Seria: MATEMATYKA-FIZYKA z. 67

Nr kol. 1115

Roman WITULA

# ON THE CONVERGENCE OF SERIES OF THE FORM $\sum \min \; \{a_n, b_n\}$

Summary. Biler P. and Witkowski A. asked in [1] when the series of the form  $\sum \min \{a_n, b_n\}$  is convergent, where  $\{a_n\}$  and  $\{b_n\}$  belong to the class d of all decreasing to zero sequences of positive reals such that  $\sum a_n = \sum b_n = \infty$ . In the paper, necessary and sufficient conditions for a fixed  $\{a_n\} \in d$  are given in order that  $\sum \min \{a_n, b_n\} < \infty$  for some  $\{b_n\} \in d$ . These conditions lead to large classes of concrete examples of sequences  $\{a_n\} \in d$  such that the above property holds/does not hold.

Let d denote the class of all sequences  $\{a_n\}$ ,  $a_n>0$  such that  $a_n \geq a_{n+1}$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $a_n \to 0$  and the series  $\sum a_n$  is divergent. In [1] (Problem 3.11), the following question is formulated: what can be said about convergence of the series  $\sum \min\{a_n,b_n\}$ , where  $\{a_n\} \in d$ ? The answer given in [1] is that the series can be convergent, i.e. there exist  $\{a_n\}$ ,  $\{b_n\} \in d$  such that  $\sum \min\{a_n,b_n\} < \infty$ .

The aim of this note is to give more insight into this problem. Namely, we give necessary as well as sufficient conditions for a sequence  $\{a_n\} \in d$  for which there exist a sequence  $\{b_n\} \in d$  such that  $\sum \min\{a_n,b_n\} < \infty$ .

Theorem. Suppose that  $\{a_n\} \in d$ . If

$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \min\{a_n, b_n\} < \omega \tag{1}$$

for some sequence  $\{b_n^{}\}\in d,$  then the sequence  $\{a_n^{}\}$  contains a subsequence  $\{a_n^{}\}$  satisfying the conditions

(i) 
$$\sum_{i \in N} (n_{2i} - n_{2i-1}) a_{n_{2i}} < \omega ;$$

$$(ii) \qquad \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{\substack{n \geq n \leq n \\ 2i+1}} a_n < \infty ;$$

(iii) 
$$\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (n_{2i+1} - n_{2i}) a_{n_{2i}-1} = \infty .$$

Conversely, if  $\{a_n\}$  contains a subsequence  $\{a_n\}$  satisfying conditions (ii), (iii) and the following, stronger than (i), condition

(iv) 
$$\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (n_{2i} - n_{2i-1}) a_{n_{2i}-1} < \infty ,$$

then there exists a sequence  $\{b_n\} \in d$  with the property (1).

In particular, if  $\lim_n \sup a_{n-1}^{-1} a_n^{-1} < \infty$ , then the set of conditions (i), (ii) and (iii) (or, equivalently, (ii), (iii) and (iv)) is sufficient and necessary for the existence of a sequence  $\{b_n\} \in d$  such that (1) holds.

**Proof.** Assume that (i) holds for a certain sequence  $\{b_n\} \in d$ . Since  $\{a_n\}$ ,  $\{b_n\} \in d$ , there exists an increasing sequence of positive integers  $\{n_i\}$  such that

$$\min\{a_n,b_n\} = \begin{cases} a_n & \text{if} & n \in \mathbb{N}_{2i} \text{,} & i \in \mathbb{N} \text{,} \\ \\ b_n & \text{if} & n \in \mathbb{N}_{2i-1} \text{,} & i \in \mathbb{N} \text{,} \end{cases}$$

where  $N_k = \{n \in \mathbb{N} : n_k \le n < n_{k+1} \}$  for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Evidently,

$$\sum_{i \in N} \sum_{n \in N_{2i}} a_n < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i \in N} \sum_{i \in N_{2i-1}} b_n < \infty$$

Since  $a_{n_{2i}} \le b_{n_{2i}} \le b_{n_{2i}}$  for  $n < n_{2i}$  and  $b_{n_{2i}} \le b_{n_{2i}} \le a_{n_{2i}} = a_{n_{2i}}$  for  $n \ge n_{2i}$  we have

$$\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (n_{2i} - n_{2i-1}) a_{n_{2i}} < \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}_{2i-1}} b_n < \omega$$

and

$$\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (n_{2i+1} - n_{2i}) a_{n_{2i}-1} \ge \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}_{2i}} b_n = \infty .$$

Consequently, conditions (i)-(iii) are satisfied.

