

Olga MACEDONSKA-NOSALSKA

ON CONVERGENT SEQUENCES IN $\text{Aut}(F)$

Abstract. For a natural definition of convergency and an infinite product in $\text{Aut}(F)$ the necessary and sufficient conditions for a sequence of automorphisms to have the limit and the product in $\text{Aut}(F)$ are given here.

Let F be the free group of countable rank on free generators $x_i, i \in I$, where I is the set of natural numbers. Let $\text{Aut}_f(F)$ be the subgroup of $\text{Aut}(F)$ generated by the elementary Nielsen transformations. By theorem 4.1 [1] the subgroup $\text{Aut}_f(F)$ is dense in $\text{Aut}(F)$ in the sense that if U_1, \dots, U_n are elements of F and $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(F)$ there exists $\beta \in \text{Aut}_f(F)$ such that $\alpha U_i = \beta U_i, i=1, 2, \dots, n$.

Definition 1

A sequence of automorphisms $\alpha_K, K \in I$ is called convergent to an endomorphism α if $\forall m \in I, \exists K_m \in I$ such that $\alpha_K x_i = \alpha x_i$ for $i \leq m$ whenever $K > K_m$. We shall write then $\alpha = \lim \alpha_K$. It does not depend obviously on choice of free generators.

From the above theorem it follows that $\forall \alpha \in \text{Aut}(F)$ there exists a sequence $\alpha_K, K \in I, \alpha_K \in \text{Aut}_f(F)$ such that $\alpha = \lim \alpha_K$. It is enough to define α_K to coincide with α on $x_i, i \leq K$. Let us note however that a limit of a convergent sequence of automorphisms is not necessarily an automorphism. Indeed, let $\alpha_K, K \in I$ be defined by $\alpha_K x_i = x_i x_{i+1}$ for $i \leq K$; $\alpha_K x_i = x_i$ for $i > K$. Then $\alpha_K \in \text{Aut}_f(F), K \in I, \lim \alpha_K = \alpha$, where $\alpha x_i = x_i x_{i+1}$ for all $i \in I$. Obviously α is not an epimorphism as in $\text{gp}\{ax_i, i \in I\}$ every element has an even x -length.

Definition 2

Sequence $\pi_K, K \in I, \pi_K \in \text{Aut}(F)$ is called a sequence of partial products for some sequence $\alpha_K, K \in I, \alpha_K \in \text{Aut}(F)$, if $\pi_1 = \alpha_1, \pi_K = \alpha_K \pi_{K-1}, K \geq 1$. (here $(\beta \alpha) x = \beta(\alpha x)$). If the sequence $\pi_K, K \in I$ is convergent then $\pi = \lim \pi_K$ is called a product of the sequence $\alpha_K, K \in I$.

We will give now an example of a (convergent to identity) sequence $\alpha_K, K \in I, \alpha_K \in \text{Aut}(F)$ that has no product. Let α_K be defined by $\alpha_K x_i = x_i x_{i+1}$, $\alpha_K x_i = x_i, i \neq K, i, K \in I$. Then $\pi_1 x_1 = \alpha_1 x_1 = x_1 x_2$. Suppose $\pi_{K-1} x_1 = x_1 x_2 \dots x_{K-1}$ then $\pi_K x_1 = \alpha_K \pi_{K-1} x_1 = \alpha_K x_1 x_2 \dots x_{K-1} = \alpha_K x_1 \alpha_K x_2 \dots \alpha_K x_{K-1} x_K = \alpha_K x_1 \alpha_K x_2 \dots \alpha_K x_{K-1} x_K$.

It means that for $m = 1$ in def 1 K_1 does not exist, hence the sequence $\tau_K, K \in I$ is not convergent.

For automorphisms $\alpha_K, \beta_K, \tau_K, \tau_K^{-1}$ we denote by $A_K(m), B_K(m), P_K(m), P_K^{-1}(m)$ respectively the sets of indexes $i \in I$ of generators x_i in a reduced form of words $\alpha_K^{x_m}, \beta_K^{x_m}, \tau_K^{x_m}, \tau_K^{-1} x_m$. For any $S \subseteq I$ we denote $A_K(S) = \bigcup_m A_K(m), m \in S$. Notice here that $\tau_K = \alpha_K \alpha_{K-1} \dots \alpha_1$ follows

$$P_K(m) \subseteq A_K(A_{K-1}(\dots(A_1(m))\dots)) \quad (1)$$

In the set $I \times I$ define a partial order by $(K, i) < (l, j)$ if and only if $(K < l)$ ($i < j$). Let $\alpha_K, K \in I$ and $\beta_K, K \in I$ be two convergent sequences of automorphisms, $\lim \alpha_K = \alpha, \lim \beta_K = \beta$. Denote $\gamma_{(K, i)} = \alpha_K \beta_i$.

