

by T. ŚWIĄTKOWSKI

QUASI-UNIFORM CONVERGENCE ON DENSE SETS

Summary. In this paper quasi-uniform sequences of real-valued functions on topological space X are considered. Sufficient conditions are formulated under which the quasi-uniform convergence on a dense subset of X implies the quasi-uniform convergence on X .

If it is well known that if a sequence of functions f_n , real-valued and continuous on some topological space X , is uniformly convergent on a dense subset of X then it is uniformly convergent on whole space X (to a continuous function f). In the above assertion one cannot replace the uniformity of convergence by the quasi-uniformity [1].

Let, for example, $\{f_{2n}\}$ be the sequence of continuous on $\langle 0,1 \rangle$ functions with limit-function equal to 0 in irrational and to $\frac{1}{q}$ in rational x of the form $\frac{p}{q}$ (with minimal integer positive q). Put yet $f_{2n+1}(x) = 0$ for $x \in \langle 0,1 \rangle$ and $n = 0,1,2,\dots$. The sequence $\{f_n\}$ is then quasi-uniformly convergent on the set of irrational to zero (for every irrational x , $\{f_n(x)\}$ converges to zero and subsequence $\{f_{2n+1}\}$, as a constant, converges uniformly). On the other hand $\{f_n\}$ does not converge quasi-uniformly on $\langle 0,1 \rangle$ because for rational numbers x , $\{f_n(x)\}$ does not converge.

In the present example essential role played the fact that $\{f_n\}$ was not convergent and (what is not so easy to see) that the subsequence $\{f_{2n}\}$ was not quasi-uniformly convergent on the set of irrational numbers. The aim of this paper is to prove that these two conditions (convergence of $\{f_n\}$, and quasi-uniform convergence of its subsequences), which are not fulfilled by the sequence in above example, are sufficient for the quasi-uniformity of convergence of a sequence, which converges quasi-uniformly on some dense set.

All functions in this paper will be real-valued on topological space X .

Theorem 1. Let f_n be continuous on the topological space X , for $n = 1,2,3,\dots$ and let $\{f_n(x)\}$ converge to $f(x)$ for all $x \in X$. Let moreover $\{f_n\}$ converge quasi-uniformly on some dense subset Z of X .

Then $\{f_n\}$ is quasi-uniformly convergent on X ($f_n(x) \rightarrow f(x)$ on X and

$$\bigwedge_{\varepsilon > 0} \bigwedge_n \bigvee_p \bigwedge_{x \in X} \bigvee_{1 \leq j \leq p} |f_{n+j}(x) - f(x)| < \varepsilon.$$

Proof. Let $\varepsilon = 5\eta > 0$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be arbitrary. There exists a number p such that

$$\bigwedge_{x \in Z} \bigvee_{1 \leq j < p} |f_{n+j}(x) - f(x)| < \eta$$

Put

$$A_j = \{x \in Z: |f_{n+j}(x) - f(x)| < \eta\} \quad \text{for } j = 1, 2, \dots, p.$$

Let now $x_0 \in X$. Since $f_n(x_0) \rightarrow f(x_0)$ there exists n_0 (we may assume $n_0 > n$) such that for $m > n_0$ is $|f_m(x_0) - f(x_0)| < \eta$. Because of quasi-uniformity of convergence of $\{f_n\}$ on Z there exists a number q such that

$$\bigwedge_{x \in Z} \bigvee_{1 \leq i \leq q} |f_{n_0+i}(x) - f(x)| < \eta$$

Put now

$$B_i = \{x \in Z: |f_{n_0+i}(x) - f(x)| < \eta\} \quad \text{for } i = 1, 2, \dots, q.$$

It follows from density of $Z = \bigcup_{j=1}^p \bigcup_{i=1}^q A_j \cap B_i$ that x_0 belongs to or is an accumulation point of one (or more) of sets $A_j \cap B_i$. Choose the j, i for which $x_0 \in \overline{A_j \cap B_i}$. It follows from continuity of f_{n+j} and f_{n_0+i} that there exists a neighbourhood U of x_0 such that

$$|f_{n_0+i}(x) - f_{n_0+i}(x_0)| < \eta \quad \text{and} \quad |f_{n+j}(x) - f_{n+j}(x_0)| < \eta \quad \text{for } x \in U.$$

