing the diffusion of momentum with a cocfficient of diffusion equal to $l^{2}|d U / d y|$. Prandtl in fact assumed $\sqrt{v^{2}}$ proportional to $l|d U / d y|$ and incorporated the factors of proportionality in the length $l$. It is obvious that

$$
\begin{equation*}
l^{2}|d U / d y|=l^{\prime} \sqrt{v^{2}} \tag{25.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The length $l$ can be obtained experimentally if the distributions of velocity and shearing stress are known, and, if $\sqrt{v^{2}}$ is also measured, $l^{\prime}$ may be computed. Sherwood and Woertz ${ }^{25}$ have made an experimental study of these relationships.

Taylor ${ }^{26}$ pointed out that fluctuating pressure gradients influence the transfer of momentum and suggested that the vorticity be taken as the property undergoing diffusion. The result was the well known vortex transport theory.

Both theories imply diffusion for a time interval long compared to $l^{\prime} \sqrt{v^{2}}$. When diffusion is studied near the source, experiment shows ${ }^{27}$ a behaviour like that discussed in section 23. The spread is nearly linear with $x$, although unsymmetical in this case. It is probable that the unsymmetrical character cannot be explained on the basis of a single scalar diffusion coefficient.
26. Correlation in turbulent flow through a pipe. Taylor ${ }^{28}$ has shown that the correlation between the component of velocity at a fixed point and that at a variable point in the same cross section must be negative for some positions of the variable point, if the applied pressure difference between the ends of the pipe is constant and the fluid may be considered incompressible. Suppose the mean velocity is $U$ and the correlation $R$ has been measured between

[^11]the component $u_{1}$ of the fluctuations at a fixed point P and $u_{2}$ at a variable point $Q$ in the same cross section. Since the mean flow is constant,
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int\left(U+u_{2}\right) d y d z=\int U d y d z=\text { constant } \tag{26.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

where the integration is taken over the cross section. At any instant,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int u_{2} d y d z=0 \tag{26.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Multiplying by $u_{1}$, which is constant for this integration and may be placed under the integral sign,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int u_{1} u_{2} d y d z=0 \tag{26.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since (26.3) is true for any instant, it is true for the integral over a time interval $T$. Hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
(1 / T) \int_{0}^{T}\left[\int u_{1} u_{2} d y d z\right] d t=0 \tag{26.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

$=\frac{\text { Changing the order of the integration and remembering that }(1 / T) \int_{0}^{T} u_{1} u_{1} u_{2} d l}{l}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int \overline{u_{1} u_{2}} d y d z=0 \tag{26.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Introducing the correlation $R$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int R u_{1}^{\prime} u_{2}^{\prime} d y d z=0 \tag{26.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

But $u_{1}^{\prime}$ is constant with respect to the integration and accordingly

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int R u_{2}^{\prime} d y d z=0 \tag{26.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $u_{2}^{\prime}$ is positive, $R$ must be negative for some positions of $Q$.
For a circular pipe (26.7) becomes:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{a} u_{r}^{\prime} R r d r=0 \tag{26.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u_{r}^{\prime}$ is the value of $\sqrt{u^{2}}$ at radius $r$ and $a$ is the radius of the pipe.
This relation was experimentally verified in experiments made by Simmons with the fixed point at the center of the pipe.

# ON THE MOTION OF A PENDULUM IN A TURBULENT FLUID* 

BY<br>C. C. LIN<br>Guggenheim Laboratory, California Instinute of Technology

1. Introduction. In a recent paper, Schumann ${ }^{1}$ has investigated the motion of a damped pendulum in a turbulent fluid by considering the effect of the fluid as a continuous fluctuating force. He first considers a damped pendulum which is bombarded by pellets of equal mass $m h$ at equal intervals $h$ of the time, and then treats the case of continuous fluctuations by a limiting process. For this latter case, which "must be regarded as being of far more practical importance," Schumann obtains the very interesting result: ${ }^{2}$

$$
\begin{align*}
r(\xi)= & \frac{1}{2 \int_{0}^{\infty} R(x) e^{-\lambda x} \sin (\beta x+\gamma) d x}\left[\int_{0}^{\infty}\{R(x+\xi)+R(x-\xi)\} e^{-\lambda x} \sin (\beta x+\gamma) d x\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\int_{0}^{\xi}\{R(\xi-x)-R(x-\xi)\} e^{-\lambda x} \sin (\beta x+\gamma) d x\right] \tag{1.1}
\end{align*}
$$

The notation is as follows:
$r(\xi)=$ correlation function of the displacements of the pendulum at two instants separated by a time interval $\xi$;
$R(\xi)=$ limiting correlation function of the velocities of the impinging pellets;
$\lambda=l+m / M, M$ being the mass of the pendulum and $l$ its damping factor;
$\beta^{2}=\alpha^{2}+l^{2}-\lambda^{2}, 2 \pi / \alpha$ being the (damped) period of the pendulum; $\sin \gamma=\beta / \beta_{1} ;$
$\beta_{1}^{2}=\beta^{2}+\lambda^{2}=\alpha^{2}+l^{2}$.
The analysis used by Schumann is very elegant, but somewhat lengthy. In this article, we shall study the problem from another point of view, and give an alternative derivation of (1.1). This derivation, though unable to cover the case of discontinuous impacts, seems to show the nature of that relation much more clearly.
2. Damped pendulum under the action of a fluctuating force. We shall now investigate the correlation of displacement of a damped pendulum in relation to that of the exciting force. The notation used in this section should first be regarded as having different (though analogous) interpretations from

[^12]those used in §1. The identification of the two systems of notation will be made in §3.

Consider the equation of motion of the pendulum

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2} y}{d t^{2}}+2 \lambda \frac{d y}{d t}+\left(\beta^{2}+\lambda^{2}\right) y=P \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $y$ is the displacement, $\lambda$ is the damping factor and $2 \pi / \beta$ is the (damped) period of the pendulum, $P$ is the exciting force per unit mass of the pendulum, and $t$ is the time. If the force $P$ is quasi-periodic, and is given by the real part of

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=\sum A_{n} e^{i \omega_{n} t}, \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\omega_{n}$ and $A_{n}$ are real and complex constants respectively, the steadystate displacement of the frequency $\omega_{n} / 2 \pi$ is given by the real part of

$$
\begin{equation*}
y_{n}=a_{n} e^{i \omega_{n} t}, \quad a_{n}=\frac{A_{n}}{\left(\beta^{2}+\lambda^{2}-\omega_{n}^{2}\right)+2 i \lambda \omega_{n}} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|a_{n}\right|^{2}=\frac{\left|A_{n}\right|^{2}}{\left(\beta^{2}+\lambda^{2}-\omega_{n}^{2}\right)^{2}+4 \lambda^{2} \omega_{n}^{2}} . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is the relation between the spectrum of the displacement and that of the force in the case of discrete spectra. It is not difficult to generalize this result to the case of continuous spectra by the considerations of generalized harmonic analysis. ${ }^{3}$ We have then

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(\omega)=A \frac{F(\omega)}{\left(\beta^{2}+\lambda^{2}-\omega^{2}\right)^{2}+4 \lambda^{2} \omega^{2}} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f(\omega)$ and $F(\omega)$ are the spectra of the displacement and the force respectively,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} f(\omega) d \omega=1, \quad \int_{0}^{\infty} F(\omega) d \omega=1 \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $A$ is a constant of normalization,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\Lambda}=\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{F(\omega) d \omega}{\left(\beta^{2}+\lambda^{2}-\omega^{2}\right)^{2}+4 \lambda^{2} \omega^{2}} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

These are the well-known relations in the phenomena of resonance.
The corrclations $r(\xi)$ and $R(\xi)$ of the displacement and the force respectively stand in Fourier transform relations to the spectra ${ }^{4}$ (apart from conventional numerical factors):

[^13]\[

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
f(\omega)=\frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} r(x) \cos \omega x d x, & r(\xi)=\int_{0}^{\infty} f(\omega) \cos \omega \xi d \omega \\
F(\omega)=\frac{2}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} R(x) \cos \omega x d x, & R(\xi)=\int_{0}^{\infty} F(\omega) \cos \omega \xi d \omega \tag{2.9}
\end{array}
$$
\]

From (2.5), (2.8), and (2.9), we have at once

$$
\begin{equation*}
r(\xi)=\frac{2 A}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\cos \omega \xi d \omega}{\left(\beta^{2}+\lambda^{2}-\omega^{2}\right)^{2}+4 \lambda^{2} \omega^{2}} \int_{0}^{\infty} R(x) \cos \omega x d x \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is not difficult to justify a change of the order of integration, since the correlation functions are expected to go to zero at infinity sufficiently rapidly. The above relation then becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
r(\xi)=\frac{2 A}{\pi} \int_{0}^{\infty} R(x) d x \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\cos \omega \xi \cos \omega x}{\left(\beta^{2}+\lambda^{2}-\omega^{2}\right)^{2}+4 \lambda^{2} \omega^{2}} d \omega . \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cos \omega \xi \cos \omega x=\frac{1}{2}\{\cos \omega(\xi+x)+\cos \omega(\xi-x)\} \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

we can evaluate the integral with respect to $\xi$ in (2.11), if we know

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(t)=\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{\cos \omega t}{\left(\beta^{2}+\lambda^{2}-\omega^{2}\right)^{2}+4 \lambda^{2} \omega^{2}} d \omega . \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

This integral is relatively easy to evaluate. We write

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(t)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{e^{i \omega t}}{\left(\beta^{2}+\lambda^{2}-\omega^{2}\right)^{2}+4 \lambda^{2} \omega^{2}} d \omega \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

and consider the corresponding contour integral in the complex $\omega$-plane, the contour being the usual one composed of the real axis and a semi-circle at infinity. The circle is taken in the upper half-plane if $t>0$, and in the lower half-plane if $t<0$. Since (2.13) shows that $I(t)$ is an even function, we shall carry out the calculations for $t>0$ alone.

There is no difficulty in showing that the integral over the semi-circle goes to zero. For, when the imaginary part of $\omega t$ is positive, $\left|e^{i \omega t}\right|$ is bounded, and $\left|\left(\beta^{2}+\lambda^{2}-\omega^{2}\right)^{2}+4 \lambda^{2} \omega^{2}\right|=O\left(|\omega|^{1}\right)$ for large values of $|\omega|$. The evaluation of (2.14) then reduces to the calculation of the residues of the integrand at the two simple poles $\pm \beta+i \lambda(\lambda>0)$ inside the contour. The result can be easily verified to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(t)=\frac{\pi e^{-\lambda t}}{4 \beta \lambda} \sin (\beta t+\gamma), \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sin \gamma=\frac{\beta}{\left(\beta^{2}+\lambda^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}} \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

With the help of (2.12), (2.13), and (2.15), the equation (2.11) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
r(\xi)= & \frac{A}{4 \beta \lambda}\left[\int_{0}^{\infty}\{R(x+\xi)+R(x-\xi)\} e^{-\lambda x} \sin (\beta x+\gamma) d x\right. \\
& \left.+\int_{0}^{\xi}\{R(\xi-x)-R(x-\xi)\} e^{-\lambda x} \sin (\beta x+\gamma) d x\right] \tag{2.17}
\end{align*}
$$

This is Schumann's relation (1.1), if the constants can be identified. There is no difficulty with the normalization coefficient. We have

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{4 \beta \lambda}{A} & =2 \int_{0}^{\infty} R(x) e^{-\lambda x} \sin (\beta x+\gamma) d x \\
& =4 \beta \lambda \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{F(\omega) d \omega}{\left(\beta^{2}+\lambda^{2}-\omega^{2}\right)^{2}+4 \lambda^{2} \omega^{2}} \tag{2.18}
\end{align*}
$$

on putting $\xi=0$ in (2.17) and recalling (2.7). The second relation is a byproduct of our investigation. The limiting case $\lambda \rightarrow 0$ reduces to the wellknown relation (2.9).

Referring to (2.9), we see that $R(\xi)$ is an even function of $\xi$, so that the second integral in (2.17) may be dropped.

From the derivation, we see that (2.17) is nothing but the Fourier transform of the well-known resonance relation (2.5).
3. Identification of the results. We shall now identify Schumann's result with ours by showing that in the limiting case, his pendulum has an effective damping factor $\lambda$ instead of $l$, and that his correlation of velocity of the pellets becomes the correlation of force. The equations of motion as given by Schumann are ${ }^{5}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{r}=\frac{M-m h}{M+m h} u_{r}^{\prime}+\frac{2 m h}{M+m h} v_{r}, \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

at the $r$ th impact at the instant $t=r h$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2} y}{d t^{2}}+2 l \frac{d y}{d t}+\left(\alpha^{2}+l^{2}\right) y=0 \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^14]between successive impacts. In (3.1), $u_{r}^{\prime}$ and $u_{r}$ denote respectively the velocities of the mass $M$ just before and just after the $r$ th impact, and $\nu_{r}$ is the velocity of the $r$ th pellet.

In the limiting case, the discrete impacts become a continuous force given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{1}=\lim _{h \rightarrow 0} \frac{u_{r}-u_{r}^{\prime}}{h} M=-2 m u+2 m v, \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u$ and $v$ are the limiting values for $u_{r}$ and $v_{r}$ at the instant $t=r h$. Thus $u$ is evidently the velocity of the pendulum $d y / d t$, and $v$ is the velocity of the infinitesimal impinging pellet in the interval $(t, t+d t)$. The equation of motion (3.2) then becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{d^{2} y}{d t^{2}}+2 l \frac{d y}{d t}+\left(\alpha^{2}+l^{2}\right) y=\frac{1}{M}\left(-2 m \frac{d y}{d t}+2 m v\right) \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We see that this limiting case carries an inherent damping factor $m / M$ in addition to the damping factor $l$. The term $2 m v / M$ is evidently the exciting force per unit mass, since $m$ is the impinging mass per second, the factor 2 corresponding to the fact that when the impinging mass is infinitesimal compared with the colliding mass, the former rebounds with the colliding speed,a fact of ten used in the kinetic theory of gases.

The identification of Schumann's result with that given in $\$ 2$ is therefore complete.
4. Discussion. In view of the above derivation, we must be a little careful in applying Schumann's relation (1.1) to the study of the motion of a pendulum in a turbulent fluid. The correlation function $R$ obtained (by suitable processes) according to that relation from the correlation function $r$ of the displacements is that for the hydrodynamic force (the part corresponding to an extra damping being removed). In a turbulent fluid, the connection between the velocity fluctuations of the fluid before the introduction of a pendulum and the fluctuating force acting upon the pendulum after its introduction is not at once evident. A preliminary careful investigation seems to be necessary before the method suggested by Schumann could be used with advantage.

It is also clear that the spectrum of velocity fluctuations of the pendulum will be proportional to $\omega^{2} f(\omega)$. It is then clear from (2.8) that the correlation function of the velocity fluctuations will be proportional to $-r^{\prime \prime}(\xi)$.

There is another point which should be mentioned. The spectrum and correlation function discussed above refer to those observed at a fixed spatial point, if we assume the pendulum to be never far from its position of equilibrium. It is not difficult to observe this spectrum with a hot-wire anemome-
ter, as has been done by several observers. ${ }^{6}$ The Fourier transform of this spectrum will then give the correlation of the fluctuations at successive instants at the same point in space. It is not clear, however, how (as Schumann suggested) the pendulum can be used to observe the correlation function defined with respect to the same material point,- the quantity introduced by Taylor ${ }^{7}$ in the Lagrangian description of turbulence for the study of the phenomenon of turbulent diffusion. ${ }^{8}$

In conclusion, the author wishes to express his sincere thanks to Professor Theodore von Kármán for suggesting the problem to him and for his invaluable suggestions.
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# ON PLANE RIGID FRAMES LOADED PERPENDICULARLY TO THEIR PLANE* 

BY<br>W. PRAGER (Brown University) and G. E. HAY (University of Michigan)

1. Introduction. For purposes of stress analysis, the engineer prefers to consider his structures as consisting of plane systems, each of which is subject to forces acting in its plane. A typical example is furnished by the conventional analysis of a parallel chord bridge span in which the side trusses take the vertical loads and the top and bottom trusses the transverse loads due to wind, etc. In civil engineering this resolution of space systems into plane components is possible in most cases, and only very rarely is a structure considered as a unit in space. Accordingly, the methods of dealing with space structures have not been developed nearly as much as those used in the analysis of plane structures. Of course, the general principles of structural theory, for instance the principle of virtual work or Castigliano's principle, apply to space structures as well as to plane structures but, as is known from


Fig. 1a. Two-sparred wing.
the case of plane structures, these principles frequently do not offer the most convenient approach to the solution of a particular problem. As regards special methods, which have been developed so abundantly in the case of plane structures, little work has as yet been done in the field of space structures. Most of this work is concerned with pin-jointed frameworks. The iteration procedure of R. V. Southwell's relaxation method can be applied to space structures as well as to plane structures, ${ }^{1}$ but efficient direct methods for the stress analysis of rigid frames in space are entirely lacking. The present paper, intended as a contribution towards the development of such methods, deals with the particular case of plane rigid frames carrying loads which act per-

[^16]pendicularly to the plane of the frame. An example of this type of structure is the monoplane wing of Fig. 1a, where the spars $\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{A}$ and $\mathrm{B}-\mathrm{B}$ are connected by several main ribs which are fastened rigidly to the spars. Another example is the foot ring of an observatory cupola shown in Fig. 1b.