Suppose now that a subsequence  $\{a_n^i\}$  of the sequence  $\{a_n^i\}$  fulfills conditions (ii)-(iv). Put  $b_n = a_{n_{2i}-1}$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}_{2i-1} \cup \mathbb{N}_{2i}$ ,  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $b_n = a_1$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $n < n_1$ . Clearly,  $b_n \geq b_{n+1}$  for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $b_n \to 0$  and

$$\sum_{i \in N} \sum_{n \in N_{2i}} b_n = \sum_{i \in N} (n_{2i+1} - n_{2i}) a_{n_{2i}-1} = \omega ,$$

in view of (iii). Hence  $\{b_n\} \in d$ . It is easy to see that

$$\min \; \{a_n,b_n\} \; = \; \begin{cases} a_{n_2i^{-1}} & \text{for} \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_{2i-1} \;, \quad i \in \mathbb{N} \\ \\ a_n & \text{for} \quad n \in \mathbb{N}_{2i} \;, \quad i \in \mathbb{N} \end{cases}$$

and thus, by (i1) and (iv),

$$\sum_{n \ge n_1} \min\{a_n, b_n\} = \sum_{i \in N} (n_{2i} - n_{2i-1}) a_{n_{2i-1}} + \sum_{i \in N} \sum_{n \in N_{2i}} a_n < \infty ,$$

which completes the proof.

Corollary 1. For every {bn} ed we have

$$\sum \min\{n^{-1}, b_n\} = \infty . \tag{2}$$

**Proof.** Suppose that there is a sequence  $\{b_n\} \in d$ , for which (2) does not hold. Then, by (ii) and (iii), there exists an increasing sequence  $\{n_i\} \in N$  such that

$$\sum_{i \in N} (n_{2i+1} - n_{2i}) n_{2i+1}^{-1} < \omega$$
 (3)

and

$$\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (n_{2i+1} - n_{2i}) n_{2i}^{-1} = \omega$$
 (4)

or, equivalently,

$$\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \varepsilon_i < \omega \tag{3'}$$

and

$$\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \varepsilon_{i} (1 - \varepsilon_{i})^{-1} < \infty \tag{4'}$$

where  $\varepsilon_i = (n_{2i+1} - n_{2i})n_{2i+1}^{-1}$  for  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Hence  $\epsilon_i \to 0$ , in view of (3'). But this means that conditions (3'), (4') cannot hold at the same time. Contradiction. The proof is thus completed.

Given a > 0, let us now denote

$$a(\alpha,0) = \alpha;$$
  $a(\alpha,1) = a^{\alpha};$   $a(\alpha,k) = a^{a(\alpha,k-1)};$   $L_1x = \ln x \ (x > 0);$   $L_{b}(x) = \ln(L_{b-1}x) \ (x > e(0,k-1))$ 

for  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$  and k = 2, 3, ...

Corollary 2. For arbitrary  $k\in \mathbb{N}$  there exists a sequence  $\{b_n\}\in d$  such that

$$\sum_{n>e(1,k)} \min \{f(n),b_n\} < \infty,$$

where 
$$f(x) = x^{-1}(L_1x)^{-1}...(L_kx)^{-1}$$
 for  $x > e(1,k)$ .

**Proof.** Fix  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . By theorem, it suffices to show that there exists an increasing sequence  $\{n_i\}$  of positive integers satisfying the conditions:

(I) 
$$\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (n_{2i} - n_{2i-1}) f(n_{2i}) < \infty$$
;

(II) 
$$\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \sum_{n_{2i} \le n < n_{2i+1}} f(n) < \infty$$
;

(III) 
$$\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (n_{2i+1} - n_{2i}) f(n_{2i}) = \infty$$
.

Let  $\{\mathbf{m}_{\underline{\mathbf{1}}}\}$  be an arbitrary increasing sequence of positive integers such that

$$2(m_{1},k) > e(1,k);$$
  $m_{i+1} - m_{i} \ge 2$  for  $i \in N$ ;

and

$$\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} m_i^{-1} < \infty. \tag{5}$$

Define

$$n_{2i-1} = \begin{cases} 2(m_{j}^{2}, k) & \text{if } i = 2j-1, \\ \\ 2((m_{j}+1)^{2}, k) & \text{if } i = 2j, \end{cases}$$

and

$$n_{2i} = \begin{cases} 1 + n_{2i-1} & \text{if } i = 2j-1, \\ \\ n_{2i+1} - 1 & \text{if } i = 2j. \end{cases}$$

For the above sequence  $\{n_i^{}\}$  we have

$$\begin{split} & \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathbb{N}} (n_{2\mathbf{i}} - n_{2\mathbf{i} - 1}) f(n_{2\mathbf{i}}) \leq \sum_{\mathbf{i} \in \mathbb{N}} 1 n^{-1} n_{2\mathbf{i}} = \\ & = \sum_{\mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{N}} \{1 n^{-1} [2(m_{\mathbf{j}}^2, k) + 1] + 1 n^{-1} [2(m_{\mathbf{j} + 1}^2, k) - 1]\} \\ & \leq \sum_{\mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{N}} [m_{\mathbf{j}}^{-2} 1 n^{-1} 2 + (m_{\mathbf{j} + 1}^2 1 n 2 - 1)^{-1}] < \infty \ , \end{split}$$

