## ZESZYTY NAUKOWE POLITECHNIKI ŚLASKIEJ

Serie: MATEMATYKA-FIZYKA z. 48

Nr kol. 853

Olga MACEDONSKA

ANOTHER FORMULATION OF HANNA NEUMANN'S 5'th PROBLEM

Summary. In the paper an equivalence of the fifth problem of H. Neumann and a problem of an existance of a nonabelian variety with every abelian subgroup in the centre of its normalizer has been proved. Moreover a condition for positive solution of the problem has been found which says that it is sufficient to find a nonabelian variety with a transitive relation of normality.

The problem in the title is: "Does there exist a non-abelian variety without a non-abelian matabelian subvariety?". The article shows that an example of a non-abelian variety of t-groups (with the transitivity of the relation of normality) would give a positive solution of the problem. It is shown also that the problem is equivalent to: "Does there exist a non-abelian variety where every abelian subgroup belongs to the centre of its normalizer?".

A group G is called a t-group if for any given subgroups A, B, C of G, the relation  $A \lhd B \lhd C$  implies  $A \lhd C$ . Obviously all subgroups and homomorphic images of a t-group are t-groupa.

THEOREM 1. If a variety 27 consists of t-groups then all finite groups and all soluble groups in 77 are abelian.

**Proof.** According to ([4], Theorem 3.3.1) a finitely generated soluble t-group is finite or abelian. Thus we need to consider only the case of a finite group G in TL. Let  $|G| = p^S n$ , (p,n) = 1, with p prime. We shall denote by P a Sylow p-subgroup in G and by N its normalizer. Since P is a nilpotent t-group, it is abelian ([4], Corollary to Theorem 6.1.1). We take a direct product  $N \times N$  of two copies of N and denote by D the diagonal subgroup in P × P. Then, since  $N \times N \in TL$ ,  $D \lhd (P \times P) \lhd (N \times N)$ implies  $D \lhd (N \times N)$ , which is possible if and only if elements of P and N commute. Thus P belongs to the centre of its normaliser and by ([2]. Theorem 14.3.1) there exists a normal subgroup H in G, such that |H| = n,  $G/H \cong P$ . Since P is abelian  $G' \le H$ , end (|G'|, p) = 1 for every prime divisor of [G] which gives |G'| = 1 and G is abelian. Theorem is proved.

From the proof of the Theorem we can see that if a variety consists of t-groups, then avery abelian subgroup is in the centre of its normalizar. The autor does not know if a class of groups with this property which is closed with respect to taking subgroups and homomorphic images is a variety. THEOREM 2. The variety M consists of t-groups if and only if it satisfies the law:

$$[x,y] = u(x,y), \text{ where } u(x,y) \in [\langle x \rangle, \langle y \rangle], \langle x \rangle.$$
 (1)

<u>Proof</u>. We show first that G is a t-group if and only if for every two subgroups A, B of G

$$A^{B} = A^{A^{B}}.$$
 (2)

where  $A^B$  is a subgroup generated by all the conjugates  $b^{-1}ab$ ,  $a \in A$ ,  $b \in B$ . Let G be a t-group, then  $A^B \triangleleft A^B \triangleleft \langle A, B \rangle$  implies  $A^B \triangleleft \langle A, B \rangle$ . Since  $A^B$  is the smallest normal subgroup of  $\langle A, B \rangle$  containing A, we get  $A^B \subseteq A^A$  and hence (2) holds. Conversely, suppose  $A \triangleleft C \triangleleft B$  in G. Then  $A^B \triangleleft C$  and  $A \triangleleft A^B \triangleleft C$ . This implies  $A^A^B = A$  which together with (2) gives A B and hence, G is a t-group.