Fig. lb. Cupola foot ring.

The method proposed in this paper makes extensive use of a dual analogy between plane structures loaded in their plane and plane structures loaded perpendicularly to their plane. In the case of a single straight beam this analogy forms the basis of the method of conjugate beams ${ }^{2}$ which can be considered as a particular case of the present method.
2. Definitions, notations and sign conventions. This paper is concerned with rigid frames consisting of straight or curved members whose axes lie in the same plane. This plane is called the structural plane. We will consider only frames with members such that every cross section has a principal axis of inertia at its centroid lying in the structural plane. Accordingly, when the frame is subject to forces acting in the structural plane, the points on the axis of any member remain in the structural plane. On the other hand, when the frame is loaded perpendicularly to its plane, the displacements of the points on the axis of any member are normal to the structural plane. For conciseness, the first type of loading will be referred to as plane loading and the second as space loading.

In the case of plane loading the stresses transmitted across any cross section of a member of the frame are statically equivalent to the following stress resultants: 1) an axial force which, for the sake of brevity, will be called the pull although it may produce either compression or tension; 2) a transverse force, called the shear, which acts in the structural plane normal to the axis of the member under consideration; 3) a couple, called the bending moment, which also acts in the structural plane. In the case of space loading the stress resultants are: 1) a twisting couple, called torque, acting in the plane of the cross section; 2) a bending couple, called bending moment, whose plane is perpendicular to the structural plane as well as to the cross-sectional plane; 3) a transverse force, called shear, whose line of action is normal to the structural plane.


Fig. 2.

[^17]In order to arrive at notations applicable to both types of loading we choose on the axis of each member of the frame an origin O and denote by $s$ the are length of the axis measured from this point. A cross section $B$ is then specified by giving the corresponding value of $s$ (Fig. 2*). In most cases it will be convenient to choose the origin O at one end of the member, in which case $s$ will have positive values only. In order to establish appropriate sign conventions for the loads, displacements, stress resultants and distortions at the cross section B , we introduce a rectangular right hand triad with origin at B , the $x$-axis being tangent to the axis of the member at B in the direction of increasing $s$, and the $y$-axis lying in the structural plane (Fig. 2).

The loads which the structure carries at B may be forces or couples, either concentrated or distributed, or both. The components of the concentrated force at B , the distributed force at B , the concentrated couple at B and the distributed couple at B we denote by $F_{x}, F_{y}, F_{z}, f_{x}, f_{y}, f_{z}, C_{x}, C_{y}, C_{z}, C_{x}, C_{y}, C_{z}$ respectively, relative to the rectangular triad at B . For example, $C_{x}$ is a concentrated twisting couple, and is positive if its sense is the same as that of the $90^{\circ}$ rotation necessary to move the $y$-axis into coincidence with the $z$-axis. - Not all the load components thus defined have practical importance; however, the analogies which we intend to establish appear more clearly when the most general case is considered.

The force system transmitted across the cross section at $B$ is equivalent to a force at the centroid plus a couple. These will be referred to as the stress resultants, and we shall denote their components by $R_{x}, R_{y}, R_{z}, M_{x}, M_{y}, M_{z}$ respectively, relative to the rectangular triad at $\mathrm{B} . R_{x}$ is the pull, $R_{v}$ and $R_{z}$ the shears parallel and perpendicular to the structural plane, $M_{x}$ the torque and $M_{y}, M_{z}$ the bending moments.

The stress resultants and the loads are connected by the equations of equilibrium. If no concentrated forces are applied at the cross section B, the equations of equilibrium for a straight structural member are:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
R_{x}^{\prime}+f_{x}=0, & M_{x}^{\prime}+c_{x}=0 \\
R_{y}^{\prime}+f_{y}=0, & M_{y}^{\prime}+c_{y}-R_{z}=0, \\
R_{z}^{\prime}+f_{z}=0, & M_{z}^{\prime}+c_{z}+R_{y}=0,
\end{array}
$$

where the dashes denote differentiation with respect to the are length $s$. If concentrated loads $F_{x}, F_{y}, F_{z}$ and $C_{x}, C_{y}, C_{z}$ are applied at B , we have

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
R_{x}(s+\epsilon)-R_{x}(s-\epsilon)+F_{x}=0, & M_{x}(s+\epsilon)-M_{x}(s-\epsilon)+C_{x}=0, \\
R_{y}(s+\epsilon)-R_{y}(s-\epsilon)+F_{y}=0, & M_{y}(s+\epsilon)-M_{y}(s-\epsilon)+C_{y}=0, \\
R_{z}(s+\epsilon)-R_{z}(s-\epsilon)+F_{z}=0, & M_{z}(s+\epsilon)-M_{z}(s-\epsilon)+C_{z}=0,
\end{array}
$$

where $\epsilon$ denotes an arbitrarily small length.

[^18]The displacement of the cross section B is specified by the components $u_{x}, u_{y}, u_{z}$ of the translation of the centroid and the components $\theta_{x}, \theta_{y}, \theta_{z}$ of the rotation of the cross section.

Finally, the six distortion components, $g_{x}, g_{y}, g_{z}$ and $h_{x}, h_{y}, h_{z}$, of a straight structural member are defined as follows:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
g_{x}=u_{x}^{\prime}, & h_{x}=\theta_{x}^{\prime}, \\
g_{y}=u_{y}^{\prime}-\theta_{z}, & h_{y}=\theta_{y}^{\prime}, \\
g_{z}=u_{z}^{\prime}+\theta_{y}, & h_{z}=\theta_{z}^{\prime},
\end{array}
$$

where the dashes again denote differentiation with respect to the arc length $s$. $g_{x}$ will be called the stretch, $g_{y}$ and $g_{z}$ the slips, $h_{x}$ the twist and $h_{y}, h_{z}$ the bends. Two structural members may be connected-by a link which permits some relative displacement of the end sections of the two members, for instance by a hinge permitting a free bend. Such relative displacements can be handled as concentrated distortions:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
G_{x}=u_{x}(s+\epsilon)-u_{x}(s-\epsilon), & H_{x}=\theta_{x}(s+\epsilon)-\theta_{x}(s-\epsilon), \\
G_{u}=u_{y}(s+\epsilon)-u_{y}(s-\epsilon), & H_{y}=\theta_{y}(s+\epsilon)-\theta_{y}(s-\epsilon), \\
G_{z}=u_{z}(s+\epsilon)-u_{z}(s-\epsilon), & H_{z}=\theta_{z}(s+\epsilon)-\theta_{z}(s-\epsilon),
\end{array}
$$

where $\epsilon$ is again an arbitrarily small length.
For elastic structural members the stress resultants can be represented as the products of the corresponding distortions and stiffess factors:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
R_{x}=\alpha_{x} g_{x}, & R_{y}=\alpha_{y} g_{y}, & R_{z}=\alpha_{2 S} \sigma_{z} \\
M_{x}=\beta_{x} h_{x}, & M_{y}=\beta_{y} h_{y}, & M_{z}=\beta_{z} h_{z}, \tag{3}
\end{array}
$$

where $\alpha_{x}=E A, \alpha_{y}=G A / k_{y}, \alpha_{z}=G A / k_{z}, \beta_{x}$ is the torsional rigidity of the member, $\beta_{v}=E I_{z}, \beta_{z}=E I_{v}, E$ being Young's modulus, $A$ the area of the cross section, $G$ the modulus of rigidity, $k_{y}$ and $k_{z}$ constants depending on the shape of the cross section, $I_{v}$ and $I_{z}$ the moments of inertia of the cross section with respect to the axes of $y$ and $z$.
3. Analogy between statics of plane loaded and kinematics of space loaded frames. For a plane loaded frame the loads $F_{z}, f_{z}, C_{x}, c_{x}, C_{y}, c_{y}$ and the stress resultants $R_{z}, M_{x}, M_{y}$ vanish. Similarly, for a space loaded frame the displacements $u_{x}, u_{y}, \theta_{z}$ and the distortions $G_{x}, g_{x}, G_{y}, g_{y}, H_{z}, h_{z}$ are zero. The remaining equations (1) for the members of the plane loaded frame then are seen to correspond exactly to the remaining equations (2) for the members of the space loaded frame according to the Table I.

Table I

| Statics of Plane Loaded Frame | Kinematics of Space Loaded Frame |
| :---: | :---: |
| Loads $\quad\left\{\begin{array}{l}F_{x}, F_{y}, C_{z} \\ f_{x}, \\ f_{y}, \\ f_{y}, c_{z} \\ \text { Stress resultants } \\ R_{x}, \\ R_{y},\end{array}, M_{z}\right.$ | Distortions $\left\{\begin{array}{ccc}-H_{z}, & -H_{y}, & -G_{z} \\ -h_{x}, & -h_{y}, & -g_{x} \\ \text { Displacements } & \theta_{z}, & \theta_{y}, \\ u_{x}\end{array}\right.$ |

At a point $B$ where two straight members are rigidly fastened to one another under the angle $\phi$ (Fig. 3), we have the following relations between the stress resultants of the plane loaded frame at the two sides of B:

$$
\bar{R}_{x}=R_{x} \cos \phi+R_{y} \sin \phi, \quad \bar{R}_{y}=-R_{x} \sin \phi+R_{y} \cos \phi, \quad \bar{M}_{z}=M_{z}
$$

These relations correspond exactly to the following relations for the displacement components of the space loaded frame on the two sides of B:
$\bar{\theta}_{x}=\bar{\theta}_{x} \cos \phi+\theta_{y} \sin \phi, \quad \bar{\theta}_{y}=-\theta_{x} \sin \phi+\theta_{y} \cos \phi, \quad \bar{u}_{z}=u_{z}$.
A curved member may be considered as the limiting case of a polygonal arrangement of straight members. Accordingly, the correspondence between the stress resultants of the plane loaded frame and the displacements of the space loaded frame remains valid in the case of frames containing curved mem-


Fig. 3. bers. Of course, equations (1) and (2) in their present forms do not apply to frames with curved members. Equations applicable to such frames will be developed in a later paper.

If more than two members join at the same point, the analogy breaks down. This can be seen from the example in Fig. 4, where we have for the stress resultants of the plane loaded frame

Fig. 4.


$$
\bar{R}_{x}=R_{x}-R_{y}^{*}, \quad \bar{R}_{y}=R_{y}+R_{x}^{*}, \quad \bar{M}_{z}=M_{z}-M_{z}^{*},
$$

and for the displacements of the space loaded from

$$
\bar{\theta}_{x}=\theta_{x}=\theta_{y}^{*}, \quad \bar{\theta}_{y}=\theta_{y}=-\theta_{x}^{*}, \quad \bar{u}_{z}=u_{z}=u_{z}^{*}
$$

The analogy therefore applies direetly only to frames which consist of a simple chain of members without branch points. In spite of this fact the analogy is very useful even in the case of more


Fig. 5. complex frames since these may be considered as consisting of simple frames to which the analogy can be applied.

In order that the analogy indicated above hold everywhere in the structures, it is necessary that there can be a certain correspondence between the various supports and links in the frame with plane loading and the frame with space loading. For example, the analogy is maintained if to a pin support in the frame with plane loading there corresponds a simple support in the plane with space loading. A consideration of certain types of supports and links leads to the results presented in Table II. This table is by no means complete.

Table II


We shall now use this analogy to determine the influence lines for the stress resultants of a statically determinate space loaded frame. We consider the rectangular frame with three pin supports 1, 2, 3 shown in Fig. 5. According to a well known principle of the theory of structures, the ordinates of the influence line for the bending moment produced at the section $B$ by transverse loads $F_{z}$ are precisely the displacements $u_{z}$ produced by a unit bend, $H_{y}=1$, at B . According to the analogy explained above these displacements can be obtained as the bending moments, $M_{2}$, produced in the corresponding plane loaded frame by the load, $F_{y}=-1$, at B . This latter frame is called the conjugate frame and is the three hinged portal shown in Fig. 6a. The bending moments due to the unit load are easily computed and are shown in Fig. 6b. The diagram showing the bending moments of the conjugate frame is at the same time the influence line for the bending moment produced at the section B of the space loaded frame by transverse loads $F_{z}$.


Fig. 6a.


Fig. 6b.

Similarly, the influence line for the torque $M_{x}$ produced at the section B of the space loaded frame by transverse loads $F_{z}$ can be obtained as the bending moment diagram of the conjugate frame due to the load $F_{x}=-1$ at B. Finally, the influence line for the shear $R_{z}$ produced at the section B of the space loaded frame by transverse loads $F_{z}$ is found as the bending moment diagram of the conjugate frame due to the couple $C_{z}=-1$ at B .
4. Analogy between kinematics of plane loaded and statics of space loaded frames. For a plane loaded frame the displacements $t_{z}, \theta_{x}, \theta_{y}$ vanish and the distortions $G_{z}, g_{z}, H_{x}, h_{x}, H_{y}, h_{y}$ are zero. For a space loaded frame the loads $F_{x}, f_{x}, F_{y}, f_{v}, C_{z}, C_{z}$ and the stress resultants $R_{x}, R_{y}, M_{z}$ vanish. The remaining equations (2) for the members of the plane loaded frame then are found to correspond exactly to the remaining equations (1) for the members of the space loaded frame according to Table III.

The relations between the displacements of the plane loaded frame at both sides of the angle joint of Fig. 3 are easily seen to correspond to the relations between the stress resultants of the space loaded frame. Furthermore, the
correspondence between the various types of supports and links shown in Table II is valid also in the present case where we are concerned with the kinematics of the plane loaded frame and the statics of the space loaded frame.

Table III

| Kinematics of Plane Loaded Frame |
| :---: |
| Displacements$u_{x}$, $u_{y}$, $\theta_{z}$ <br> Distortions Statics of Space Loaded Frame  <br> $-G_{x}$, $-G_{y}$, $-H_{z}$ <br> $-g_{x}$, $-g_{y}$, $-h_{z}$ |

The analogy established in this section can


Fig. 7. be used to find the stress resultants of a statically determinate space loaded frame by determining the displacements of the conjugate plane loaded frame. Let us consider for instance the frame in Fig. 5 carrying a transverse load $F_{z}=P$ at B. The stress resultants produced by this load can be found as the displacements of the conjugate frame produced by the bend $H_{2}=-P$ at B . The general trend of these displacements is shown in Fig. 7. Relative to the right hand support, we obtain for the transverse displacement at $\mathrm{B}: u_{y}=4 a \varphi / 3$. Relative to the left hand support, we have for the transverse displacement at the same point: $u_{y}=2 a(P-\varphi) / 3$. By equating these expressions and solving for $\varphi$, we find that $\varphi=P / 3$. The displacements $u_{x}, u_{y}, \theta_{z}$ of the conjugate frame are thus known. They correspond to the stress resultants $M_{x}, M_{y}, R_{z}$ of the space loaded frame, the distribution of which is shown in Figs. 8 a-c.


Fig. 8a-c.
5. Elastic deformations of space loaded frames. Indeterminate space loaded frames. When the stress resultants of a space loaded frame have been
determined by the method given in the preceding section, the analogy of $\S 3$ can be used in order to find the elastic deformations of the space loaded frame. For example, let us consider again the frame in Fig. 5, carrying a transverse load $F_{z}=P$ at B . The stress resultants have been determined in the preceding section and are shown in Fig. 8. Equations (3) furnish the distortions produced by these stress resultants: $h_{x}=M_{x} / \beta_{x}, h_{y}=M_{y} / \beta_{y}, g_{z}=R_{z} / \alpha_{2}$. According to the analogy of $\S 3$, the displacements $\theta_{x}, \theta_{y}, u_{z}$ corresponding to these distortions can be found as the stress resultants $R_{x}, R_{y}, M_{z}$ of the conjugate frame carrying the loads $f_{x}=-h_{x}, f_{y}=-h_{y}, c_{z}=-g_{z}$. In most cases the influences of the shear $R_{z}$ on the deformations can be neglected, and consequently in the conjugate frame the distributed couples $c_{z}$ need not be considered. The loads on the conjugate frame then consist of the axial loads shown in Fig. 9a and the transverse loads shown in Fig. 9b, it having been assumed that $\beta_{x}$ and $\beta_{y}$ have the same values for all members. The reactions can be computed easily and are indicated in Figs. 9a and 9b. If now, for instance, we wish to determine the deflection $u_{z}$ of the right hand corner $C$ of the space loaded frame, we have only to compute the bending moment $M_{z}$ at the corresponding corner of the conjugate frame. We find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{z}=-\frac{P a^{3}}{162 \beta_{y}}\left[23-18 n^{3}+54 \gamma n\right] \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n=b / a$ and $\gamma=\beta_{v} / \beta_{x}$.
This method of computing elastic deformations enables us to carry out the stress analysis of indeterminate space loaded frames. Let us suppose, for instance, that the frame in Fig. 5 is given a further simple support at B and carries a transverse load $F_{z}=Q$ at C . By establishing the condition for vanish-


Fig. 9a. $q=P a / 3 \beta_{z}$.