Lemma 1

For every increasing sequence $J \subseteq I \times I$ the sequence $\gamma_{(K, i)}, (K, i) \in J$ is convergent and $\lim \gamma_{(K, i)} = \lim \alpha_K \lim \beta_i = \alpha \beta$.

For any $m \in I$ $\lim \beta_i = \beta$ implies the existence of i_m such that $\beta_i x_m = \beta x_m$ and hence $B_i(m) = B(m)$ whenever $i > i_m$. The set $B(m)$ is obviously finite then $\lim \alpha_K = \alpha$ implies the existence of $K(m)$ such that $\alpha_K x_j = \alpha x_j$ for all $j \in B(m)$ whenever $K > K(m)$. We will show now that $\gamma_{(K, i)} x_m = (\alpha \beta) x_m$ whenever $(K, i) > (K(m), i_m)$ which implies by def 1 our statement. Suppose $\beta x_m = W(x_j), j \in B(m)$, then for $K > K(m), i > i_m$ $\gamma_{(K, i)} x_m = (\alpha_K \beta_i) x_m = \alpha_K (\beta_i x_m) = \alpha_K (\beta x_m) = \alpha_K W(x_j) = W(\alpha_K x_j) = W(\alpha x_j) = \alpha W(x_j) = \alpha (\beta x_m) = (\alpha \beta) x_m$ which was required.

Lemma 2

The limit of a convergent sequence of automorphisms is a monomorphism.

Suppose $\lim \alpha_K = \alpha, \alpha_K \in \text{Aut}(F)$ and for some $v \in F, \alpha v = 1$. Denote by S the set of indexes of generators in the reduced form of v . Then $\lim \alpha_K = \alpha$ implies the existence of \bar{K} such that whenever $K > \bar{K}$ $\alpha_K x_i = \alpha x_i$ holds for all $i \in S$, which means $\alpha_K v = \alpha v = 1$ and hence $v = 1$.

Lemma 3

The limit of a convergent sequence $\alpha_K, K \in I, \alpha_K \in \text{Aut}(F)$ is an automorphism if and only if the sequence $\alpha_K^{-1}, K \in I$ is convergent. Moreover, it follows that $\lim \alpha_K^{-1} = \alpha^{-1}$.

Suppose that $\lim \alpha_K = \alpha, \lim \alpha_K^{-1} = \beta$, then by lemma 1 for $\beta_K = \alpha_K^{-1}, K \in I$ we obtain $\lim \gamma_{(K, K)} = \lim (\alpha_K \beta_K) = e = \lim \alpha_K \lim \beta_K = \alpha \beta$ where $\alpha_K \beta_K = \alpha_K \alpha_K^{-1} = e$ is an identical automorphism. In the same way we can state that $e = \beta \alpha$, hence $\alpha^{-1} = \beta = \lim \alpha_K^{-1}$ and $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(F)$. Conversely if $\lim \alpha_K = \alpha \in \text{Aut}(F)$ we will show that $\alpha^{-1} = \lim \alpha_K^{-1}$. Using lemma 1 for

$\beta_1 = \alpha^{-1}$, $i \in I$, $\lim(\alpha_K \alpha^{-1}) = \lim \alpha_K \cdot \lim \alpha^{-1} = \alpha \alpha^{-1} = e$. By def 1 it implies that $\forall m, \exists K_m$ such that whenever $K > K_m$, $(\alpha_K \alpha^{-1})x_m = x_m$. Now $\alpha^{-1}x_m = \alpha_K^{-1}(\alpha_K \alpha^{-1})x_m = \alpha_K^{-1}x_m$ whenever $K > K_m$ which means $\lim \alpha_K^{-1} = \alpha^{-1}$.

Corollary $\lim \alpha_K = e$ if and only if $\lim \alpha_K^{-1} = e$.