Let now $x_1 \in B_i \cap A_j \cap U \neq \emptyset$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} |f_{n+j}(x_0) - f(x_0)| &\leq |f_{n+j}(x_0) - f_{n+j}(x_1)| + |f_{n+j}(x_1) - f(x_1)| + \\ &+ |f(x_1) - f_{n_0+i}(x_1)| + |f_{n_0+i}(x_1) - f_{n_0+i}(x_0)| + \\ &+ |f_{n_0+i}(x_0) - f(x_0)| < 5\eta = \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

We have proved that for every $x_0 \in X$ there exists a number $j=1, \dots, p$ such that $|f_{n+j}(x_0) - f(x_0)| < \varepsilon$. Then finally

$$\bigwedge_n \bigwedge_{\varepsilon > 0} \bigvee_p \bigwedge_{x \in X} \bigvee_{1 \leq j \leq p} |f_{n+j}(x) - f(x)| < \varepsilon,$$

what means that the sequence $\{f_n\}$ is quasi-uniformly convergent on X .

Definition [3]. The sequence $\{f_n\}$ of functions is said to be strong quasi-uniformly convergent to f on A iff every its subsequence $\{f_{n_k}\}$ converges quasi-uniformly to f (we will then write $f_n \xrightarrow{s.q} f$).

Theorem 2. Let f and f_n ($n = 1, 2, \dots$) be continuous on X and let $\{f_n\}$ converge strong quasi-uniformly to f on some dense set $Z \subset X$. Then $\{f_n\}$ is strong quasi-uniformly convergent on X .

Proof. According to the theorem 1 it suffice to prove that $\{f_n(x)\}$ converges to $f(x)$ on X . Let then $x_0 \in X$. We will prove that every subsequence $\{f_{n_k}(x_0)\}$ of the sequence $\{f_n(x_0)\}$ posses a subsequence converging to $f(x_0)$, from what will be follow that $\{f_n(x_0)\}$ converges to $f(x_0)$. Let $\{f_{n_k}\}$ be arbitrary subsequence of $\{f_n\}$, and put $g_k = f_{n_k}$. The sequence $\{g_k\}$, as a subsequence of $\{f_n\}$ also converges strong quasi-uniformly to f on Z . Thus for every $m \in \mathbb{N}$ there exists p such that

$$\bigwedge_{x \in Z} \bigvee_{1 \leq j \leq p} |f(x) - g_{m+j}(x)| < \frac{1}{m}$$

Put

$$A_j^m = \left\{ x \in Z : |g_{m+j}(x) - f(x)| < \frac{1}{m} \right\}.$$

For density of Z , x_0 is an accumulation point of the union of sets $\bigcup_{j=1}^p A_j^m = Z$ or belongs to Z . Then x_0 belongs to the closure \bar{B} of one of this sets, say $B = A_{j_m}^m$. Because of continuity of g_{j_m+m} and f there exists a neighbourhood U of x_0 such that

$$|f(x) - f(x_0)| < \frac{1}{m} \quad \text{and} \quad |g_{n+j_m}(x) - g_{n+j_m}(x_0)| < \frac{1}{m} \quad \text{for } x \in U.$$

Let $x_1 \in U \cap B$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} |g_{m+j_m}(x_0) - f(x_0)| &\leq |g_{m+j_m}(x_0) - g_{m+j_m}(x_1)| + \\ &+ |g_{m+j_m}(x_1) - f(x_1)| + |f(x_1) - f(x_0)| < \frac{3}{m}. \end{aligned}$$

We have obtain a sequence $\{m+j_m\}$ of integer positive numbers such that $m+j_m \rightarrow \infty$ and $g_{m+j_m}(x_0) \rightarrow f(x_0)$. Let now $\{k_1\}$ denote arbitrary increasing subsequence of $m+j_m$. Then the sequence $\{g_{k_1}\}$ is simultaneously a subsequence of each $\{g_k\} = \{f_{n_k}\}$ and $\{g_{m+j_m}\}$. Thus we have prove that for every subsequence $\{f_{n_k}(x_0)\}$ of the sequence $\{f_n(x_0)\}$ there exists a subsequence $\{f_{n_{k_1}}(x_0)\}$ converging to $f(x_0)$. This finishes the proof.