Fig. 9b. $n=b / a, r=P a / 3 \beta_{y}$,

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
U_{1}=\frac{r a}{54}\left(40+18 n^{3}\right), & U_{2}=\frac{r a}{54}\left(32-18 n^{3}\right) . \\
V_{1}=\frac{r a}{54 n}\left(23+27 n^{3}\right) & V_{2}=\frac{r a}{54 n}\left(23-27 n^{3}\right)
\end{array}
$$

ing deflection at $B$ and applying Maxwell's law of reciprocal deflections in the usual way, we find the reaction at B in the form $R=-Q u_{C} / u_{B}$, where $u_{B}$ and $u_{C}$ denote the deflections which a unit transverse load $F_{z}=1$ at B produces at the points B and C respectively; $u_{c}$ can be obtained from (6) by setting $P=1 ; u_{B}$ can be computed as the bending moment $M_{z}$ at the point B of the conjugate frame, loaded according to Figs. 9a and 9b. With $P=1$ we find that

$$
u_{B}=\frac{a^{3}}{243 \beta_{y}}\left[32+18 n^{3}+54 \gamma n\right]
$$

and therefore

$$
R=\frac{3\left(23-18 n^{3}+54 \gamma n\right)}{2\left(32+18 n^{3}+54 \gamma n\right)}
$$

6. The inverse column analogy. The following method of determining the stress resultants of indeterminate space loaded frames is patterned after the column analogy method of H. Cross. ${ }^{3}$ In


Fig. 10. order to avoid lengthy computations which might obscure the essential feature of this new method, we shall consider the simple problem of the frame in Fig. 10 carrying a transverse load $F_{z}=P$ at B.

We suppose again that the stiffness factors $\beta_{x}$ and $\beta_{\nu}$ have the same values for all members. The frame then is symmetrical and is loaded symmetrically.

According to the analogy of $\S 4$, the torque $M_{x}$ and the bending moment $M_{y}$ of the frame can be obtained as the displacements $u_{x}$ and $u_{v}$ of the conjugate frame produced by the bend $H_{z}=-P$ at B . Now the conjugate frame is entirely free. Since the system is symmetrical, it will possess a kinematically indeterminate displacement $v$ in the direction of the columns as shown in Fig. 11a. This indeterminate displacement in the plane loaded frame corresponds to the statically indeterminate torque in the columns of the space loaded frame.

From equations (2) we see that the distortions of the space loaded frame are given by $h_{x}=M_{x} / \beta_{x}, h_{y}=M_{y} / \beta_{y}$, or, by the analogy of $\S 4$, by $h_{x}=u_{x} / \beta_{x}$, $h_{y}=u_{y} / \beta_{y}$, where $u_{x}$ and $u_{y}$ are the displacements in the conjugate plane loaded frame. The analogy of $\S 3$ then indicates that the longitudinal and transverse loads on the conjugate frame are given by $f_{x}=-u_{x} / \beta_{x}, f_{y}=-u_{y} / \beta_{y}$.

[^19]The horizontal load is in equilibrium because of symmetry. The vertical load is shown in Fig. 11b, and is in equilibrium if

$$
v=\frac{P b}{4} \frac{n}{n+\gamma}
$$

where $n=b / a, \gamma=\beta_{y} / \beta_{x}$. Thus the torque $M_{x}$ in the left hand column is given by $M_{x}=u_{x}=v$. Also, the bending moment $M_{y}$ at B is given by

$$
M_{\nu}=-(v-P b / 2)=\frac{P b}{4} \frac{2 \gamma+n}{\gamma+n}
$$

The procedure outlined above is equivalent to the following procedure. We suppose that the conjugate frame is embedded in an elastic jelly which


Fig. 11a.


Fig. 11b. $n=b / a, r=P a / 2 \beta_{y}$.
offers resistance to the displacement of the frame in such a way that an element $d s$ with displacements $u_{x}$ and $u_{\nu}$ will meet with a resistance consisting of longitudinal and transverse forces of magnitudes $u_{x} d s / \beta_{x}$ and $u_{y} d y / \beta_{y}$, respectively. We then determine the displacements of this elastically supported frame, and the longitudinal displacement $u_{x}$ then gives the torque $M_{x}$ in the space loaded frame, while the transverse displacement $u_{y}$ gives the bending moment $M_{y}$. The above method of procedure is clearly seen to be the counterpart of the column analogy of H . Cross; it will be called the inverse column analogy.

The inverse column analogy furnishes a simple method of determining the influence lines of an indeterminate space loaded frame. For example, let us consider the influence line for the bending moment $M_{y}$ which transverse loads $F_{z}$ produce at the section B of the symmetric frame in Fig. 10. Following the line of approach of $\S 3$, we consider the conjugate frame loaded by a transverse force $F_{y}=-1$ at B and supported elastically in accordance with the inverse column analogy. Since this frame is symmetrical and loaded symmetrically, the displacements are of the type indicated in Fig. 12a. The forces
which the elastic support exerts on the frame are shown in Fig. 12b. From the condition of equilibrium for the vertical forces, we find that


Fig. 12a.


Fig. 12b.


Fig. 12c. $s=v a b / \beta_{x}+v b^{2} / \beta_{y}$.

$$
v=\frac{\beta_{y}}{2 b} \frac{n}{n+\gamma}
$$

where again $n=b / a$ and $\gamma=\beta_{y} / \beta_{x}$. The bending moment diagram of this elastically supported frame (Fig. 12c) is at the same time the desired influence line of the space loaded frame.
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The object of the present paper is the computation of the fundamental frequency of a vibrating clamped square plate under uniform tension. It will be seen that the method used here reduces our problem for a plate of any shape to the membrane problem for the corresponding domain. For this reason similar numerical results could be obtained for a number of other shapes. A similar question has been discussed for a circular plate by W. G. Bickley ${ }^{1}$ in connection with the problem of reception of acoustic signals in a condenser microphone. The circular plate is an elementary problem from the theoretical viewpoint. However, the actual calculations involving Bessel's functions are rather heavy. Bickley was able to give the frequencies only for a small range of the tension.

The frequencies of a square plate cannot be obtained explicitly in terms of elementary functions. However, the Rayleigh-Ritz method yields an upper bound for these quantities. The result cannot be considered as satisfactory since this method does not give us an estimation of the error. Fortunately, an increasing sequence of lower bounds can be obtained for all frequencies by the application of a variational method already introduced by one of the authors in several vibration and buckling problems. Combining these lower bounds with the upper bounds obtained by Rayleigh-Ritz, we obtain a narrow interval in which our frequencies are located.

Morcover, it is obvious that for questions like that of microphone reception, the lower bounds are the more important data.

The theory of the new variational method has been developed in several papers. ${ }^{2}$ The modifications in the present case are slight. For this reason we will omit all theoretical details. The reader can easily reconstruct the proofs of the rules which we are following here.

Let $S$ be the domain of a plate of arbitrary shape, and let $C$ denote its boundary. In the numerical applications we shall assume that $S$ is the square $-\pi / 2 \leqq x, y \leqq \pi / 2$.

We denote by:
$2 h$, the thickness of the plate
$T$, the tension

[^20]E, Young's modulus
$\sigma$, Poisson's ratio .
$\rho$, density of the material
$D=2 E h^{3} / 3\left(1-\sigma^{2}\right)$, the flexural rigidity
$\omega$, the eigenfrequency (number of pulsations in $2 \pi$ seconds)
$w$, the transversal displacement.
We put $\tau=T / D$. Our problem admits an infinite sequence of eigenfrequencies $\omega=\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}, \cdots$, in place of which we shall use the eigenvalues $\lambda=\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \cdots$, where

$$
\lambda=\frac{2 h \rho \omega^{2}}{D} .
$$

The displacements corresponding to these eigenvalues will be denoted by $w=w_{1}, w_{2}, \cdots$. These transverse displacements $w$ satisfy in $S$ the differential equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \Delta w-\tau \Delta w-\lambda w=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the boundary conditions

$$
\begin{gather*}
w=0  \tag{2}\\
d w / d n=0 \tag{3}
\end{gather*}
$$

on $C$.
The equation (1) may be written as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\Delta+\alpha)(\Delta-\beta) w=0, \quad(\alpha>0, \beta>0) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\begin{equation*}
\beta-\alpha=\tau, \quad \alpha \beta=\lambda \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=-\frac{\tau}{2}+\sqrt{\frac{\tau^{2}}{4}+\lambda}, \quad \beta=\frac{\tau}{2}+\sqrt{\frac{\tau^{2}}{4}+\lambda} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We sec that we have the identity:

$$
\begin{equation*}
w=u+\bar{u} \text { in } S+C \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u$ and $\bar{u}$ are solutions of

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Delta u+\alpha u=0,  \tag{8}\\
& \Delta \bar{u}-\beta \bar{u}=0 . \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

We have therefore also the following identity:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta w=\Delta(u+\bar{u})=\beta \bar{u}-\alpha u \text { in } S+C . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The identities ( 7 ) and (10) will be useful in the following.

It is well known that the eigenvalues of our plate can be defined by minima problems, the same as could be used in the Rayleigh-Ritz method. For instance, the fundamental eigenvalue $\lambda=\lambda_{1}$, in which we are interested in this paper, is given by the variational problem:

$$
\begin{align*}
U(w) & \equiv \iint_{S}(\Delta w)^{2} d x d y+\tau \iint\left[\left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial x}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial y}\right)^{2}\right] d x d y  \tag{11}\\
& =\min =\lambda_{1}
\end{align*}
$$

with the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(w) \equiv \iint_{S} w w^{2} d x d y=1 \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and with the boundary conditions (2) and (3).
Let us note that $U$ is not the potential energy of the plate. Nevertheless our variational problem gives us the correct differential equation and boundary conditions. This variational problem will be denoted by $P$. The higher cigenvalues $\lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}, \cdots$ can also be defined by similar variational problems. However, we shall not use them in this paper.

The Euler equation of $P$ is the equation (1). This equation together with the boundary conditions (2) and (3) defines a differential eigenvalue problem $\bar{P}$ which admits the solutions $w_{1}, u_{2}, \cdots$ corresponding to the eigenvalues $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \cdots$.

In order to obtain an increasing sequence of lower bounds for $\lambda_{1}$ we begin by cancelling in the variational problem $P$ the boundary condition $d w / d n=0$. In this way we obtain a new variational problem $P_{0}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
U(w)=\min =\lambda_{1}^{(0)} ; \quad H(w)=1 \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the boundary condition $w=0$.
The conditions in $P_{0}$ being less restrictive than in $P$, we have $\lambda_{1}^{(0)} \leqq \lambda_{1}$. The Euler equation in $P_{0}$ is the same as in $P$, namely the equation (1). However, the boundary conditions for this equation are

$$
\begin{equation*}
w=0 \text { and } \Delta w=0 \text { on } C, \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

the last condition being a so-called natural boundary condition, i.e., a condition which is automatically satisfied by the minimizing function in $P_{0}$. The corresponding differential eigenvalue problem $\bar{P}_{0}$ is given by (1) and (14). $\bar{P}_{0}$ admits a sequence of eigenvalues $\lambda_{1}^{(0)}, \lambda_{2}^{(0)}, \lambda_{3}^{(0)}, \cdots$ the smallest of which is identical with the minimum $\lambda_{1}^{(0)}$ in $P_{0}$. In the case of a square plate, $\bar{P}_{0}$ is identical with the problem of the vibrations of a supported plate under tension. The problem $\bar{P}_{0}$ can be solved, for a domain of any shape, in terms of the membrane problem for the same domain, a fact which has been implicitly used in the elementary theory of a square supported plate.

In order to show this we use the identities (7) and (10) and we obtain from (14) at once

$$
u=0, \bar{u}=0 \text { on } C .
$$

In view of (8) and (9), it follows immediately that $\bar{\imath} \equiv 0$ in $S+C$ and that (1) and (14) are satisfied by $w=u$, where $u$ is an eigenfunction of the membrane problem $\Delta u+\alpha u=0$ in $S, u=0$ on $C$. From the eigenvalues $\alpha$ of this problem we can compute the eigenvalues $\lambda^{(0)}$ in $\bar{P}_{0}$ by using the equations (5).

In order to obtain an increasing sequence of lower bounds for the eigenvalue $\lambda_{1}$ in $P$ we link $P_{0}$ with $P$ by a chain of intermediate variational problems $P_{1}, P_{2} \ldots$, the solutions of which can be expressed in terms of the solutions of $\bar{P}_{0}$. In this way our problem for the clamped plate can be reduced to the solution of $\bar{P}_{0}$ which is, as we have seen, equivalent to the problem of a vibrating membrane.

In order to show how this can be done, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{1}(s), p_{2}(s), \cdots, p_{m-1}(s), p_{m}(s), \cdots \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

be an arbitrarily given sequence of functions defined on the boundary $C$ of the plate, $s$ being the arc, and $p_{1}(s)$ being positive. The problem $P_{m}$ ( $n=1,2, \cdots$ ) is then defined as follows:
Problem $P_{m}$ : Find the minimum $\lambda_{1}^{(m)}$ of $U(w)$ with the condition $H(w)=1$ and with the boundary conditions

$$
\begin{gather*}
w=0 \text { on } C,  \tag{16}\\
\int_{C} p_{k} \cdot \frac{d w}{d n} d s=0, \quad k=1,2, \cdots, m . \tag{17}
\end{gather*}
$$

The conditions in $P_{m}$ are more restrictive than those in $P_{m-1}$ but they are less restrictive than the boundary conditions in $P$.

We have therefore $\lambda_{1}^{(0)} \leqq \lambda_{1}^{(1)} \leqq \lambda_{1}^{(2)} \leqq \cdots \leqq \lambda_{1}$. The minimizing function $w$ in $P$ satisfies the same Euler cquation (1) as in $P_{0}$ (or in $P$ ), but the boundary conditions are given now by the equations (16), (17) and by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta w=a_{1} p_{1}+\cdots+a_{m} p_{m} \text { on } C, \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

the last condition being again a natural boundary condition. The constant coefficients $a_{1}, \cdots, a_{m}$ are unknowns. In order to solve $P_{m}$ we have to determine the lowest eigenvalue of the differential problem $\bar{P}_{m}$ defined by (1), (16), (17) and (18). We use again the identities (7) and (10) in a way described in our previous papers. In order to avoid repetitions which would considerably increase the length of this paper, we will only formulate the rules for the computation. It can be shown that $\lambda_{1}^{(m)}$ can be computed by the following procedure. Denote by $u_{i}$ and $\bar{u}_{i}(i=1, \cdots, m)$ the solutions of the equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Delta u_{i}+\alpha u_{i}=0  \tag{19}\\
& \Delta \bar{u}_{i}-\beta \bar{u}_{i}=0 \tag{20}
\end{align*}
$$

with the boundary conditions

$$
\begin{align*}
& u_{i}=-p_{i}(s)  \tag{21}\\
& \bar{u}_{i}=p_{i}(s) \tag{22}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are considered as parameters. These equations can be solved in terms of the solutions of $\bar{P}_{0}$. Put $w_{i}=u_{i}+\bar{u}_{i}$ and compute the quantities

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{i j}(\lambda)=\int_{C} p_{i} \frac{d w_{j}}{d u\rfloor} d s ; \quad i, j=1, \cdots, m \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the parameter $\lambda$ is defined in terms of $\alpha$ and $\beta$ by (5) and (6). Then $\lambda_{1}^{(m)}$ is the smallest root of the determinant equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\alpha_{i j}(\lambda)\right\|=0 ; \quad i, j=1, \cdots, m \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

provided that the smallest root is smaller than the second eigenvalue $\lambda_{2}^{(0)}$ of the differential eigenvalue problem $\bar{P}_{0}$, defined by the equations (1) and (14).