Lemma 4

The product π of a sequence α_K , $K \in I$, $\alpha_K \in \text{Aut}(F)$ if existent is an automorphism if and only if $\lim \alpha_K = e$.

Let $\lim \pi_K = \pi$ be an automorphism, then by lemma 3 $\lim \pi_K^{-1} = \pi^{-1}$. Since $\alpha_K = \pi_K \cdot \pi_{K-1}^{-1}$ it follows by lemma 1 that $\lim \alpha_K = \lim \pi_K \cdot \lim \pi_{K-1}^{-1} = \pi \cdot \pi^{-1} = e$ which was required. Conversely since $\lim \alpha_K = e$ it follows by previous corollary that $\lim \alpha_K^{-1} = e$ and by def 1 $\forall m \exists K_m$ that for $K > K_m$ $\alpha_K^{-1}x_m = x_m$ holds. Now for $K > K_m$ $\pi_K^{-1}x_m = (\pi_{K-1}^{-1} \alpha_K^{-1})x_m = \pi_{K-1}^{-1}(\alpha_K^{-1}x_m) = \pi_{K-1}^{-1}x_m$. It means that sequence π_K^{-1} , $K \in I$ is convergent, hence by lemma 3 π is an automorphism.

Notice here that the condition of $\lim \alpha_K = e$ is not sufficient for the existence of the product as it is shown in example following def 2.

Lemma 5

If $\lim \alpha_K = e$, $\alpha_K \in \text{Aut}(F)$ and there exists convergent subsequence $\pi_{K(i)}$, $i \in I$ in a sequence of partial products, then there exists $\pi = \lim \pi_{K(i)} = \lim \pi_K$.

Every π_K can be written in the form of $\pi_K = \alpha_K \cdot \dots \cdot \alpha_{K(i)+1} \pi_{K(i)}$ where $K(i+1) > K > K(i)$.

If we denote $\lim \pi_{K(i)} = \pi$ then by def 1 it implies that $\forall m \exists K_m(i)$ such that $\pi_{K(i)}x_m = \pi x_m$ whenever $K(i) > K_m(i)$ and hence $P_{K(i)}(m) = P(m)$ for $K(i) > K_m(i)$. Since $\lim \alpha_K = e$ and $P(m)$ is finite there exists by def 1 a \bar{K} such that $\alpha_K x_1 = x_1$ for all $i \in P(m)$ whenever $K > \bar{K}$. If we denote that $K_m = \max(K_m(i), \bar{K})$ then for all $K > K_m$ it follows that $\pi_K x_m = \alpha_K \cdot \dots \cdot \alpha_{K(i)+1} \pi_{K(i)} x_m = \alpha_K \cdot \dots \cdot \alpha_{K(i)+1} \pi x_m = \pi x_m$ which means that $\pi = \lim \pi_K$ is the product of the sequence α_K , $K \in I$.

To find the necessary and sufficient conditions for sequence α_K , $K \in I$ having product we need two more definitons.

For a given sequence α_K , $K \in I$ and $m \in I$ we denote $T_1(m) = A_1(m)$, $T_K(m) = A_K(\pi_{K-1}(m))$ and $T(m) = \bigcup_{K \in I} T_K(m)$.

Definition 3

Sequence α_K , $K \in I$ is called regular if $\forall m \in J T(m)$ is finite.

Lemma 6

Let sequence α_K , $K \in I$ be regular and $\lim \alpha_K = e$, it warrants existence of a product π for this sequence.

We have to show that the sequence π_K , $K \in I$ of partial products is convergent. Since $\pi_K = \alpha_K \alpha_{K-1} \dots \alpha_1$, we obtain from (1)

$$P_K(m) \subseteq T_K(m) \subseteq T(m) \quad (2)$$

We see now that $\pi_{K+1} x_m = (\alpha_{K+1} \pi_K) x_m = \alpha_{K+1} (\pi_K x_m)$ where by (2) $\pi_K x_m$ is a word in x_1 , is $T(m)$. Since $T(m)$ is finite and $\lim \alpha_K = e$ it follows that there exists a \bar{K} such that whenever $K > \bar{K}$ $\alpha_{K+1} x_1 = x_1$ holds for all $i \in T(m)$. Hence $\pi_{K+1} x_m = \pi_K x_m$ whenever $K > \bar{K}$ which means convergence of the sequence π_K , $K \in I$.