Since the commutator subgroup [A,B] is normal in  $\langle A,B \rangle$ , we can get with the use of commutator calculus  $A^B = A[A,B]$  and  $A^{A^B} = A[A^B,A] = A[[A,B],A]$ . Thus G is a t-group if and only if for every two subgroups A, B of G the relation

$$[A,B] \subseteq A \left[ [A,B],A \right]$$
(3)

holds. If now G is a relatively free t-group then it follows from (3) that G satisfies the law (1). Conversely, if G satisfies the law (1) then, for every two subgroups in G, the relation (3) holds, and G is a t-group which finishes the proof.

The simplest example of the law of the type (1) [x,y] = [x,y,y,...,y] is considered in [1]. It is shown that for y repeated n times for n = 2 or 3 the correspondent variety is abelian. For  $n \ge 4$  the problem is open.

Now by F we shall denote a free group of rank two with generators x, y. By V we denote a verbal subgroup of a variety  $\mathfrak{M}$  under consideration. By A and N(A) we denote an abelian subgroup of a group G in  $\mathfrak{M}$  and its normalizer in G respectively.

<u>THEOREM 3</u>. A variety  $\mathfrak{M}$  does not contain a non-abelian metabelian subvariety if and only if every abelian subgroup of any group in  $\mathfrak{M}$  belongs to the centre of its normalizer.

<u>Proof</u>. If  $\mathfrak{M}$  does not contain a non-abelian metabelian subvariety then  $F' \leq F''V$  and hence [x,y] = u(x,y), where  $u(x,y) \in [[\langle x \rangle, \langle y \rangle], [\langle x \rangle, \langle y \rangle]$ is a law in  $\mathfrak{M}$ . If now  $A \subseteq G \in \mathfrak{M}$ , then for a  $\in A$ ,  $n \in N(A)$ ,  $[a,n] \in [[A,N], [A,N]] \subseteq [A,A] = 1$ .

116

Conversely, let in a variety  $\mathfrak{M}$  every abelian subgroup belong to the centre of its normalizer. We take than  $G = \begin{bmatrix} f & f \\ xF & xF \end{bmatrix} v$ . Since the image  $x^F$  in G is an abelian normal subgroup, we get  $[x,y] \in [x^F, x^F]v = [\langle x > [\langle x > , \langle y \rangle], \langle x > [\langle x > , \langle y \rangle]] V = [\langle x > , \langle y > , \langle x \rangle] F' V$ . This means that the law (1) holds in every metabelian subgroup in  $\mathfrak{M}$  and hence, by Theorem 2 the subvariety of metabelian subgroups in  $\mathfrak{M}$  consists of t-groups, and by Theorem 1 it is abelian, which finishes the proof.

# REFERENCES

- Gupta N.: Some Group-Laws Equivalent to the Commutative Law. Arch. Math. Vol XVII, 1966, 97-102.
- [2] Hall M. Jr.: The theory of groups. The Macmillan Co. N.Y. 1959.
- [3] Neumann H.: Varieties of Groups. Springer-Verlag, 1967.
- [4] Robinson D.: Groups in which normality is atransitive relation. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. 1964, 60, 21-38.

## INNE SFORMULOWANIE 5-TEGO PROBLEMU HANNY NEUMANN

### Streszczenie

Wykazano, że pięty problem H. Neumann jest równowazny problemowi istnienia nieabelowej rozmaitości, gdzie każda podgrupa abelowa lazy w centrum swego normalizatora. Pokazano również, że dla pozytywnego rozwiązania problemu, wystarczy znależć nieabelową rozmaitośc z tranzytywnością pojęcia dzielnika normalnego.

# ДРУГАЯ ФОРМА 5-ТОЙ ПРОЕЛЕМЫ ХАННЫ НЕЛМАНН

#### Резюме

Показано, что пятая проблема Ханны Нейманн равносильна вопросу с судествовании неабелевого многообразия, где каждая абелева подгруппа лежит в тентре своего нормализатора. Показано также, что для положительного редения проблемы достаточно найти неабелево многообразие с транзитивностью понятия нормального делителя.

117