The calculation of (23) can be further simplified by introducing a sequence of harmonic functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{1}(x, y), p_{2}(x, y), \cdots, p_{m-1}(x, y), p_{m}(x, y), \cdots \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

whose boundary values are given as in (15). Then by Green's theorem, (23) can be written as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{i j}(\lambda)=\iint_{s} p_{i}(x, y)\left(\beta \bar{u}_{j}-\alpha u_{j}\right) d x d y . \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Calculation for a square plate $(-\pi / 2 \leqq x, y \leqq \pi / 2)$ : In this case, we take

$$
\begin{align*}
p_{i}(x, y)= & \frac{\cosh \left(\beta_{2 i-1} \pi / 2\right) \cosh \left(\alpha_{2 i-1} \pi / 2\right)}{\cosh (2 i-1) \pi / 2} \\
1 & \cdot\{\cosh (2 i-1) x \cos (2 i-1) y+\cosh (2 i-1) y \cos (2 i-1) x\} \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{2 i-1}=\sqrt{(2 i-1)^{2}-\alpha}, \quad \beta_{2 i-1}=\sqrt{(2 i-1)^{2}+\beta} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the boundary, we have

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
p_{i}( \pm \pi / 2, y)=\cos (2 i+1) y \cosh \beta_{2 i-1} \pi / 2 \cosh \alpha_{2 i-1} \pi / 2  \tag{29}\\
p_{i}(x, \pm \pi / 2)=\cos (2 i-1) x \cosh \beta_{2 i-1} \pi / 2 \cosh \alpha_{2 i-1} \pi / 2
\end{array}\right.
$$

Then the solutions of the problems (19), (21) and (20), (22) are
$\left\{\begin{array}{l}u_{i}=-\cosh \beta_{2 i-1} \pi / 2\left[\cos (2 i-1) x \cosh \alpha_{2 i-1} y+\cos (2 i-1) y \cosh \alpha_{2 i-1} x\right] \\ \bar{u}_{i}=\cosh \alpha_{2 i-1} \pi / 2\left[\cos (2 i-1) x \cosh \beta_{2 i-1} y+\cos (2 i-1) y \cosh \beta_{2 i-1} x\right] .\end{array}\right.$
Substituting $p_{i}(x, y)$ from (27), $u_{i}$ and $\bar{u}_{i}$ from (30) into (26), we obtain after a little calculation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{i j}=4 \cosh \alpha_{2 i-1} \pi / 2 \cosh \alpha_{2 i-1} \pi / 2 \cosh \beta_{2 i-1} \pi / 2 \cosh \beta_{2 j-1} \pi / 2\left(A_{i j}+B_{i j}\right) \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$ where

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{i i}=A_{i i}=\frac{2(2 j-1)(2 i-1)(-1)^{i+j}}{\left[(2 i-1)^{2}+(2 j-1)^{2}\right]}\left[\frac{\beta}{\beta_{2 j-1}^{2}+(2 i-1)^{2}}+\frac{\alpha}{\alpha_{2 j-1}^{2}+(2 i-1)^{2}}\right]  \tag{32}\\
& \left\{\begin{array}{l}
B_{i i}=\frac{1}{2}\left[\beta_{2 i-1} \pi \tanh \beta_{2 i-1} \pi / 2-\alpha_{2 i-1} \pi \tanh \alpha_{2 i-1} \pi-1 / 2\right] \\
B_{i i}=0 \text { for } i \neq j
\end{array}\right. \tag{33}
\end{align*}
$$

It should be noted that the roots of $\left\|\alpha_{i j}(\lambda)\right\|$ are equal to the roots of $\left\|\bar{\alpha}_{i j}(\lambda)\right\|$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\alpha}_{i j}=A_{i j}+B_{i j} \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

The results of our numerical computations are given in Table I below. The first and second columns give $\lambda_{1}^{(0)}$ and $\lambda_{2}^{(0)}$ for the supported plate. The next three columns give the smallest root of the determinantal equation (24)

Table I

| Supported Plate |  |  | Clamped Plate |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\tau$ | 1st eigenvalue $\lambda_{1}^{(0)}$ | 2nd eigenvalue $\lambda_{2}^{(0)}$ | $\lambda_{1}^{(1)}$ | $\lambda_{1}^{(2)}$ | $\lambda_{1}^{(3)}$ | RayleighRitz method |
| 5 | 14 | 50 | 24.982 | 25.222 | 25.236 | 25.509 |
| 10 | 24 | 75 | 36.639 | 36.845 | 36.862 | 37.443 |
| 15 | 34 | 100 | 48.084 | 48.253 | 48.284 | 49.261 |
| 20 | 44 | 125 | 59.289 | 59.452 | 59.491 | 61.008 |
| 30 | 64 | 175 | 81.651 | 81.760 | 81.809 | 84.372 |
| 50 | 104 | 275 | 125.43 | 125.56 | 125.59 | 130.85 |
| 100 | 204 | 525 | 225.56 | 225.63 | 225.65 | 246.58 |
| 200 | 404 | 1025 | 443.15 | 443.24 | 443.25 | 477.58 |

for $m=1,2,3$. Since these roots are smaller than the corresponding second eigenvalues $\lambda_{2}^{(0)}$, they are, according to the general theory, identical with the eigenvalues $\lambda_{1}^{(1)}, \lambda_{1}^{(2)}, \lambda_{1}^{(3)}$ and give therefore an increasing sequence of lower bounds for the fundamental eigenvalue $\lambda_{1}$ in $P$. The corresponding upper bounds, obtained by the Rayleigh-Ritz method are tabulated in the last column. They have been obtained from the variational problem $P$ by putting

$$
w=A \cos ^{2} x \cos ^{2} y+B \cos ^{3} x \cos ^{3} y
$$

A comparison with $\lambda_{1}^{(3)}$ shows us that the error in the values of $\lambda_{1}$ is, for small tensions, less than 1.2 per cent and, for great tensions, less than 7 per cent. The fact that $\lambda_{1}^{(3)}$ hardly exceeds $\lambda_{1}^{(2)}$ makes it probable that the lower bounds are much closer to the true value of $\lambda_{1}$ than the upper bound given by the Rayleigh-Ritz method.

In figure 1 are plotted curves of fundamental eigenvalues of clamped circular plate and square plate against the tension $\tau$. The curve I is the values of $\lambda_{1}^{(3)}$ for the clamped square plate. The curves II and III are respectively the fundamental eigenvalues for a circular plate of equal area and equal circumference as the given square plate. Both of the latter curves are calculated from the Bickley result.


Fig. 1. Curve 1: Clamped Square Plate ( $\pi / 2 \geqq x, y \geqq-\pi / 2$ )
Curve 2: Clamped Circular Plate ( $r=\sqrt{\pi}$ )
Curve 3: Clamped Circular Plate ( $r=2$ )

Remark. Using our lower bound $\lambda_{1}^{(3)}$ for a single value $\tau_{0}$ of $\tau$ we can easily compute lower bounds for $\lambda_{1}$ for every value of $\tau$. This result can be obtained by combining our method with an idea of R. V. Southwell. ${ }^{3}$ In fact, the lowest eigenvalue $\lambda_{1}=\lambda_{1}(r)$ is given by the minimum of $U(w) / H(w)$ under the con-

[^21]ditions (2) and (3). Denoting in (11) the first and second integrals by $J(w)$ and $D(w)$ respectively we have
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
U / H=J / H+\tau D / H=J / H+\tau_{0} D / H+\left(\tau-\tau_{0}\right) D / H \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

Since $D(w) / H(w)$ is obviously greater than 2 (i.e., greater than the lowest eigenvalue of the vibrating membrane) we have for all values $\tau>\tau_{0}$

$$
\lambda_{1}(\tau)>\lambda_{1}\left(\tau_{0}\right)+2\left(\tau-\tau_{0}\right)>\lambda_{1}^{(3)}\left(\tau_{0}\right)+2\left(\tau-\tau_{0}\right) .
$$

Putting $\tau_{0}=5$ we give in Table II the values of $\lambda_{1}^{(3)}(5)+2(\tau-5)$.
Table II

| $\tau$ | $\lambda_{1}^{3}(5)+2(\tau-5)$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 25.236 |
| 10 | 35.236 |
| 15 | 45.236 |
| 20 | 55.236 |
| 30 | 75.236 |
| 50 | 115.23 |
| 100 | 215.23 |
| 200 | 415.23 |

It will be seen that these lower bounds for $\lambda_{1}$ are smaller than the lower bounds computed by our method alone.

# A DIRECT IMAGE ERROR THEORY* 

M. HERZBERGER

Communication No. 804 from the Kodak Research Laboratories

1. In a previous paper ${ }^{1}$ the author proposed a direct approach to the problem of geometrical optics. In this paper we shall give a new image error theory, to the fifth order, which seems to be more adapted to the practical problems than former theories. We are given a rotationally symmetric system. Let us choose two Cartesian systems, one in object space and the other in image space, such that the $x, x^{\prime}$ and $y, y^{\prime}$ axes have the same directions and the $z, z^{\prime}$ axes coincide with the optical axis of the system.

A ray is given in object (image) space by the coordinates $x, y,\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)$ of its intersection point with the plane $z=0,\left(z^{\prime}=0\right)$. The optical direction cosines (the direction cosines multiplied by the refractive indices $n$ and $n^{\prime}$, respectively) may be designated by the Greek letters

$$
\xi, \eta, \zeta=\sqrt{n^{2}-\left(\xi^{2}+\eta^{2}\right)} ; \quad \xi^{\prime}, \eta^{\prime}, \zeta^{\prime}=\sqrt{n^{\prime 2}-\left(\xi^{\prime 2}+\eta^{\prime 2}\right)} .
$$

The fundamental problem of practical optics is to find $x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}, \xi^{\prime}, \eta^{\prime}$, when $x, y, \xi, \eta$ are given. Because of the rotational symmetry, four functions, $A, B, C, D$, exist such that,

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
x^{\prime}=A x+B \xi, & \xi^{\prime}=C x+D \xi,  \tag{1}\\
y^{\prime}=A y+B \eta, & \eta^{\prime}=C y+D \eta .
\end{array}
$$

where $A, B, C, D$ depend only on the three symmetric functions $u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}$ of our coordinates:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{1}=\frac{1}{2}\left(x^{2}+y^{2}\right), \quad u_{2}=x \xi+y \eta_{1} \quad u_{3}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\xi^{2}+\eta^{2}\right) . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

We found in the previous paper ${ }^{1}$ that, according to the laws of geometrical optics, $A, B, C, D$ cannot be arbitrary functions, but must fulfill one finite and three differential equations, viz.,

$$
A D-B C=1
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
A\left(\frac{\partial C}{\partial u_{2}}-\frac{\partial D}{\partial u_{1}}\right) & -C\left(\frac{\partial A}{\partial u_{2}}-\frac{\partial B}{\partial u_{1}}\right)+2 u_{1}\left(\frac{\partial A}{\partial u_{1}} \frac{\partial C}{\partial u_{2}}-\frac{\partial A}{\partial u_{2}} \frac{\partial C}{\partial u_{1}}\right) \\
& +u_{2}\left(\frac{\partial A}{\partial u_{1}} \frac{\partial D}{\partial u_{2}}-\frac{\partial A}{\partial u_{2}} \frac{\partial D}{\partial u_{1}}+\frac{\partial B}{\partial u_{1}} \frac{\partial C}{\partial u_{2}}-\frac{\partial B}{\partial u_{2}} \frac{\partial C}{\partial u_{1}}\right) \\
& +2 u_{3}\left(\frac{\partial B}{\partial u_{1}} \frac{\partial D}{\partial u_{2}}-\frac{\partial B}{\partial u_{2}} \frac{\partial D}{\partial u_{1}}\right)=0,
\end{aligned}
$$

[^22] (1943).
\[

$$
\begin{align*}
A\left(\frac{\partial C}{\partial u_{3}}-\frac{\partial D}{\partial u_{2}}\right) & +B\left(\frac{\partial C}{\partial u_{2}}-\frac{\partial D}{\partial u_{1}}\right)-C\left(\frac{\partial A}{\partial u_{3}}-\frac{\partial B}{\partial u_{2}}\right) \\
& -D\left(\frac{\partial A}{\partial u_{2}}-\frac{\partial B}{\partial u_{1}}\right)+2 u_{1}\left(\frac{\partial A}{\partial u_{1}} \frac{\partial C}{\partial u_{3}}-\frac{\partial A}{\partial u_{3}} \frac{\partial C}{\partial u_{1}}\right) \\
& +u_{2}\left(\frac{\partial A}{\partial u_{1}} \frac{\partial D}{\partial u_{3}}-\frac{\partial A}{\partial u_{3}} \frac{\partial D}{\partial u_{1}}+\frac{\partial B}{\partial u_{1}} \frac{\partial C}{\partial u_{3}}-\frac{\partial B}{\partial u_{3}} \frac{\partial C}{\partial u_{1}}\right) \\
& +2 u_{3}\left(\frac{\partial B}{\partial u_{3}} \frac{\partial D}{\partial u_{3}}-\frac{\partial B}{\partial u_{3}} \frac{\partial D}{\partial u_{1}}\right)=0 \\
B\left(\frac{\partial C}{\partial u_{3}}-\frac{\partial D}{\partial u_{2}}\right) & -D\left(\frac{\partial A}{\partial u_{3}}-\frac{\partial B}{\partial u_{2}}\right)+2 u_{3}\left(\frac{\partial A}{\partial u_{2}} \frac{\partial C}{\partial u_{3}}-\frac{\partial A}{\partial u_{3}} \frac{\partial C}{\partial u_{2}}\right)  \tag{A}\\
& +u_{2}\left(\frac{\partial A}{\partial u_{2}} \frac{\partial D}{\partial u_{3}}-\frac{\partial A}{\partial u_{3}} \frac{\partial D}{\partial u_{2}}+\frac{\partial B}{\partial u_{2}} \frac{\partial C}{\partial u_{3}}-\frac{\partial B}{\partial u_{3}} \frac{\partial C}{\partial u_{2}}\right)=0 .^{*}
\end{align*}
$$
\]

It is the purpose of this paper to develop from formulae (A) the theory of image errors. Developing $A, B, C, D$ into a series with respect to $u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}$, we can write

$$
\begin{align*}
A= & A_{0}+A_{1} u_{1}+A_{2} u_{2}+A_{3} u_{3} \\
& +\frac{1}{2}\left(A_{11} u_{1}^{2}+2 A_{12} u_{1} u_{2}+A_{222} u_{2}^{2}+\cdots+A_{33} u_{3}^{2}\right) \tag{3}
\end{align*}
$$

and for $B, C, D$, correspondingly. Inserting (3) in (A) and comparing coefficients leads to the first-order equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{0} D_{0}-B_{0} C_{0}=1 ; \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

the third-order equations

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{0} D_{1}+A_{1} D_{0}=B_{0} C_{1}+B_{1} C_{0} \\
& A_{0} D_{2}+A_{2} D_{0}=B_{0} C_{2}+B_{2} C_{0}  \tag{5a}\\
& A_{0} D_{3}+A_{3} D_{0}=B_{0} C_{3}+B_{3} C_{0}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& A_{0}\left(C_{2}-D_{1}\right)-C_{0}\left(A_{2}-B_{1}\right)=0 \\
& A_{0}\left(C_{3}-D_{2}\right)+B_{0}\left(C_{2}-D_{1}\right)- C_{0}\left(A_{3}-B_{2}\right)-D_{0}\left(A_{2}-B_{1}\right)=0  \tag{5b}\\
& B_{0}\left(C_{3}-D_{2}\right)-D_{0}\left(A_{3}-B_{2}\right)=0
\end{align*}
$$

and finally the fifth-order equations:

[^23]\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{0} D_{11}+D_{0} A_{11}-B_{0} C_{11}-C_{0} B_{11}=2\left(B_{1} C_{1}-A_{1} D_{1}\right), \\
& A_{0} D_{12}+D_{0} A_{12}-B_{0} C_{12}-C_{0} B_{12}=B_{1} C_{2}+B_{2} C_{1}-A_{1} D_{2}-A_{2} D_{1}, \\
& A_{0} D_{13}+D_{0} A_{13}-B_{0} C_{13}-C_{0} B_{13}=B_{1} C_{3}+B_{3} C_{1}-A_{1} D_{3}-A_{3} D_{1}, \\
& A_{0} D_{22}+D_{0} A_{22}-B_{0} C_{22}-C_{0} B_{22}=2\left(B_{2} C_{2}-A_{2} D_{2}\right), \\
& A_{0} D_{23}+D_{0} A_{23}-B_{0} C_{23}-C_{0} B_{23}=B_{2} C_{3}+B_{3} C_{2}-A_{2} D_{3}-A_{3} D_{2}, \\
& A_{0} D_{33}+D_{0} A_{33}-B_{0} C_{33}-C_{0} B_{33}=2\left(B_{3} C_{3}-A_{3} D_{3}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A_{0}\left(C_{21}-D_{11}\right)-C_{0}\left(A_{21}-B_{11}\right)= C_{1}\left(A_{2}-B_{1}\right)-A_{1}\left(C_{2}-D_{1}\right)-2\left(A_{1} C_{2}-A_{2} C_{1}\right), \\
& A_{0}\left(C_{22}-D_{12}\right)-C_{0}\left(A_{22}-B_{12}\right)= C_{2}\left(A_{2}-B_{1}\right)-A_{2}\left(C_{2}-D_{1}\right)-\left(A_{1} D_{2}-A_{2} D_{1}\right), \\
&-\left(B_{1} C_{2}-B_{2} C_{1}\right), \\
& A_{0}\left(C_{23}-D_{13}\right)-C_{0}\left(A_{23}-B_{13}\right)= C_{3}\left(A_{2}-B_{1}\right)-A_{3}\left(C_{2}-D_{1}\right)-2\left(B_{1} D_{2}-B_{2} D_{1}\right), \\
& A_{0}\left(C_{31}-D_{21}\right)+B_{0}\left(C_{21}-D_{11}\right)- C_{0}\left(A_{31}-B_{21}\right)-D_{0}\left(A_{21}-B_{11}\right) \\
&=-B_{1}\left(C_{2}-D_{1}\right)-A_{1}\left(C_{3}-D_{2}\right)+D_{1}\left(A_{2}-B_{1}\right)+C_{1}\left(A_{3}-B_{2}\right)-2\left(A_{1} C_{3}-A_{3} C_{1}\right), \\
& A_{0}\left(C_{32}-D_{22}\right)+B_{0}\left(C_{22}-D_{12}\right)-C_{0}\left(A_{32}-B_{22}\right)-D_{0}\left(A_{22}-B_{12}\right) \\
&=-B_{2}\left(C_{2}-D_{1}\right)-A_{2}\left(C_{3}-D_{2}\right)+D_{2}\left(A_{2}-B_{1}\right)+C_{2}\left(A_{3}-B_{2}\right) \\
&-\left(A_{1} D_{3}-A_{3} D_{1}\right)-\left(B_{1} C_{3}-B_{3} C_{1}\right), \\
& A_{0}\left(C_{33}-D_{23}\right)+B_{0}\left(C_{23}-D_{13}\right)- C_{0}\left(A_{33}-B_{23}\right)-D_{0}\left(A_{23}-B_{13}\right) \\
&=-B_{3}\left(C_{2}-D_{1}\right)-A_{3}\left(C_{3}-D_{2}\right)+D_{3}\left(A_{2}-B_{1}\right)+C_{3}\left(A_{3}-B_{2}\right)-2\left(B_{1} D_{3}-B_{3} D_{1}\right), \\
& B_{0}\left(C_{31}-D_{21}\right)-D_{0}\left(A_{31}-B_{21}\right)= D_{1}\left(A_{3}-B_{2}\right)-B_{1}\left(C_{3}-D_{2}\right)-2\left(A_{2} C_{3}-A_{3} C_{2}\right), \\
& B_{0}\left(C_{32}-D_{22}\right)-D_{0}\left(A_{32}-B_{22}\right)= D_{2}\left(A_{3}-B_{2}\right)-B_{2}\left(C_{3}-D_{2}\right) \\
&-\left(A_{2} D_{3}-A_{3} D_{2}\right)-\left(B_{2} C_{3}-B_{3} C_{2}\right), \\
& B_{0}\left(C_{33}-D_{23}\right)-D_{0}\left(A_{33}-B_{23}\right)= D_{3}\left(A_{3}-B_{2}\right)-B_{3}\left(C_{3}-D_{2}\right)-2\left(B_{2} D_{3}-B_{3} D_{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, the $(6+9)$ equations (6) are not independent; they are connected by the identity

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left[A_{0}\left(C_{32}-D_{22}\right)+B_{0}\left(C_{22}-D_{12}\right)-C_{0}\left(A_{32}-B_{22}\right)-D_{0}\left(A_{22}-B_{12}\right)\right]} \\
& \quad+\left[A_{0} D_{22}+D_{0} A_{22}-B_{0} C_{22}-C_{0} B_{22}\right]-\left[A_{0} D_{13}+D_{0} A_{13}-B_{0} C_{13}-C_{0} B_{13}\right]  \tag{7}\\
& \quad-\left[B_{0}\left(C_{31}-D_{21}\right)-D_{0}\left(A_{31}-B_{21}\right)\right]-\left[A_{0}\left(C_{23}-D_{13}\right)-C_{0}\left(A_{23}-B_{13}\right)\right] \equiv 0 .
\end{align*}
$$

2. Gaussian optics. Let us consider first the rays in the neighborhood of the axis. Let us assume that $u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}$ are so small that we can assume functions $A, B, C, D$ to be equal to their constant members:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
x^{\prime}=A_{0} x+B_{0} \xi, & \xi^{\prime}=C_{0} x+D_{0} \xi,  \tag{8}\\
y^{\prime}=A_{0} y+B_{0} \eta, & \eta^{\prime}=C_{0} y+D_{0} \eta
\end{array}
$$

where $A_{0} D_{0}-B_{0} C_{0}=1$.

The evaluation of these equations and the investigation of the geometrical meaning of the coefficients form the content of Gaussian optics.

We shall not go into great detail here, but refer the reader to the discussion in the Journal of the Optical Society of America. ${ }^{2}$

Equations (8) can be inverted, and we obtain then

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
x=D_{0} x^{\prime}-B_{0} \xi^{\prime}, & \xi=-C_{0} x^{\prime}+A_{0} \xi^{\prime}, \\
y=D_{0} y^{\prime}-B_{0} \eta^{\prime}, & \eta=-C_{0} y^{\prime}+A_{0} \eta^{\prime} \tag{9}
\end{array}
$$

Let us investigate what happens if one of the coefficients vanishes.
$A_{0}=0$ means that for $\xi=\eta=0, x^{\prime}=y^{\prime}=0$, which means that the bundle of rays parallel to the axis converges to the image origin. We say that the image origin is at the focal point of the system.
$B_{0}=0$ means that for $x=y=0, x^{\prime}=y^{\prime}=0$. The rays through the object origin meet at the image origin. We say then that object and image origin are optically conjugate.
$C_{0}=0$ means that $\xi=\eta=0$ implies $\xi^{\prime}=\eta^{\prime}=0$, or, a bundle of rays entering the system parallel to the axis emerges parallel to the axis. The system is a telescopic system.
$D_{0}=0$ means that $x=y=0$ implies $\xi^{\prime}=\eta^{\prime}=0$. The rays through the object origin emerge parallel to the axis. The object origin is the object-side (front) focal point.
3. Image error functions. Let us for finite rays* project image point and direction back into the object space, according to Gaussian optics. That means we form equations (9) for our finite rays. The ensuing expressions may be called the equivalent object coordinates $\bar{x}, \tilde{y}, \tilde{\xi}, \tilde{\eta}$. We have from (9) and (1)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{x}=D_{0} x^{\prime}-B_{0} \xi^{\prime}=\left(D_{0} A-B_{0} C\right) x+\left(D_{0} B-B_{0} D\right) \xi=a x+b \xi \\
& \tilde{\xi}=-C_{0} x^{\prime}+A_{0} \xi^{\prime}=\left(-C_{0} A+A_{0} C\right) x+\left(-C_{0} B+A_{0} D\right) \xi=c x+d \xi \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

and analogously,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{y}=a y+b \eta, \\
& \bar{\eta}=c y+d \eta .
\end{aligned}
$$

$a, b, c, d$ are with $A, B, C, D$ functions of $u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}$, and we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& a_{0}=d_{0}=1, \\
& b_{0}=c_{0}=0, \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

the values $a_{0}, b_{0}, c_{0}, d_{0}$, being the limits of $a, b, c, d$ for $u_{i}=0$. If Gaussian optics were correct, we would have equation (11) for all values of $u_{i}$, that is for finite aperture and finite field. The deviation from its constant term as a

[^24]function of aperture and field is therefore a measure of the image errors. We call $a, b, c, d$ the error functions.

In the nomenclature of matrix algebra we can express these equations as follows:

Let

$$
\begin{align*}
& \binom{x^{\prime}}{\xi^{\prime}}=M\binom{x}{\xi}, \quad\binom{x_{0}^{\prime}}{\xi_{0}^{\prime}}=M_{0}\binom{x}{\xi}  \tag{12}\\
& \binom{y^{\prime}}{\eta^{\prime}}=M\binom{y}{\eta}, \quad\binom{y_{0}^{\prime}}{\eta_{0}^{\prime}}=M_{0}\binom{y}{\eta}
\end{align*}
$$

$x_{0}^{\prime}, y_{0}^{\prime}, \xi_{0}^{\prime}, \eta_{0}^{\prime}$ would be the coordinates of the image ray if Gaussian optics were valid. Equations (12) combine equations (1) and ( 8 ), $M$ being the matrix $\left(\begin{array}{ll}A & B \\ C & D\end{array}\right)$, and $M_{0}$ being the matrix $\left(\begin{array}{ll}A_{0} & B_{0} \\ C_{0} & D_{0}\end{array}\right)$.

We have then

$$
\binom{x}{\tilde{\xi}}=M_{0}^{-1} M\binom{x}{\xi}=m\binom{x}{\xi},
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
m=M_{0}^{-1} M, \quad M=M_{0} m \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (13) it is obvious that the determinant of $m$ is equal to unity. Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
a d-b c=1 \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The reader can verify for himself that $a, b, c, d$ fulfill equations (A) and therefore equations (5) and (6), which simplify considerably, owing to the fact that (11) is fulfilled.

Equations (13) can be written explicitly

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
a=D_{0} A-B_{0} C, & A=A_{0} a+B_{0} C \\
b=D_{0} B-B_{0} D, & B=A_{0} b+B_{0} d  \tag{15}\\
c=-C_{0} A+A_{0} C, & C=C_{0} a+D_{0} C \\
d=-C_{0} B+A_{0} D, & D=C_{0} b+D_{0} d
\end{array}
$$

Differentiation and substitution in (A) prove our statement.
4. Third-order theory. The third-order image errors are usually called Seidel errors.

From our point of view, we obtain the image errors by inserting (11) into (5). Abbreviating $\left(\partial a / \partial u_{k}\right)_{u_{i}=0}$ by $a_{k}$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
a_{1}+d_{1}=0 & c_{2}=d_{1} \\
a_{2}+d_{2}=0 & c_{3}=b_{1}  \tag{16}\\
a_{3}+d_{3}=0 & a_{3}=b_{2}
\end{array}
$$

Equations (16) lead to the conclusion that only six of these twelve coefficients are independent. Equations (16) are identically fulfilled by selecting six parameters $k_{i k}$ with permutable indices such that

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
a_{1}=k_{21} & b_{1}=k_{31} & c_{1}=-k_{11} & d_{1}=-k_{21} \\
a_{2}=k_{22} & b_{2}=k_{32} & c_{2}=-k_{12} & d_{2}=-k_{22}  \tag{17}\\
a_{3}=k_{23} & b_{3}=k_{33} & c_{3}=-k_{13} & d_{3}=-k_{23} .
\end{array}
$$

Geometrical investigation (which we omit) would show that (if object and image planes are optically conjugated), $k_{33}$ may be interpreted as the coefficient of spherical aberration for the object origin; $k_{23}$ as the coma coefficient; $k_{22}$ and $k_{13}$ as coefficients of the field errors; and $k_{12}$ as the coefficient of the distortion for an object at the origin and an infinite entrance pupil.

On the other hand, $k_{11}$ may be considered as the coefficient of spherical aberration for an infinite object; $k_{12}$ as coma coefficient; $k_{13}$ and $k_{22}$ as field errors; and $k_{23}$ as the coefficient of distortion for an infinite object and the entrance pupil at the object origin.

The connections between these errors are well-known laws of the Seidel theory.

The method developed here differs from the usual methods in that, first, we do not assume the coordinate origins to be in conjugated planes, and, second, we do not restrict ourselves to the consideration of the deviation of the object point, but investigate at the same time the deviation of the direction of the ray. Equations (10) give, within the limits of our Seidel region, the following equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tilde{x}-x=\left(k_{21} u_{1}+k_{22} u_{2}+k_{23} u_{3}\right) x+\left(k_{31} u_{1}+k_{32} u_{2}+k_{33} u_{3}\right) \xi_{1} \\
& \xi-\tilde{\xi}=\left(k_{11} u_{1}+k_{12} u_{2}+k_{13} u_{3}\right) x+\left(k_{21} u_{1}+k_{22} u_{2}+k_{23} u_{3}\right) \xi ; \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

and $y$ and $\eta$ analogously.
We recommend a detailed study of these equations and their derivatives with respect to $x$ and $\xi$ for meridian rays ( $y=\eta=0$ ), especially in the case where our origins are not conjugated.
5. Fifth-order aberrations. For the fifth-order aberrations we find from (6a) and (6b) the following fourteen independent equations between the twenty-four coefficients $a_{\text {tx }}$, etc.

Making use of equations (11) and (17) we find that

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
a_{11}+d_{11}=2\left(k_{12}^{2}-k_{11} k_{13}\right), & a_{22}+d_{22}=2\left(k_{22}^{2}-k_{12} k_{23}\right), \\
a_{12}+d_{12}=2 k_{12} k_{22}-k_{12} k_{13}-k_{11} k_{23}, & a_{23}+d_{23}=2 k_{22} k_{23}-k_{13} k_{23}-k_{12} k_{33}, \\
a_{13}+d_{13}=2 k_{12} k_{23}-k_{13}^{2}-k_{11} k_{33}, & a_{33}+d_{33}=2\left(k_{23}^{2}-k_{13} k_{33}\right), \\
c_{21}-d_{11}=2 k_{12}^{2}+k_{11} k_{13}-3 k_{11} k_{22}, & b_{12}-a_{13}=k_{13}^{2}+k_{13} k_{22}-2 k_{12} k_{23}, \\
c_{22}-d_{12}=2 k_{12} k_{13}-k_{12} k_{22}-k_{11} k_{23}, & b_{22}-a_{23}=2 k_{13} k_{23}-k_{12} k_{33}-k_{22} k_{23}, \\
c_{23}-d_{13}=k_{13}^{2}+k_{13} k_{22}-2 k_{12} k_{23}, & b_{23}-a_{33}=2 k_{23}^{2}+k_{13} k_{33}-3 k_{22} k_{33},  \tag{19}\\
c_{31}+b_{11}=3\left(k_{12} k_{13}-k_{11} k_{23}\right), & c_{33}+b_{13}=3\left(k_{13} k_{23}-k_{12} k_{33}\right) .
\end{array}
$$

Here again, we can express the twenty-four quantities in terms of the third-order coefficients and nine parameters $k_{u k \lambda}$.
6. The single sphere and the plane. In our previous paper we were able to calculate the functions $A, B, C, D$ for the case of a plane and a sphere.

In the case of a plane, we put object and image origins at the point where the axis intersects the plane and found that

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
x^{\prime}=x, & y^{\prime}=y  \tag{20}\\
\xi^{\prime}=\xi, & \eta^{\prime}=\eta
\end{array}
$$

or $A=D=1, B=C=0$. In this case we have $a=d=1, b=c=0$, and all the image errors vanish.