Theorem 3. Let functions f_n be continuous on X and let $\{f_n\}$ converge strong quasi-uniformly on some dense subset Z of X to a function $f: Z \rightarrow R$.

Then $\{f_n\}$ is (strong) quasi-uniformly convergent on X to a function F , obviously continuous, which restriction to Z is identical with f .

Proof. First we will show that for every x_0 and increasing sequence $\{n_k\}$ of indices the sequence $\{f_{n_k}(x_0)\}$ posses a convergent subsequence. Put for simplicity of denotations $g_k = f_{n_k}$. The sequence $\{g_k\}$ converges quasi-uniformly on Z , thee there exists a number p_1 such that

$$\bigwedge_{x \in Z} \bigvee_{1 \leq j \leq p_1} |g_j(x) - f(x)| < \frac{1}{2^1}$$

Put

$$A_j^1 = \left\{ x \in Z; |g_j(x) - f(x)| < \frac{1}{2^1} \right\} \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, p_1.$$

For x_0 belongs to closure X of dense set $Z = \bigcup_{j=1}^{p_1} A_j^1$ there exists $j_1 \in \{1, \dots, p_1\}$ such that x_0 belongs to the closure of $A_{j_1}^1$.

Put now $A_{j_1}^2 = A_{j_1}^1$ and $k_1 = j_1$.

Let us assume that we have define sets $A_1 \supset A_2 \supset \dots \supset A_l$ and numbers k_1, \dots, k_l such that x_0 belongs to closure of A_1 , $A_1 \subset Z$ and

$$\bigwedge_{x \in A_j} |g_{k_j}(x) - f(x)| < \frac{1}{2^j} \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, l.$$

For quasi-uniformity of convergence of $\{g_k\}$ on $A_1 \subset Z$ there exists a number p_1 such that

$$\bigwedge_{x \in A_1} \bigvee_{1 \leq j \leq p_1} |g_{k_1+j}(x) - f(x)| < \frac{1}{2^{1+1}}.$$

Put

$$A_j^{l+1} = \left\{ x \in A_1 : |g_{k_1+j}(x) - f(x)| < \frac{1}{2^{l+1}} \right\} \quad \text{for } j = 1, \dots, p_1$$

and let A_{l+1} denote such one of A_j^{l+1} , say $A_{j_{l+1}}^{l+1}$, that x_0 belongs to its closure. Put yet $k_{l+1} = k_l + j_{l+1}$.

In this way have defined, by induction process, two sequences:
 a) the sequence of sets A_l such that $Z \supset A_1 \supset A_2 \supset \dots$ and x_0 belongs to the closure of A_l for every l , b) the increasing sequence of indices $\{k_l\}$ such that for every l

$$|g_{k_l}(x) - f(x)| < \frac{1}{2^l} \quad \text{for } x \in A_l.$$

Thus for $l = 1, 2, \dots$ we have inequality

$$|g_{k_{l+1}}(x) - g_{k_l}(x)| \leq |g_{k_{l+1}}(x) - f(x)| + |f(x) - g_{k_l}(x)| < \frac{1}{2^{l-1}} \quad \text{for } x \in A_l.$$

Since functions g_k are continuous on X and x_0 belongs to the closure of A_l we obtain the inequalities

$$|g_{k_{l+1}}(x_0) - g_{k_l}(x_0)| < \frac{1}{2^{l-1}} \quad \text{for } l = 1, 2, \dots$$

from which follows convergence of $\{g_{k_l}(x_0)\}$ to some finite limit a .

We have proved that every subsequence of $\{f_n(x_0)\}$ posses a convergent subsequence. It remains to prove that limits of such convergent subsequences are equal.

Let then $a = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} g_k(x_0)$, where $x_0 \in X$ and $\{g_k\}$ is a subsequence of $\{f_n\}$. Let furthermore $\varepsilon > 0$ be arbitrary. There exists a number m such that

$$|g_k(x_0) - a| < \varepsilon \quad \text{for } k = m, m+1, \dots$$

Since $\{g_k\}$ is quasi-uniformly convergent on Z there exists a number q that $Z = \bigcup_{j=1}^q E_j$, where

$$E_j = \left\{ x \in Z : |g_{m+j}(x) - f(x)| < \varepsilon \right\}.$$

Functions g_{m+1}, \dots, g_{m+q} are continuous, hence there exists a neighborhood U of x_0 such that

$$|g_{m+j}(x) - g_{m+j}(x_0)| < \varepsilon \quad \text{for } x \in U \quad \text{and } j = 1, 2, \dots, q.$$

