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## AMOTHER FORMULATION OF HANNA NEUMANN'S 5'th PROBLEM


#### Abstract

Gummery. In the paper an equivalence of the fifth problew of H. Neumann and a problem of an existance of a nonabelian variety with every abelian subgroup in the centre of its normelizer has been proved. Moreover a condition for positive salution of the problen hea been found which says that it is sufficient to find a nonabelian vertety with a trensitive relation of normality.


The problem in the title is: Does there exiat a non-abelian variety mithout a non-abalian matabelian subvariety? ${ }^{-}$. The article shows that an exampla of non-abelian variety of t-groups (with the transitivity of the relation of normality) would give a positive solution of the problea. It is shown also thee the problem is equivalent to: Does there exise a non-ebalian variety where every abalian subgroup belongs to the centre of its normalizer ${ }^{\prime \prime}$.

A group $G$ is called a t-group if for any given subgroups A, B, C of $G$. the reletion $A \triangleleft B \triangleleft C$ implies $A \triangleleft C$. Obviously all subgroups and homomorphic images of a t-group are t-grcupa.

THEOREM I. If variety $\mathcal{W}$ consists of t-groups then all finite groups and all soluble groups in at are abelian.

Proof. According to ([4]. Theorem 3.3.1) a finitely generated soluble t-group te finite or abelian. Thus we need to consider only the case of a fintite group $G$ in fre. Let $|G|=p^{s} n_{0}(p, n)=1$, with $p$ prime, We shall denate by $P$ a Sylow p-subgroup in $G$ and by $N$ its normalizer. Since $P$ is a nilpotent t-group, it is abelian ([4]. Corollary to theorem 6.2.1). We take a direct product $N \times N$ of two copies of $N$ and denote by $D$ the diagonsl subgroup in $P \times P$. Then, since $N \times N \in M, D<(P \times P)<(N: N)$ implies $D \Delta(N \times N)$, which is possible if and only if elements of $P$ and $N$ commute. Thus $P$ belongs to the centre of its normaliser and by ([2]. Theorem 14.3.1) there exists a normal subgroup $H$ in $G$, such that $\mid H_{\mid}^{\prime}=$. $G / H \cong P$. Since $P$ is abelian $G^{\prime} \leqslant H$. and ( $\left.\left|G^{\prime}\right|, P\right)=1$ for overy priae diviger of $|G|$ which gives $\left|G^{\prime}\right|=1$ and $G$ is sbelian. Theorem 18 proved.

From the proof of the Theorem we can see that if a variety consiats of t-groups, then every abelian subgroup is in the centre of ite normalizar. The autor does not know if a class of groups with this property which is closed with respect to taking subgroupe and homomorphic imeges is a key.

THEOREM 2. The variety $x$ consists of t-groups if and only if it satisfies the law:

$$
\begin{equation*}
[x, y]=u(x, y), \quad \text { where } u(x, y) \in[[\langle x\rangle,\langle y\rangle],\langle x\rangle] \text {. } \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We show first that $G$ is a t-group if and only if for every two subgroups $A, B$ of $G$

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{B}=A^{A^{B}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $A^{B}$ is a subgroup generated by all the conjugates $b^{-1} a b$, $A$. $b \in B$. Let $G$ be a t-group, then $A^{A^{B}}<A^{B}<\langle A, B\rangle$ implies $A^{A^{B}}\langle\langle A, B\rangle$. Since $A^{B}$ is the salallest normal subgroup of $\langle A, B\rangle$ containing $A$, we get $A^{B} \subseteq A^{A^{B}}$ and hence (2) holds. Conversely, suppose $A \Delta C \triangleleft B$ in G. Then $A^{B} \triangleleft C$ and $A \triangleleft A^{B} \triangleleft C$. This implies $A^{A^{B}}=A$ which together with (2) gives $A \quad B$ and hence, $G$ is a t-group.

Since the commutetor subgroup $[A, B]$ is normal in $\langle A, B\rangle$, we can get with the use of comatutator calculus $A^{B}=A[A, B]$ and $A^{A^{B}}=A\left[A^{B}, A\right]=$ $=A[[A, B], A]$. Thus $G$ is a t-group if and only if for every two subgroupe $A, B$ of $G$ the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
[A, B] \subseteq A[[A, B], A] \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds. If now $G$ is a relatively free t-group then it follows from (3) that $G$ satisfies the law (1). Conversely, if $G$ satisfies the law (1) then, for every two subgroups in $G$, the relation ( 3 ) holds, and $G$ is a t-group which finishes the proof.

The simplest example of the law of the type (1) $[x, y]=[x, y, y, \ldots, y]$ is considered in [1]. It is shown that for $y$ repeated $n$ times for $n=$ $=2$ or 3 the correspondent variety is abelian. For $n \geqslant 4$ the problem is open.

Now by $F$ we shall denote a free group of rank two with generators $x$, $y$. By $V$ we denote a verbal subgroup of a variety $\mathcal{J}$ under consideration. By $A$ and $N(A)$ we denote an abslian subgroup of a group $G$ in $\mathcal{M}$ and its normalizer in G respectively.

THEOREM 3. A variety fri does not contain a non-abelian metabelian oubvariety if and only if every abellan subgroup of any group in jri belongs to the centre of its normalizer.

Proof. If $\gamma$ does not contain a non-abelian metabelian subvariety then $F^{\prime} \leqslant \overline{F^{\prime \prime} V}$ and hence $[x, y]=u(x, y)$, where $u(x, y) \in[[\langle x\rangle,\langle y\rangle],[\langle x\rangle,\langle y\rangle]$ is a law in $\mathcal{M}$. If now $A \subseteq G \in$ gr, then for a $\in A, n \in N(A),[a, n] \in[[A, N]$, $[A, N]] \subseteq[A, A]=1$.

Conversely，let in a variety $2 \mathbb{M}$ every abelian subgroup belong to the centre of its normalizer．He take than $G=F /\left[F^{F}, x^{F}\right] v$ ．Since the iaage $x^{F}$ in $G$ is an abelian normal subgroup，we get $[x, y] \in\left[x^{F}, x^{F}\right] v=$ ＝$\left[\langle x\rangle[\langle x\rangle,\langle y\rangle],\langle x\rangle[\langle x\rangle,\langle y\rangle] \quad V=[\langle x\rangle,\langle y\rangle,\langle x\rangle] F^{\prime \prime}\right.$ V．This means that the Law（1）holds in every metabelian subgroup in $\gamma \underset{\chi}{ }$ and hence，by Theorem 2 the subvariety of metabelian subgroups in $\gamma \boldsymbol{\gamma}$ consists of $t-g r o u p s$ ，and by Theorem 1 it is abelian，which finishes the proof．
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INNE SFORMULONANIE 5－TEGO PROBLEMU HANNY NEUMAANA

Stresszczenie
Wykazeno，ze piaty problem H．Neunann jest rownowazny froblenow：ist－ nienia nieabelowej rozmaitosci，gdzie kazda podgrupa abelcua lazy w ceri－ trum swego normalizatora．Pokazano rowniez，ze dla pozytymnego rozwzaza－ nis problenu，wystarczy zneleżc n土eabelowa roznaitoé z tranzytymnosこı尹 pojecia dzielnika normalnego．

ДРУГAЯ ФOPMA 5－TOA IPPOSRM以 XAHHA HETMAFE

Ре 3 ти е

 тре своето нориализатора．Показано тане，что для полситедьпого реденін
 нормального дегитєля．