In the case of a spherical surface, we put the object and image origins at the center, and found that

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
x^{\prime}=A x, & y^{\prime}=A y \\
\xi^{\prime}=C x+D \xi, & \eta^{\prime}=C y+D \eta \tag{21}
\end{array}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
C & =\frac{1}{r}\left\{\sqrt{n^{\prime 2}-\frac{2 n^{2} u_{1}-u_{2}^{2}}{r^{2}}}-\sqrt{n^{2}-\frac{2 n^{2} u_{1}-u_{2}^{2}}{r^{2}}}\right\}  \tag{22}\\
n^{2} D & =\frac{2 n^{2} u_{1}-u_{2}^{2}}{r^{2}}+\sqrt{\left(n^{\prime 2}-\frac{2 n^{2} u_{1}-u}{r^{2}}\right)\left(n^{2}-\frac{2 n^{2} u_{1}-u}{r^{2}}\right)}-C u_{2} \\
A & =\frac{1}{D} .
\end{align*}
$$

If we develop $A, B, C$ as functions of $u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}$, we obtain the Seidel and fifth-order coefficients. Taking care of (5) and observing that

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
A_{0}=\frac{n}{n^{\prime}}, & B_{0}=0 \\
C_{0}=\frac{n^{\prime}-n}{r}, & D_{0}=\frac{n^{i}}{n}, \tag{23}
\end{array}
$$

we finally find the image-error coefficients:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
a_{1}=\frac{n^{2}}{r^{2}}\left(\frac{1}{n^{\prime}}-\frac{1}{n}\right)^{2}, & a_{2}=\frac{1}{r}\left(\frac{1}{n^{\prime}}-\frac{1}{n}\right), \\
b_{1}=0 & b_{2}=0 \\
c_{1}=-\frac{n^{4}}{r^{3}}\left(\frac{1}{n^{\prime 3}}-\frac{4}{n^{\prime 2} n}-\frac{4}{n^{\prime} n^{2}}+\frac{1}{n^{3}}\right), & c_{2}=-\frac{n^{2}}{r^{2}}\left(\frac{1}{n^{\prime}}-\frac{1}{n}\right)^{2}, \\
b_{3}=0  \tag{24a}\\
d_{1}=-\frac{n^{2}}{r^{2}}\left(\frac{1}{n^{\prime}}-\frac{1}{n}\right)^{2}, & d_{2}=-\frac{1}{r}\left(\frac{1}{n^{\prime}}-\frac{1}{n}\right), \\
d_{3}=0
\end{array}
$$

and the fifth-order coefficients:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
a_{11}=\frac{n^{4}}{r^{4}}\left(\frac{1}{n^{\prime}}-\frac{1}{n}\right)^{2}\left(\frac{3}{n^{\prime 2}}-\frac{2}{n^{\prime} n}+\frac{3}{n^{2}}\right), & a_{13}=0, \\
a_{12}=\frac{n^{2}}{r^{3}}\left(\frac{1}{n^{\prime}}-\frac{1}{n}\right)\left(\frac{2}{n^{\prime 2}}-\frac{3}{n^{\prime} n}+\frac{2}{n^{2}}\right), & a_{23}=0, \\
a_{22}=\frac{1}{r^{2}}\left(\frac{1}{n^{\prime}}-\frac{1}{n}\right)^{2}, & a_{33}=0, \\
b_{11}=b_{12}=b_{22}=b_{13}=b_{23}=b_{33}=0, & c_{23}=0, \\
c_{11}=-\frac{3 n^{6}}{r^{5}}\left(\frac{1}{n^{\prime}}-\frac{1}{n}\right)\left(\frac{1}{n^{\prime 4}}-\frac{3}{n^{\prime 3} n}+\frac{3}{n^{\prime 2} n^{2}}-\frac{3}{n^{\prime} n^{3}}+\frac{1}{n^{4}}\right), & c_{13}=0, \\
c_{12}=-\frac{n^{4}}{r^{4}}\left(\frac{1}{n^{\prime}}-\frac{1}{n}\right)^{2}\left(\frac{2}{n^{\prime 2}}-\frac{3}{n^{\prime} n}+\frac{2}{n^{2}}\right), & c_{33}=0, \\
c_{22}=-\frac{n^{2}}{r^{3}}\left(\frac{1}{n^{\prime}}-\frac{1}{n}\right)\left(\frac{1}{n^{\prime 2}}-\frac{1}{n^{\prime} n}+\frac{1}{n^{2}}\right), & d_{13}=0, \\
d_{11}=-\frac{n^{4}}{r^{4}}\left(\frac{1}{n^{\prime 2}}-\frac{1}{n^{2}}\right)^{2}, & d_{23}=0,  \tag{24b}\\
d_{12}=-\frac{n^{2}}{r^{3}}\left(\frac{1}{n^{\prime}}-\frac{1}{n}\right) \frac{1}{n^{\prime} n}, & d_{33}=0, \\
d_{22}=\frac{1}{r^{2}}\left(\frac{1}{n^{\prime}}-\frac{1}{n}\right)^{2}, &
\end{array}
$$

equations which fulfill all the conditions of equations (6).
The nonvanishing seventh-order coefficients for one surface would be:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& a_{111}=\frac{3 n^{6}}{r^{6}}\left(\frac{1}{n^{\prime}}-\frac{1}{n}\right)^{2}\left(\frac{5}{n^{\prime 4}}-\frac{6}{n^{\prime 3} n}+\frac{10}{n^{\prime 2} n^{2}}-\frac{6}{n^{\prime} n^{3}}+\frac{5}{n^{4}}\right), \\
& a_{112}=\frac{n^{4}}{r^{5}}\left(\frac{1}{n^{\prime}}-\frac{1}{n}\right)\left(\frac{8}{n^{\prime 4}}-\frac{19}{n^{\prime 3} n}+\frac{25}{n^{\prime 2} n^{2}}-\frac{19}{n^{\prime} n^{3}}+\frac{8}{n^{4}}\right), \\
& a_{122}=\frac{n^{2}}{r^{4}}\left(\frac{1}{n^{\prime}}-\frac{1}{n}\right)^{2}\left(\frac{3}{n^{\prime 2}}-\frac{2}{n^{\prime} n}+\frac{3}{n^{2}}\right), \\
& a_{222}=-\frac{3}{r^{2}}\left(\frac{1}{n^{\prime}}-\frac{1}{n}\right) \frac{1}{n^{\prime} n}, \\
& c_{111}=-\frac{3 n^{8}}{r^{7}}\left(\frac{1}{n^{\prime}}-\frac{1}{n}\right)\left(\frac{5}{n^{\prime 6}}-\frac{17}{n^{\prime 5} n}+\frac{26}{n^{\prime 4} n^{2}}-\frac{33}{n^{\prime 3} n^{3}}+\frac{26}{n^{\prime 2} n^{4}}-\frac{17}{n^{\prime} n^{5}}+\frac{5}{n^{6}}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& c_{112}=-\frac{n^{6}}{r^{6}}\left(\frac{1}{n^{\prime}}-\frac{1}{n}\right)^{2}\left(\frac{8}{n^{\prime 4}}-\frac{19}{n^{\prime 3} n}+\frac{25}{n^{\prime 2} n^{2}}-\frac{19}{n^{\prime} n^{3}}+\frac{8}{n^{4}}\right),  \tag{25}\\
& c_{122}=-\frac{n^{4}}{r^{5}}\left(\frac{1}{n^{\prime}}-\frac{1}{n}\right)\left(\frac{3}{n^{\prime 4}}-\frac{7}{n^{\prime 3} n}+\frac{11}{n^{\prime 2} n^{2}}-\frac{7}{n^{\prime} n^{3}}+\frac{3}{n^{4}}\right), \\
& c_{222}=-\frac{3 n^{2}}{r^{4}}\left(\frac{1}{n^{\prime}}-\frac{1}{n}\right)^{2} \frac{1}{n^{\prime} n}, \\
& d_{111}=-\frac{3 n^{6}}{r^{6}}\left(\frac{1}{n^{\prime}}-\frac{1}{n}\right)^{2}\left(\frac{1}{n^{\prime 4}}+\frac{2}{n^{\prime 3} n}+\frac{2}{n^{\prime 2} n^{2}}+\frac{2}{n^{\prime} n^{3}}+\frac{1}{n^{4}}\right), \\
& d_{112}=-\frac{n^{4}}{r^{5}}\left(\frac{1}{n^{\prime}}-\frac{1}{n}\right)\left(\frac{1}{n^{\prime 2}}+\frac{1}{n^{\prime} n}+\frac{11}{n^{2}}\right) \frac{1}{n^{\prime} n}, \\
& d_{122}=\frac{n^{2}}{r^{4}}\left(\frac{1}{n^{\prime}}-\frac{1}{n}\right)^{2}\left(\frac{1}{n^{\prime 2}}+\frac{2}{n^{\prime} n}+\frac{1}{n^{2}}\right), \\
& d_{222}=\frac{3}{r^{3}}\left(\frac{1}{n^{\prime}}-\frac{1}{n}\right) \frac{1}{n^{\prime} n} .
\end{align*}
$$

## THE IMPEDANCE OF A TRANSVERSE WIRE IN A RECTANGULAR WAVE GUIDE*

BY<br>S. A. SCHELKUNOFF<br>Bell Telephone Laboratories

The purpose of this paper is to derive approximate formulae for the impedance of a transverse wire carrying uniform current (Fig. 1).

The total impedance $Z$ to the current through the wire may be defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z=\frac{V}{I}, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $V$ is the applied voltage and $I$ is the electric current in the wire. The total electromotive force $V$ is the sum ${ }^{1}$ of the internal electromotive force $V_{i}$ and the external electromotive force $V_{\text {o }}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
V=V_{i}+V_{e} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Correspondingly, we have an internal impedance $Z_{i}$ of the wire and the external impedance $Z_{0}$. By (1) these two impedances are in series with each other

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z=Z_{i}+Z_{e} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the guide is infinitely long on both sides of the wire, the external impedance (above the absolute cut-off frequency) is complex

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{e}=R_{e}+i X_{e} . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The resistance term represents radiation of energy into the guide. If the frequency is within the principal frequency range and if $K$ is the characteristic impedance of the guide to the dominant wave, as seen from the wire, ${ }^{2}$ then evidently

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{\varepsilon}=\frac{1}{2} K . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall now calculate the impedance of the wire on the assumption that its radius is small. The current in the wire will generate transverse electric waves in which the field is independent of the $y$-coordinate. The general form of the field (for $z>0$ ) is

[^25]\[

$$
\begin{align*}
& H_{x}(x, z)=\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} H_{l} \sin \frac{l \pi x}{a} e^{-\Gamma_{l} z}  \tag{6}\\
& E_{y}(x, z)=-\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} K_{l} H_{l} \sin \frac{l \pi x}{a} e^{-\Gamma_{l} z}
\end{align*}
$$
\]

where $\Gamma_{l}$ and $K_{l}$ are respectively the propagation constant and the specific impedance of a typical $T E_{l, 0}$-wave

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{l}=\sqrt{\frac{l^{2} \pi^{2}}{a^{2}}-\frac{4 \pi^{2}}{\lambda^{2}}}, \quad K_{l}=\frac{i \omega \mu}{\Gamma_{l}} . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The propagation constant of the dominant $T E_{1,0}$-wave is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{1}=\sqrt{\frac{\pi^{2}}{a^{2}}-\frac{4 \pi^{2}}{\lambda^{2}}}=\frac{2 \pi i}{\lambda} \sqrt{1-\frac{\lambda^{2}}{4 a^{2}}} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The dominant wavelength range extends from $\lambda_{1}=2 a$ to $\lambda_{2}=a, \lambda_{2}$ being the cut-off wavelength of $T E_{2,0}$-wave. If $a<\lambda<2 a$, all the propagation constants of secondary waves are real

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{l}=\frac{l \pi}{a} \sqrt{1-\frac{4 a^{2}}{l^{2} \lambda^{2}}}, \quad l>1 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the specific impedances we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& K_{1}=\eta\left(1-\frac{\lambda^{2}}{4 a^{2}}\right)^{1 / 2}, \quad \eta=\sqrt{\frac{\mu}{\epsilon}} \\
& K_{l}=\frac{i \omega \mu a}{l \pi}\left(1-\frac{4 a^{2}}{l^{2} \lambda^{2}}\right)^{-1 / 2}=i \eta \frac{2 a}{l \lambda}\left(1-\frac{4 a^{2}}{l^{2} \lambda^{2}}\right)^{-1 / 2} \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

The external electromotive force $V_{\text {e }}$ necessary to support current $I$ through a thin wire of radius $r$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{e}=-b E_{\nu}(d, r), \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d$ is the distance shown in Fig. 1. This equation presupposes that the
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current is distributed uniformly around the wire. As the radius of the wire increases, the current distribution gradually begins to depart from uniformity. From (1), (6), and (11), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{e}=\frac{V_{e}}{I}=\frac{b}{I} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} K_{l} H_{l} \sin \frac{l \pi d}{a} e^{-\Gamma_{l} r_{0}} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The next step is to calculate the coefficients $H_{l}$. We shall assume that the wire is so thin that the field outside the wire could be regarded as nearly equal to that of an infinitely thin electric current filament along the axis of the wire. For an infinitely thin filament, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{l}=\lim \frac{2}{a} \int_{d}^{d i 2 r} \frac{I}{4 r} \sin \frac{l \pi x}{a} d x \text { as } r \rightarrow 0 \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating and passing to the limit, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{l}=\frac{I}{a} \sin \frac{l \pi d}{a} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (14) in (12), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{e}=\frac{b}{a} \sum_{l=1}^{\infty} K_{l} \sin ^{2} \frac{l \pi d}{a} e^{-\Gamma_{l r} ;} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, therefore,

$$
\begin{align*}
R_{e} & =\frac{b}{a} K_{1} \sin ^{2} \frac{\pi d}{a} \\
K & =2 R_{e}=\frac{2 b}{a} K_{1} \sin ^{2} \frac{\pi d}{a}  \tag{16}\\
i X_{e} & =\frac{b}{a} \sum_{l=2}^{\infty} K_{l} \sin ^{2} \frac{l \pi d}{a} e^{-\Gamma_{l} r_{.}}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting from (10), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& K=\eta \frac{2 b}{a} \sin ^{2} \frac{\pi d}{a}\left(1-\frac{\lambda^{2}}{4 a^{2}}\right)^{-1 / 2} \\
& X_{0}=\eta \frac{2 b}{\lambda} \sum_{l=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{l}\left(1-\frac{4 a^{2}}{l^{2} \lambda^{2}}\right)^{-1 / 2} \sin ^{2} \frac{l \pi d}{a} e^{-\Gamma_{l} r} \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, the ratio of the external reactance of the wire to the characteristic impedance of the guide (as seen from the wire) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{X_{e}}{K}=\frac{a}{\lambda} \sqrt{1-\frac{\lambda^{2}}{4 a^{2}}} \csc ^{2} \frac{\pi d}{a} \sum_{l=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{l}\left(1-\frac{4 a^{2}}{l^{2} \lambda^{2}}\right)^{-1 / 2} \sin ^{2} \frac{l \pi d}{a} e^{-\mathrm{r}_{l} r} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is evident that the total inductance of the wire is a series combination of inductances associated with the individual secondary $T E$ waves, generated by the current in the wire.

For the internal impedance of the wire, we have ${ }^{3}$

$$
\begin{align*}
Z_{i} & =\eta_{i} \frac{b I_{0}\left(\sigma_{i} r\right)}{2 \pi r I_{1}\left(\sigma_{i} r\right)} \\
\sigma_{i} & =\sqrt{i \omega \mu_{i}\left(g_{i}+i \omega \epsilon_{i}\right)}, \quad \eta_{i}=\sqrt{\frac{i \omega \mu_{i}}{g_{i}+i \omega \epsilon_{i}}} \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

This is a general expression applicable to dielectric wires as well as to metal wires. In the case of metal wires, we let $\epsilon_{i}=0$. Usually, the radii of metal wires will be sufficiently large to make the modified Bessel functions in (19) nearly equal so that approximately

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{i}=\frac{b \eta_{i}}{2 \pi r}=\frac{b}{2 \pi r} \sqrt{\frac{i \omega \mu_{i}}{g_{i}}}=\frac{b}{2 \pi r} \sqrt{\frac{\pi f \mu_{i}}{g_{i}}}(1+i) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $r / a$ is small, the series (18) converges slowly. The difficulty may be obviated with the aid of the following device. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=\sum_{l} u_{l} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

be a slowly converging series; let

$$
\begin{equation*}
v=\sum_{l} v_{l} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

be a scries of terms approximating (21) in such a way that the approximation becomes increasingly better as $l$ increases; then

$$
\begin{equation*}
u=\sum_{l} v_{l}+\sum_{l}\left(u_{l}-v_{l}\right) \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that (21) can be regarded as the sum of two series, of which the second is more rapidly convergent than the original series. If now the sum of the first series in (23) happens to be known, we have succeeded in replacing the original slowly convergent by a more rapidly convergent series.