Let now $x \in Z \cap U$ and let j_x be such number that $x \in E_{j_x}$.
Then

$$|f(x) - a| \leq |f(x) - g_{m+j_x}(x)| + |g_{m+j_x}(x) - g_{m+j_x}(x_0)| + |g_{m+j_x}(x_0) - a| < 3\varepsilon,$$

what means that there exists $\lim_{x \rightarrow x_0} f(x) = a$, when x_0 is an accumulation point of Z or $a = f(x_0)$ when $x_0 \in Z$. In both cases we obtain equality of limits of convergent sequences $\{f_{n_k}(x_0)\}$. We have then proved simultaneously the convergence of sequences $\{f_n(x)\}$ for all $x \in X$, and existence of continuous extension F of function f from Z to whole X in this way that the extended function F fulfills the following condition

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x) = F(x) \quad \text{for } x \in X.$$

Using now or theorem 1 ($f_n(x)$ converges for every $x \in X$) or theorem 2 (for continuity of function F) we obtain quasi-uniformity of convergence of $\{f_n\}$ to F . Since this is true also for every subsequence of $\{f_n\}$ thus $\{f_n\}$ converges strong quasi-uniformly.

Remark. Theorem 2 is simultaneously a corollary from theorem 3 and, on the other hand, a lemma for its. By some modifications of the proof of theorem 3 it was possible to omit the theorem 2. We did not that for the following cause.

One can, without essential exchanges in the proofs, obtain for nets of functions [2], [3] results similar as presented in theorem 1 and 2 for usually sequences, but it is not so easy in the case of theorem 3 (the proof of convergence of $\{g_k(x_0)\}$ are typical for usually sequences - by using criterion of summability of series). In connection with this is the following question:

Problem. Does theorem 3 remain valid by replacing in it the usually sequences by nets?

REFERENCES

- [1] Arzela C.: Intorno alla continuita della suma infinita di continue. Rend. dell'Acad. di Bologna (1883-1884).
- [2] Bartle R.G.: On compactness in functional analysis. Trans. of Amer. Math. Soc. 79 (1955).
- [3] Świątkowski T.: Characterization of quasi-uniform convergence. Zeszyty Naukowe Politechniki Łódzkiej, s. Matematyka, zesz. 17 (w druku).

Recenzent: Prof. zw. dr hab. Zygaunt Zahorski

Wpłynęło do redakcji: 20.XII.1983 r.

QUASI-JEDNOSTAJNA ZBIEŻNOŚĆ NA GĘSTYCH ZBIORACH

Wyniki pracy zebrane są w poniższych twierdzeniach:

Twierdzenie 1. Niech ciąg $\{f_n\}$ funkcji ciągłych na X będzie zbieżny na X do funkcji ciągłej f . Jeżeli $\{f_n\}$ jest zbieżny quasi-jednostajnie na pewnym zbiorze gęstym $Z \subset X$, to $\{f_n\}$ jest zbieżny quasi-jednostajnie na X .

Twierdzenie 3. Niech funkcje $\{f_n\}$ będą ciągłe na X . Jeżeli ciąg $\{f_n\}$ jest silnie quasi-jednostajnie zbieżny (tzn. quasi-jednostajnie zbieżny jest każdy jego podciąg) na gęstym zbiorze $Z \subset X$, to jest on silnie quasi-jednostajnie zbieżny na X (do funkcji będącej ciągłym rozszerzeniem na X funkcji granicznej dla zbioru Z).

Problem. Czy twierdzenie 3 jest prawdziwe dla ciągów uogólnionych (Moore'a-Smitha)?

КВАЗИ-РАВНОМЕРНАЯ СХОДИМОСТЬ НА ПЛОТНЫХ МНОЖЕСТВАХ

Р е з ю м е

В работе рассматриваются квазиравномерно сходящиеся последовательности непрерывных функций $f: X \rightarrow R$, где X - топологическое пространство. Даются достаточные условия того, что из квазиравномерной сходимости на плотном подмножестве следует квазиравномерная сходимость на всём пространстве X .