Notice that we did not need α_K , $K \in I$ to be automorphism in lemmas 5, 6. Notice as well that condition $\lim \alpha_K = e$ in lemma 6 cannot be weakened for the convergence of α_K , $K \in I$ only. Indeed consider automorphism α , where $\alpha x_1 = x_1 x_2$, $\alpha x_i = x_i$, $i \neq 1$. We will define sequence α_K , $K \in I$ by $\alpha_K = \alpha$, $K \in I$. This sequence is obviously convergent to α and is regular since $T(1) = \langle 1, 2 \rangle$. $T(m) = \langle m \rangle$ for $m \neq 1$. However $\pi_K x_1 = \alpha^K x_1 = x_1 x_2^K$ implies that sequence π_K , $K \in I$ is not convergent.

We will now state that the condition for sequence α_K , $K \in I$ to be regular is not a necessary one for existence of a product of this sequence. Let us define automorphism β_1 , $1 \in I$ by $\beta_1 x_1 = x_1 x_{1+1}$, $\beta_1 x_i = x_i$, $i \neq 1$. Let now $\alpha_1 = e$, $\alpha_K = \beta_1$ for $K = 2l$ and $\alpha_K = \beta_1^{-1}$ for $K = 2l + 1$.

Obviously $\lim \alpha_K = e$. Consider the sequence of partial products for α_K , $K \in I$. $\pi_K = \alpha_K = \beta_1$ for $K = 2l$ and $\pi_K = \alpha_K \pi_{K-1} = \beta_1^{-1} \beta_1 = e$ for $K = 2l + 1$. Naturally this sequence is convergent to e and hence α_K , $K \in I$ has a product e . Show however that the sequence α_K , $K \in I$ is not regular since e.g. $T(1)$ is infinite. Using induction shows that $T_{2l}(1) = \langle 1, 2, \dots, \dots, l+1 \rangle$. Indeed, for $l = 1$ $T_2(1) = A_2(A_1(1)) = B_1(1) = \langle 1, 2 \rangle$. Let $T_{2(l-1)}(1) = \langle 1, 2, \dots, l \rangle$ then $T_{2l}(1) = A_{2l}(A_{2l-1}(T_{2(l-1)}(1))) = A_{2l}(A_{2l-1}(\langle 1, 2, \dots, l \rangle)) = B_1(B_{l-1}^{-1}(\langle 1, 2, \dots, l \rangle)) = B_e(\langle 1, 2, \dots, l \rangle) = \langle 1, 2, \dots, l+1 \rangle$. hence our sequence α_K , $K \in I$ is not regular.

Definition 4

We say that sequence β_K , $K \in I$ is obtained from sequence α_K , $K \in I$ by blocking if for some increasing sequence of naturals $r(1) < r(2) < r(3) < \dots$ $\beta_1 = \alpha_{r(1)} \dots \alpha_2 \alpha_1$, $\beta_K = \alpha_{r(K)} \dots \alpha_{r(K-1)+1}$, $K > 1$, $K \in I$.

The sequence of partial products π_K , $K \in I$ for β_K , $K \in I$ is obviously a subsequence of that for α_K , $K \in I$ as $\pi_K = \pi_{r(K)}$, $K \in I$ and $\lim \pi_K = \pi$ follows $\lim \pi_K = \pi$.

Lemma 7

Suppose that sequence α_K , $K \in I$, $\alpha_K \in \text{Aut}(F)$ has a product $\pi \in \text{Aut}(F)$, then π is a product of a regular sequence β_K , $K \in I$, $\beta_K \in \text{Aut}(F)$ obtained by blocking α_K , $K \in I$.

To prove the statement we need to define sequence $r(1) < r(2) < \dots$ and to check the regularity of obtained $\beta_K, K \in I$. By def 1, $\lim \pi_K = \pi$ implies the existence of $K(1)$ such that for $K \geq K(1)$ $\pi_{K^{x_1}} = \pi_{x_1}$ and hence $P_K(1) = P(1)$. According to lemma 4 we have $\lim \alpha_K = e$ then by def 1 such a $\bar{K}(1)$ exists that for $K > \bar{K}(1)$ $\alpha_{K^{x_i}} = x_i$ for all $i \in P(1)$ and hence $A_K(i) = \langle i \rangle, i \in P(1)$. Let us denote $r(1) = \max(K(1), \bar{K}(1))$ then $\beta = \pi_{r(1)}, T_1(1) = B_1(1) = P_{r(1)}(1) = P(1)$. Moreover $A_K(i) = \langle i \rangle$ for $i \in T_1(1), K > r(1)$. Let $r(1) < r(2) < \dots < r(m)$ be defined so that for $s \leq m$ two conditions hold:

$$1^\circ T_m(s) = T_s(s)$$

$$2^\circ A_K(i) = \langle i \rangle \quad \text{for } i \in T_s(s), \quad K > r(s)$$