We shall apply this device to (18). First we rewrite (18) in the following form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{X_{e}}{K}=\frac{1}{4} \sqrt{\frac{4 a^{2}}{\lambda^{2}}-1} \csc ^{2} \frac{\pi d}{a} \sum_{l=2}^{\infty} \frac{1-\cos \frac{2 l \pi d}{a}}{l \sqrt{1-\frac{4 a^{2}}{l^{2} \lambda^{2}}}} e^{-\Gamma_{l r}} \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^26]then we note that as $l$ tends to infinity, $\Gamma_{l}$ tends to $l \pi / a$ and $\sqrt{1-4 a^{2} / l^{2} \lambda^{2}}$ tends to unity. Hence, a typical term of the $v$-series will be $(1 / l)[1-$ $\cos (2 l \pi d / a)] e^{-l \pi r / a}$, and (24) may be expressed as
\[

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{X_{\theta}}{K}=\frac{1}{4} \sqrt{\frac{4 a^{2}}{\lambda^{2}}-1} \csc ^{2} \frac{\pi d}{a}\left[\sum_{l=2}^{\infty} \frac{1-\cos \frac{2 l \pi d}{a}}{l} e^{-l \pi r / a}+T\right]  \tag{25}\\
& T=\sum_{l=2}^{\infty} \frac{1-\cos \frac{2 l \pi d}{a}}{l}\left(\frac{e^{-\Gamma_{l} r}}{\sqrt{1-\frac{4 a^{2}}{l^{2} \lambda^{2}}}}-e^{-l \pi r / a}\right)
\end{align*}
$$
\]

It is known that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{l} e^{-l p} \cos l q=-\frac{1}{2} \log \left(1-2 e^{-p} \cos q+e^{-2 p}\right) \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

therefore,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{X_{e}}{K}=\frac{1}{4} \sqrt{\frac{4 a^{2}}{\lambda^{2}}-1} \csc ^{2} \frac{\pi d}{a}\left[\frac{1}{2} \log \left(1-2 e^{-\pi r / a} \cos \frac{2 \pi d}{a}+e^{-2 \pi r / a}\right)\right. \\
\left.-\log \left(1-e^{-\pi r / a}\right)-e^{-\pi r / a}\left(1-\cos \frac{2 \pi d}{a}\right)+T\right] \tag{27}
\end{gather*}
$$

This can be transformed into

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{X_{e}}{K}= & \frac{1}{4} \sqrt{\frac{4 a^{2}}{\lambda^{2}}-1} \csc ^{2} \frac{\pi d}{a}\left[\frac{1}{2} \log \left(\cosh \frac{\pi r}{a}-\cos \frac{2 \pi d}{a}\right)\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{1}{2} \log 2-\log \sinh \frac{\pi r}{2 a}-e^{-\pi r / a}\left(1-\cos \frac{2 \pi d}{a}\right)+T\right] \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

An entirely different expression for $Z_{0}$ can be obtained by the image method. Assuming again that $r$ is sufficiently small and that the wire is not too close to the boundaries of the guide and that consequently there is no "proximity effect," we can immediately obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
Z_{e}= & \frac{1}{4} \eta \beta b\left[H_{0}^{2}(\beta r)+2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} H_{0}^{2}(2 n \beta a)-\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} H_{0}^{2}(2 n \beta a+2 \beta d)\right. \\
& \left.-\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} H_{0}^{2}(2 n \beta a+2 \beta a-2 \beta d)\right] . \tag{29}
\end{align*}
$$

This is a slowly converging series and is useless for direct numerical computations; on the other hand, it may be useful for other purposes. Thus the
difference between the external impedances of two wires of different radii is obtained in the following simple form

$$
\begin{align*}
Z_{\ell}\left(r_{2}\right)-Z_{\ell}\left(r_{1}\right) & =\frac{1}{8} \eta \beta b\left[H_{0}^{2}\left(\beta r_{2}\right)-H_{0}^{2}\left(\beta r_{1}\right)\right]  \tag{30}\\
& =\frac{1}{4} \eta \beta b\left[J_{0}\left(\beta r_{2}\right)-J_{0}\left(\beta r_{1}\right)\right]+\frac{1}{4} \eta \beta b i\left[N_{0}\left(\beta r_{1}\right)-N_{0}\left(\beta r_{2}\right)\right]
\end{align*}
$$

The first term represents the effect ${ }^{4}$ of the radius of the wire on the impedance of the guide as seen by the wire. The second term represents the difference between the external reactances of two wires

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{e}\left(r_{2}\right)-X_{e}\left(r_{1}\right)=\frac{1}{4} \eta \beta b\left[N_{0}\left(\beta r_{1}\right)-N_{0}\left(\beta r_{2}\right)\right] . \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

This equation can be used for numerical calculations in conjunction with (28). The slowly converging part of (29) is the mutual impedance between the wire and the wave guide.

An expression for the mutual impedance between two parallel wires in the wave guide can also be obtained by the image method. Thus we have $\left(d_{2}>d_{1}\right)$

$$
\begin{align*}
Z_{12}= & \frac{1}{4} \eta \beta b\left[H_{0}^{2}\left(\beta d_{2}-\beta d_{1}\right)+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} H_{0}^{2}\left(2 n \beta a+\beta d_{2}-\beta d_{1}\right)\right. \\
& +\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} H_{0}^{2}\left(2 n \beta a+\beta d_{1}-\beta d_{2}\right)-\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} H_{0}^{2}\left(2 n \beta a+\beta d_{1}+\beta d_{2}\right) \\
& \left.-\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} H_{0}^{2}\left(2 n \beta a+2 \beta a-\beta d_{1}-\beta d_{2}\right)\right] . \tag{32}
\end{align*}
$$

Next we shall deal briefly with the external impedance of a "split" wire (Fig. 2). Let the electromotive intensity at the surface of the wire and the current in the wire be

$$
\begin{align*}
E_{v} & =-\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} E_{m} \cos \frac{m \pi y}{b}  \tag{33}\\
I & =\sum_{m=0}^{\infty} I_{m} \cos \frac{m \pi y}{b}
\end{align*}
$$

The complex flow of power is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi^{*}=\frac{1}{2} \bar{Y}_{e} V_{e} V_{e}^{*}=\frac{1}{2} b\left[E_{0}^{*} I_{0}+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} E_{m}^{*} I_{m}\right] \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bar{Y}_{\text {e }}$ is the external admittance of the split wire and $V$. the voltage across this admittance. Since

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{\theta}=b E_{0} \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Which was entirely ignored in the derivation of (28).
we obtain from (34)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{Y}_{e}=\frac{I_{0}}{V_{e}}+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} Y_{m} \frac{E_{m} E_{m}^{*}}{E_{0} E_{0}^{*}} \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{m}=\frac{I_{m}}{b E_{m}} \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

The first term in (36) is the external admittance corresponding to a uniform current filament (Fig. 1) and, hence, is equal to the reciprocal of either (15) or (29). The second term is the capacitative admittance (assuming that $\lambda>2 b$ ) between the external surfaces of the two portions of the transverse wire. The impedance diagram is shown in Fig. 3 where the parallel lines
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Fig. 4
represent the wave guide, the inductive reactance $X_{6}^{\prime}$ is the reactive part of (29), the capacitative reactance $X_{6}^{\prime \prime}$ is the reciprocal of the second term in (36) and $X_{i}$ is the reactance looking inward from the surface of the gap in the wire. In the case illustrated by Fig. 4 the internal reactance is approximately

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{i}=\frac{s}{i \omega \in \pi r^{2}} \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r$ is the radius of the wire and $s$ is the length of the gap.
The internal reactance of two hollow cylinders as well as the quantity $X_{!}^{\prime \prime}$ will be discussed in a separate paper. Here we shall merely derive general formulae and show that roughly $X_{e}^{\prime \prime}$ is equal to the external capacitative reactance between two sections of a split transverse wire placed across infinite parallel planes.

Each component $I_{m} \cos (m \pi y / b)$ of the total current $I$ in (33) originates a radial wave of the following type

$$
\begin{align*}
& H_{\phi}(\rho)=\frac{I_{m} K_{1}\left(\gamma_{m} \rho\right)}{2 \pi r K_{1}\left(\gamma_{m} r\right)} \cos \frac{m \pi y}{b}  \tag{39}\\
& E_{y}(\rho)=-\frac{\eta \gamma_{m} I_{m} K_{0}\left(\gamma_{m} \rho\right)}{2 i \beta r K_{1}\left(\gamma_{m} r\right)} \cos \frac{m \pi y}{b}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\rho$ is the distance from the axis of the current and $\gamma_{m}$ is the radial propagation constant of the $m$ th cylindrical wave

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{m}=\sqrt{\frac{m^{2} \pi^{2}}{b^{2}}-\frac{4 \pi^{2}}{\lambda^{2}}}=\frac{m \pi}{b} \sqrt{1-\frac{4 b^{2}}{m^{2} \lambda^{2}}} . \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\lambda>2 b$, all the radial propagation constants of order $m$ higher than zero are real. This explains why even the nearest image will have but little effect on the admittance $Y_{m}$ except when the wire is quite close to the walls of the wave guide, or when $\lambda$ is nearly equal to $2 b$. Even when the wire is close to the walls of the guide only the nearest image will have an appreciable effect on $Y_{m}$ unless $\lambda$ is nearly equal to $2 b$.

The complete expression for the impedance $Z_{m}=1 / Y_{m}$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
Z_{m}= & \frac{\eta \gamma_{m} b}{2 \pi i \beta r K_{1}\left(\gamma_{m} r\right)}\left[K_{0}\left(\gamma_{m} r\right)+2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} K_{0}\left(2 n \gamma_{m} a\right)\right. \\
& \left.-\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} K_{0}\left(2 n \gamma_{m} a+2 \gamma_{m} d\right)-\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} K_{0}\left(2 n \gamma_{m} a+2 \gamma_{m} a+2 \gamma_{m} d\right)\right] \tag{41}
\end{align*}
$$

Equation (29) corresponding to the principal cylindrical wave ( $m=0$ ), is of course, a special case of (41). The propagation constant $\Gamma_{0}$ of the principal wave, however, is pure imaginary and, hence, distant images have a pronounced effect on $Z_{\text {e }}$.

## NOTES

## ON A. C. AITKEN'S METHOD OF INTERPOLATION*

By WILLY FELLER (Brown University)

1. A. C. Aitken ${ }^{1}$ has recently devised a method of practical interpolation which is particularly well adapted for computing machines; neither differences nor tables of interpolation coefficients are used, and the necessary operations are most easily performed on modern computing machines. Moreover, the degree of the interpolating polynomial decreases by two at each stage, which minimizes the amount of necessary work. Recent experience has again confirmed that the method is extremely convenient and timesaving. It would nevertheless seem that the method is not sufficiently known, and we propose therefore to give a brief outline. Our proofs seem simpler than the two proofs given by Aitken, ${ }^{1}$ or that given by Lidstone. ${ }^{3}$ At the same time we shall be led to a procedure which works for an odd number of data as well as for an even number (originally the method appeared to work for an even number only and special computational devices were used to reduce an odd number of data). For most practical arrangements of computations we have to refer to Aitken ${ }^{1,2}$ and Lidstone. ${ }^{3}$
2. Linear cross-means. The full power of the method appears only with the use of quadratic cross-means, but these are in turn based on linear crossmeans. Moreover, with completely unsymmetrical data only linear crossmeans can be used.

Let it be required to compute the value $f(\xi)$ of a polynomial of $n$th degree, $f(x)$, given $f_{k}=f\left(x_{k}\right)$ for $k=0, \cdots, n$. We note that

$$
f^{(1)}(x)=\left|\begin{array}{ll}
f_{0} & x_{0}-\xi  \tag{1}\\
f(x) & x-\xi
\end{array}\right| \div\left(x-x_{0}\right)
$$

is a polynomial of degree $n-1$, and that $f^{(1)}(\xi)=f(\xi)$. Hence we are required to find $f^{(1)}(\xi)$ knowing

$$
f_{k}^{(1)}=\left|\begin{array}{ll}
f_{0} & x_{0}-\xi  \tag{2}\\
f_{k} & x_{k}-\xi
\end{array}\right| \div\left(x_{k}-x_{0}\right)
$$

for $k=1, \cdots, n$. Thus the problem has been reduced from $n$ to $n-1$. In like manner the problem is further reduced to $n-2$ and so on.

All the computer has to do is to write in a column the "parts" $x_{k}-\xi$, and

[^27]in an adjacent column the corresponding values $f_{k}$. Using (2), new columns are successively added to the right, the number of rows decreasing by one each time. The "parts" remain obviously the same throughout the computation. The determinant in (2) is easily computed, and the result appears in the main dials ready for division without clearing. Moreover, on most machines, the divisor $x_{k}-x_{0}=\left(x_{k}-\xi\right)-\left(x_{0}-\xi\right)$ will automatically appear on the secondary dials (provided the main keyboard has been used for the factors $f_{k}$ ). Actually in most cases the divisor $x_{k}-x_{0}$ will be a small integer. It should also be noted that as the computation proceeds the entries will tend to agree in an ever increasing number of their more important digits. These, of course, will not be copied down; this reduction of digits of $f_{k}$ makes it in turn possible to drop some last digits of the "parts."
3. Quadratic cross-means. For these it is necessary that the given data be placed symmetrically with respect to some point $x=a$. Denote, then, two symmetrically placed points by $x_{k}$ and $x_{-k}\left(k=1, \cdots, m ; x_{k}-a=a-x_{-k}\right)$. The point $x_{0}=a$ is included among the data only if $n=2 m$. Consider
and
\[

\phi(x)=\left|$$
\begin{array}{cc}
f(2 a-x) & 2 a-x-\xi  \tag{3}\\
f(x) & x-\xi
\end{array}
$$\right| \div 2(x-a)
\]

$$
\psi(x)=\left|\begin{array}{cc}
-f(2 a-x) & 2 a-x-\xi  \tag{4}\\
f(x) & x-\xi
\end{array}\right| \div 2(\xi-a)
$$

Obviously $\phi(x)$ and $\psi(x)$ are even functions of $x-a$, and hence polynomials in $t=(x-a)^{2}$. Moreover, $\phi(\xi)=\psi(\xi)=f(\xi)$.
(a) If $n=2 m-1$, the problem is reduced to finding the value for $t=(\xi-a)^{2}$ of the polynomial of $(m-1)$ th degree $\phi(a+\sqrt{t})$ given its values

$$
\phi_{k}=\left|\begin{array}{cc}
f_{-k} & x_{-k}-\xi  \tag{5}\\
f_{k} & x_{k}-\xi
\end{array}\right| \div\left(x_{k}-x_{-k}\right)
$$

for $t=\left(x_{k}-a\right)^{2}, k=1, \cdots, m$. Thus a simple application of (5) will reduce the number of data from $2 m$ to $m$. From here we proceed as before using linear cross-means. It should be noticed that the "parts" now to be used are $\left(x_{k}-a\right)^{2}-(\xi-a)^{2}=-\left(x_{k}-\xi\right)\left(x_{-k}-\xi\right)$, that is to say the product of the parts already used for (5). This invariant property dispenses of the necessity to label the panels. In most practical cases the new "parts" will differ only by integers or multiples of $1 / 2$.
(b) If $n=2 m$, we compute the values

$$
\psi_{k}=\left|\begin{array}{cc}
-f_{-k} & x_{-k}-\xi  \tag{6}\\
f_{k} & x_{k}-\xi
\end{array}\right| \div 2(\xi-a)
$$

for $t=\left(x_{k}-a\right)^{2}, k=0, \cdots, m$, and proceed as before. Since here the denominator is the same for all $k$ the division may be deferred to the final result. This is a slight simplication.

# A NEW DERIVATION OF MUNK'S FORMULAE* 

By W. C. RANDELS (University of Oklahoma)

Recently M. A. Biot ${ }^{2}$ has applied the method of the acceleration potential to some problems of two-dimensional airfoil theory. In this paper this method will be used in order to obtain a short proof of Munk's formulae ${ }^{3}$ for the lift and moment of a thin airfoil.

As usual in the theory of thin wing sections we replace the airfoil by its mean camber line supposed to deviate but little from the chord. Studying the plane irrotational flow of an incompressible fluid around this indefinitely thin airfoil, we take its chord as the $x$-axis of a system of rectangular coordinates $x, y$, ascribing to the leading and trailing edge the abscissae -1 and +1 respectively. Denoting by $V$ the velocity at infinity and by $\alpha$ the angle of attack, supposed to be small, we write the $x$ - and $y$-components of the velocity vector $\vec{w}$ as $V+u$ and $\alpha V+v$ respectively, where $u, v$ and $\alpha V$ will be small as compared with $V$. We denote the pressure by $p$ and the density by $\rho$. Then, by Bernoulli's equation

$$
\frac{\rho}{2} w^{2}+p=p_{0}=\text { const. }
$$

Neglecting quantities of the second order, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho\left(V^{2}+2 u V\right)=-2\left(p-p_{0}\right) . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The quantity $\Phi=-1 / \rho\left(p-p_{0}\right)$ is called the acceleration potential, since the acceleration equals grad $\Phi$.