We can see that independently from the choice of $r(m+1)$ 1° holds for $s = m+1$. Indeed for $s = m+1$ it is trivial. For $s \leq m$ using $1^\circ, (1)$ and 2° $T_{m+1}(s) = B_{m+1}(T_m(s)) = B_{m+1}(T_s(s)) \subseteq A_{r(m+1)}(\dots(A_{r(m)+1}(T_s(s))\dots)) = T_s(s)$.

We have to define $r(m+1)$ to have 2° for $s = m+1$. If $r(m+1)$ is defined, then $\beta_{m+1} = \pi_{r(m+1)} \pi_{r(m)}^{-1}$ and by (1) $T_{m+1}(m+1) = B_{m+1}(T_m(m+1)) \subseteq P_{r(m+1)}(P_{r(m)}^{-1}(T_m(m+1)))$. The set $P_{r(m)}^{-1}(T_m(m+1)) = S$ is known and is finite. By def 1 $\lim \pi_K = \pi$ implies the existence of $K(m+1)$ such that if $r(m+1) \geq K(m+1)$ then $\pi_{r(m+1)^{x_s}} = \pi_{x_s}$ for all $s \in S$ hence $T_{m+1}(m+1) \subseteq P_{r(m+1)}(S) = P(S)$ for any $r(m+1) \geq K(m+1)$. Now by def 1 $\lim \alpha_K = e$ implies the existence of some $\bar{K}(m+1)$ such that for $K > \bar{K}(m+1)$ $\alpha_{K^{x_i}} = x_i$ for $i \in P(S)$. Let us define $r(m+1) = \max(K(m+1), \bar{K}(m+1), r(m)+1)$ the for $K > r(m+1)$ $A_K(i) = \langle i \rangle, i \in P(S) \supseteq T_{m+1}(m+1)$. We can conclude now that 1° holds for every $m \in I$ which imply that $T(s) = T_s(s), s \in I$ is finite, i. e. sequence $\beta_K, K \in I$ is regular.

Theorem

An automorphism π is a product of a sequence $\alpha_K, K \in I, \alpha_K \in \text{Aut}(F)$ if and only if $\lim \alpha_K = e$ and sequence $\alpha_K, K \in I$ can be blocked to a regular one with the product π .

If $\pi \in \text{Aut}(F)$ is a product of $\alpha_K, K \in I, \alpha_K \in \text{Aut}(F)$ then by lemma 4 $\lim \alpha_K = e$ and by lemma 7 sequence $\alpha_K, K \in I$ can be blocked to a regular sequence $\beta_K, K \in I$ with the product π .

Conversely, let $\lim \alpha_K = e$ and a regular sequence $\beta_K, K \in I$ obtained by blocking $\alpha_K, K \in I$ have the product π then by lemma 5 and 4 it follows that π is the product for $\alpha_K, K \in I$ and $\pi \in \text{Aut}(F)$.

REFERENCE

- [1] R.C. Lyndon, P.E. Schupp: Combinatorial Group Theory Springer - Verlag 1977.
Institute of Mathematics, Silesian Polytechnic, Gliwice.

O ZBIĘŻNYCH CIĄGACH AUTOMORFIZMÓW

S t r e s z c z e n i e

W grupie automorfizmów grupy wolnej F przeliczalnej rangi wprowadza się pojęcie zbieżności ciągu automorfizmów i pojęcie iloczynu nieskończonego. Dane są warunki konieczne i wystarczające dla istnienia granicy i iloczynu dowolnego ciągu automorfizmów.

О СХОДИМОСТИ ПОСЛЕДОВАТЕЛЬНОСТИ АВТОМОРФИЗМОВ

Р е з ю м е

В группе автоморфизмов свободной группы счетного ранга определяется сходимость последовательности автоморфизмов и их бесконечное произведение. Даются необходимые и достаточные условия для существования предела и произведения для последовательности автоморфизмов.