It is known that $V+u-i(\alpha V+v)$ is an analytic function of $z=x+i y$. Since $V$ is a constant $\left(\frac{1}{2} V^{2}+u V\right)-i\left(\alpha V^{2}+v V\right)$ is also an analytic function of $z$. The functions $\Phi=\left(\frac{1}{2} V^{2}+u V\right), \Psi=-\left(\alpha V^{2}+v V\right)$ are thus seen to be conjugate harmonic functions.

Let the mean camber line of the airfoil be given by the equation $y=c(x)$, $(-1 \leqq x \leqq 1 ; c(1)=c(-1)=0)$. The condition that this be part of a stream line furnishes the condition

$$
\frac{\alpha V+v}{V+u}=c^{\prime}(x) \text { along } y=c(x), \quad(-1 \leqq x \leqq 1)
$$

[^28]Neglecting quantities which are small of a higher order than the first, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi=-V^{2} c^{\prime}(x) \text { along } y=c(x), \quad(-1 \leqq x \leqq 1) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $v$ vanishes at infinity we have

$$
\Psi(\infty)=-\alpha V^{2}
$$

As the mean camber line deviates but little from the segment $-1 \leqq x \leqq 1$ of the $x$-axis, we will not commit an appreciable error by fulfilling the condition (2) along this segment rather than along the mean camber line. We set

$$
\Psi=-\alpha V^{2}+\Psi_{1}+\Psi_{2}
$$

where

$$
\Psi_{1}=\alpha V^{2} \text { and } \Psi_{2}=-V^{2} c^{\prime}(x) \text { along }-1 \leqq x \leqq 1, \quad y=0
$$

and

$$
\Psi_{1}(\infty)=\Psi_{2}(\infty)=0 .
$$

$\Psi_{1}$ and the conjugate harmonic function $\Phi_{1}$ have been determined by Biot. From $\Phi_{1}$ the lift distribution due to the angle of attack can be obtained. In the following we shall set $\alpha=0$ and thus obtain the lift distribution due to the curvature of the mean camber line. Within the framework of our linear theory these two influences are additive.

In order to solve the boundary value problem for $\Psi_{2}$ we map the exterior of the segment of the real axis between $z=-1$ and $z=+1$ onto the exterior of the unit circle in the $\zeta$ plane by the conformal transformation

$$
z=1 / 2(\zeta+1 / \zeta)
$$

The line segment $(-1,1)$ then is transformed into the circumference of the unit circle and we have $x=\cos \theta$ (Fig. 1). Since a conformal transformation takes a harmonic function into a harmonic function, our problem becomes that of finding a harmonic function having the values $-V^{2} c^{\prime}(\cos \theta)$ on the unit circle. If we assume $\Psi$ to be regular on the boundary, the solution is given by the Poisson integral but the resulting function will not vanish at infinity unless

$$
a_{0}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} c^{\prime}(\cos \theta) d \theta=0
$$

Therefore, to satisfy the condition $\Psi(\infty)=0$ we introduce a singularity corresponding to a source-sink doublet at the leading edge. ${ }^{4}$ With the notations of Fig. 1, we obtain

[^29]$$
\Psi(r, \theta)=-2 a_{0} V^{2} \frac{\cos \theta_{1}}{r_{1}}-\frac{1}{2 \pi} V_{2} \int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left[c^{\prime}(\cos \tau)-a_{0}\right] \frac{r^{2}-1}{r^{2}+1-2 r \cos (\tau-\theta)} d \tau
$$

This function clearly vanishes at infinity and will satisfy the other boundary condition because

$$
\frac{\cos \theta_{1}}{r_{1}}=\frac{1}{7} \text { on the unit circle. }
$$



Fig. 1.

The Poisson integral used above is only legitimate if

$$
\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left|c^{\prime}(\cos \tau)\right| d \tau=2 \int_{-1}^{1} \frac{\left|c^{\prime}(x)\right|}{\left[1-x^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}} d x<\infty .
$$

This implies a condition on the rapidity with which $c(x)$ tends to zero as $x$ tends to $\pm 1$.

The values of the conjugate function $\Phi(r, \theta)$ on the boundary of the unit circle are given by the formula ${ }^{5}$

$$
\Phi(1, \theta)=-2 a_{0} V^{2} \frac{\sin \theta_{1}}{r_{1}}+\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{* 2 \pi} c^{\prime}(\cos \tau) \cot \frac{\tau-\theta}{2} d \tau
$$

where $\int^{*}$ denotes the Cauchy principal value. The total lift $L$ will be given by

[^30]\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
L= & \rho \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \Phi(1, \theta) \sin \theta d \theta \\
= & -2 a_{0} \rho V^{2} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{\sin \theta_{1}}{r_{1}} \sin \theta d \theta \\
& +\frac{V^{2}}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \sin \theta d 0 \int_{0}^{* 2 \pi} c^{\prime}(\cos \tau) \cot \frac{\tau-\theta}{2} d \tau
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

It is easy to calculate:

$$
\int_{0}^{2 x} \frac{\sin \theta_{1}}{r_{1}} \sin \theta d \theta=\pi
$$

The second integral is evaluated by making a formal interchange of the order of integration. This interchange can be easily justified. We then have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \sin \theta d \theta \int_{0}^{* 2 \pi} c^{\prime}(\cos \tau) \cot & \frac{\tau-\theta}{2} d \tau \\
& =\int_{0}^{2 \pi} c^{\prime}(\cos \tau) d \tau \frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{* 2 \pi} \sin \theta \cot \frac{\tau-\theta}{2} d \theta
\end{aligned}
$$

and since the function conjugate to $\sin \theta$ is $-\cos \theta$

$$
\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{* 2 \pi} \sin \theta \cot \frac{(\tau-\theta)}{2} d \theta=-\cos \tau
$$

Using this together with the definition of $a_{0}$ we obtain the lift

$$
\begin{aligned}
L & =-\rho V^{2}\left[\int_{0}^{2 \pi} c^{\prime}(\cos \tau) d \tau+\int_{0}^{2 \pi} c^{\prime}(\cos \tau) \cos \tau d \tau\right] \\
& =-2 \rho V^{2} \int_{-1}^{1} c^{\prime}(x) \frac{1+x}{\left[1-x^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}} d x \\
& =-2 \rho V^{2}\left\{\left.c(x) \frac{1+x}{\left[1-x^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}}\right|_{-1} ^{1}-\int_{-1}^{1} c(x) \frac{1+x}{\left[1-x^{2}\right]^{3 / 2}} d x\right. \\
& =2 \rho V^{2} \int_{-1}^{1} c(x) \frac{d x}{(1-x)\left[1-x^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We have assumed that $c(x)$ is such that $\lim _{x \rightarrow 1}\left(c(x)(1-x)^{-1 / 2}=0\right.$. The underlined expression is Munk's formula for the total lift, due to the curvature of the wing.

A similar procedure furnishes the moment $M$ of the lift. It is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
M= & \rho \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \Phi(1, \theta) \cos \theta \sin \theta d \theta \\
= & -2 \rho V^{2} a_{0} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{\sin \theta_{1}}{r_{1}} \cos \theta \sin \theta d \theta \\
& +\frac{\rho V^{2}}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \cos \theta \sin \theta d \theta \int_{0}^{* 2 \pi} c^{\prime}(\cos \tau) \cot \frac{\tau-\theta}{2} d \tau
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{\sin \theta_{1}}{r_{1}} \cos \theta \sin \theta d \theta=-\frac{\pi}{2}
$$

and

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \cos \theta \sin \theta d \theta \int_{0}^{* 2 \pi} c^{\prime}(\cos \tau) \cot \frac{\tau-\theta}{2} d \tau \\
=-\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} c^{\prime}(\cos \tau) \cos 2 \tau d \tau
\end{gathered}
$$

so that

$$
\begin{aligned}
M & =-\rho V^{2}\left[-\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} c^{\prime}(\cos \tau) d \tau+\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} c^{\prime}(\cos \tau) \cos 2 \tau d \tau\right. \\
& =-2 \rho V^{2} \int_{-1}^{1} c^{\prime}(x) \frac{x^{2}-1}{\left[1-x^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}} d x \\
& =2 \rho V^{2} \int_{-1}^{1} c(x) \frac{x d x}{\left[1-x^{2}\right]^{1 / 2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

which is Munk's formula for the moment, due to the curvature of the wing. ${ }^{6}$

[^31]
## CONCERNING THE ACCELERATION POTENTIAL*

By LIPMAN BERS $\dagger$ (Brown University)

The following lines aim at indicating the possibility of a more rigorous approach to Prandtl's method of the acceleration potential for two dimensional flow. ${ }^{1}$

We consider a steady incompressible potential flow past an airfoil of infinite span. We assume the profile, $P$, to be given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
z=x+i y=Z(s), \quad 0 \leqq s \leqq s_{T}, \tag{P}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the sense of increase of the arc length $s$ corresponds to the counterclockwise direction and the sharp trailing edge, $T$, is given by $T=Z(0)=Z\left(s_{T}\right)$. The position of the stagnation point, $S$, near the nose of the airfoil shall be given by $S=Z\left(s_{s}\right)$. We also set

$$
-\frac{d Z}{d s}=e^{i \beta(s)},
$$

$\beta(s)$ being a continuous function of $s$ and such that on the upper bank of the wing near $T,-\pi / 2 \leqq \beta \leqq \pi / 2$.

We denote by $u$ and $v$ the velocity components in the $x$ and $y$ directions respectively and assume that

$$
u=U>0, \quad v=0, \text { at infinity } .
$$

Then $u-i v$ is an analytic function of $z=x+i y$ and so is

$$
\Phi+i \Psi=\log (u-i v) .
$$

At $S$ the function $\Phi+i \Psi$ possesses a singularity. (There also is a singularity at $T$, unless the angle there is 0 .) $\Phi+i \Psi$ may be determined as a solution of the following boundary value problem:
A. To determine a one-valued analytic function $\Phi+i \Psi$ defined on the region exterior to $P$ and satisfying on $P$ the boundary condition

[^32]\[

\Psi=\left\{$$
\begin{array}{l}
-\beta(s) \text { for } 0 \leqq s \leqq s_{S}  \tag{1}\\
\pi-\beta(s) \text { for } s_{S} \leqq s \leqq s_{T}
\end{array}
$$\right.
\]

as well as the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi=\log U, \quad \Psi=0, \quad \text { at } \quad z=\infty \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (1) expresses that $P$ is a streamline of the flow and takes care of the Kutta-Joukowsky condition (no flow around $T$ ). The unknown position of $S$ is uniquely determined by (2). For instance, let $z=f(\zeta)$ map $|\zeta|>1$ conformally into the exterior of $P$, taking $\zeta=\infty$ into $z=\infty$ and $\zeta=1$ into $z=T$. Set $f\left(e^{i \theta}\right)=Z[\sigma(\theta)], S=f\left(e^{i r}\right)$. Then the condition (2) may be written in the form

$$
\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \Psi\{Z[\sigma(\theta)]\} d \theta=0
$$

In view of (1) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau=2 \pi-\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{0}^{2} \beta[\sigma(\theta)] d \theta \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

From $\Phi$ we can calculate the pressure $p$. In fact, we have by Bernoulli's equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
p+\frac{1}{2} \rho\left(u^{2}+v^{2}\right)=p_{\infty}+\frac{1}{2} \rho U^{2}=p_{0} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\rho$ is the density, $p_{\infty}$ the pressure at infinity and $p_{0}$ the stagnation pressure. Since $\Phi=\log |u-i v|$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
p=p_{0}-\frac{\rho}{2} e^{2 \phi} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the wing is infinitely thin, say given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=x, \quad y=Y(x), \quad-1 \leqq x \leqq 1, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

the boundary value problem A takes the form:
B. To determine a one-valued analytic function $\Phi+i \Psi$ defined on the region exterior to $P_{1}$ and satisfying on $P_{1}$ the boundary condition

$$
\Psi=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
-\arctan Y^{\prime}(x) \text { on the upper bank of } P_{1}, \\
-\arctan Y^{\prime}(x)-\pi \text { on the lower bank of } P_{1},-1 \leqq x \leqq x_{S} \\
-\arctan Y^{\prime}(x) \text { on the lower bank of } P_{1}, x_{S} \leqq x \leqq 1
\end{array}\right.
$$

( $x_{s}+i Y\left(x_{s}\right)$ being the stagnation point) as well as the condition (2).
If the wing is very slightly curved and very slightly inclined, the above rigorous but inconvenient treatment can be simplified as follows. The dis-
tance between the leading edge $L=-1+i Y(-1)$ and the stagnation point $S$ is small of second order as compared with the angle of attack. In fact, the general character of the flow around $P_{1}$ will be similar to that of a flow around a straight line, say $P_{2}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=-x \tan \alpha, \quad-\cos \alpha \leqq x \leqq \cos \alpha . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

By

$$
\begin{equation*}
z=\zeta+\frac{1}{4} e^{-2 i \alpha} \frac{1}{\zeta} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

the exterior of $P_{2}$ is mapped into $|\zeta|>\frac{1}{2}$ and $T$ is taken into $\frac{1}{2} e^{-i \alpha}$. $S$ is taken into $-\frac{1}{2} e^{-i \alpha}$ (this follows for instance from (3)). Therefore

$$
S=-\frac{1}{2}\left(e^{i \alpha}+e^{-3 i \alpha}\right)
$$

and, since in this case $L=-e^{-i \alpha}$, we have for small values of $\alpha$

$$
|L-S| \sim 2 \alpha^{2}
$$

Now, $\Phi+i \Psi$ possesses singularities at $L$ and at $S$. For small angles of attack we may assume that we will make a very slight error if we replace these two singularities by a single singularity situated at $L$. In order to determine the character of this singularity, we again consider the flow around $P_{2}$. The complex potential, say for $U=1$, is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
w=\zeta+\frac{1}{4 \zeta}+(i \sin \alpha) \log \zeta \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that, by (6) and (7),

$$
u-i v=\frac{d w}{d z}=\frac{d w}{d \zeta} / \frac{d z}{d \zeta}=\frac{\zeta+\frac{1}{2} e^{i \alpha}}{\zeta+\frac{1}{2} e^{-i \alpha}}
$$

and

$$
\Phi+i \Psi=\log \left(\zeta+\frac{1}{2} e^{i \alpha}\right)-\log \left(\zeta+\frac{1}{2} e^{-i \alpha}\right) .
$$

This is (in the $\zeta$-plane) the complex potential of a source at $-\frac{1}{2} e^{-i \alpha}$ and a sink at $-\frac{1}{2} e^{i \alpha}$. For small values of $\alpha$ we may approximate this source-sink system by a doublet with a vertical axis.

Thus we replace problem B by
C. To determine a one-valued analytic function $\Phi+i \Psi$ defined on the region exterior to $P_{1}$, satisfying on $P_{1}$ the boundary condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi=-\arctan Y^{\prime}(x) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

as well as the condition (2) and possessing at $L$ a singularity which assumes the
form of a potential of a doublet with a vertical axis when the exterior of $P_{1}$ is mapped conformally into that of a circle (by a transformation $f(z)$ with $f^{\prime}(\infty)>0$ ).

The actual solution of this problem is still difficult. Therefore we make use of the fact that $P_{1}$ is closely approximated by the slit

$$
\begin{equation*}
y=0, \quad-1 \leqq x \leqq 1, \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and replace the domain of definition of $\Phi+i \Psi$ by the exterior of $P_{3}$. Then we obtain the following boundary value problem:
D. To determine a one-valued analytic function $\Phi+i \Psi$ defined on the region exterior to $P_{3}$, satisfying on $P_{3}$ condition (8) as well as condition (2) and possessing at -1 a singularity which, in the $\zeta$-plane determined by

$$
z=\frac{1}{2}\left(\zeta+\frac{1}{\zeta}\right)
$$

assumes the form of a potential of a doublet with a vertical axis.
This problem can be easily solved. The presence of the singularity enables us to satisfy both conditions, (2) and (8).

It remains to show that the method described above is identical with the method of the acceleration potential, the latter usually being presented as based upon the assumption

$$
\Delta p=0 .
$$

By virtue of our hypotheses $p-p_{\infty}$ will be very small as compared to $p_{\infty}-p_{0}$ (except at the neighborhood of the leading edge), so that disregarding terms of higher than first order in $\left(p-p_{\infty}\right) /\left(p_{\infty}-p_{0}\right)$ we have

$$
\log \left(p_{0}-p\right)=\log \left(p_{0}-p_{\infty}\right)+\frac{p_{\infty}-p}{p_{0}-p_{\infty}}
$$

and, by (4) and (5),

$$
p=-\rho U^{2} \Phi+\text { const. }
$$

On the basis of the above considerations an estimation of the error (due to replacing the actual problem B first by C and then D ) seems to be both desirable and possible.
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