# i.e. condition (I) is fulfilled.

Before checking condition (III) notice that the following inequalities can be obtained by induction with respect to k:

$$2(\alpha+1,k) \ge [2(\alpha,k)]^2 \ge 4 \cdot 2(\alpha,k)$$

for  $\alpha \ge 1$  and  $k \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}$ . Therefore

$$\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (n_{2i+1} - n_{2i}) f(n_{2i}) =$$

$$= \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} [2((m_j+1)^2, k) - 2(m_j^2, k) - 1] f(2(m_j^2, k) + 1) \ge$$

$$\ge \sum_{j \in \mathbb{N}} 2(m_j^2 + 2m_j, k) [2(m_j^2, k) + 1]^{-1} 1 n^{-k} [2(m_j^2, k) + 1]$$

$$\geq \sum_{\mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{N}} 2(m_{\mathbf{j}}^2 + 2m_{\mathbf{j}}^{-1}, \mathbf{k}) \cdot 2(m_{\mathbf{j}}^2 + 2m_{\mathbf{j}}^{-1}, \mathbf{k}) \cdot [2(m_{\mathbf{j}}^2, \mathbf{k}) + 1]^{-1} [2(m_{\mathbf{j}}^2, \mathbf{k} - 1) \ln 3]^{-\mathbf{k}} = \infty.$$

This means that condition (III) is satisfied.

Now notice that

$$\sum_{i \in N} \sum_{n_{2i} \le n < n_{2i+1}}^{r_{1}} f(n) \le \sum_{i \in N} \int_{p_{i}}^{r_{i}} f(x) dx$$

$$\le \sum_{i \in N} \int_{R}^{r_{i}} x^{-1} (L_{1}x)^{-1} \dots (L_{k}x)^{-1} dx = \sum_{i \in N} \ln[(L_{k}r_{i}) \cdot (L_{k}^{-1}p_{i})],$$

where  $p_i = n_{2i}-1$  and  $r_i = n_{2i+1}-1$ . On the other hand,

$$L_k(r_i+1) \cdot (L_k p_i)^{-1} = L_k 2((m_j+1)^2, k) \cdot (L_k 2(m_j^2, k))^{-1} = 1 + \gamma_j/m_j$$

for i = 2j-1, and

$$L_{k}(r_{i}+1) \cdot (L_{k}p_{i})^{-1} = L_{k}2(m_{j}^{2},k) \cdot (L_{k}(2(m_{j}^{2},k)-2)^{-1} = 1 + \delta_{j}/m_{j}^{2}$$

for i=2j-2, where  $\{\gamma_j\}$  and  $\{\delta_j\}$  are certain bounded sequences of positive reals. By (5) the above relations imply that

$$\sum_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \ln[(L_k r_i) \cdot (L_k p_i)^{-1}] < \omega ,$$

i.e. condition (II) holds.

The proof of Corollary 2 is thus completed.

Let us notice that Corollaries 1 and 2 deliver many concrete examples of sequences related to the question of p. Biler and A. Witkowski. Corollary 1 shows in particular, that the sequences of the form  $\{n^{-1}\}$  does not satisfy

(1) for any  $\{b_n\} \in d$ . On the other hand, Corollary 2 gives a large class sequences  $\{a_n\} \in d$  such that (1) holds for some  $\{b_n\} \in d$ . In a very special cases, we see that the sequences  $\{a_n\}$  of the form

$$\left\{\frac{1}{n \cdot \ln(n)}\right\}, \quad \left\{\frac{1}{n \cdot \ln(n) \cdot \ln(\ln(n))}\right\}, \dots$$

belong to this class.

#### REFERENCE

[1] Biler Piotr, Witkowski Alfred: Zadania z gwiazdką z analizy, t. 2, Wydawnictwo Uniwersytetu Wrocławskiego, Wrocław 1986.

O ZBIEŻNOŚCI SZEREGÓW POSTACI  $\sum \min\{a_n, b_n\}$ .

### Streszczenie

Biler P. i Witkowski A. stawiają w [1] problem zbieżności szeregów postaci  $\sum\min\{a_n,b_n\}$ , gdzie  $\{a_n\}$  i  $\{b_n\}$  należą do klasy d ciągów malejących do zera liczb dodatnich, dla których  $\sum a_n=\sum b_n=\omega.$  W niniejszej pracy podajemy dla ustalonego ciągu  $\{a_n\}\in d$  warunki konieczne i wystarczające na to, by  $\min\{a_n,b_n\}<\omega$  dla pewnego  $\{b_n\}\in d.$  Warunki te prowadzą do szerokich klas konkretnych przykładów ciągów  $\{a_n\}\in d,$  odpowiednio mających powyższą własność i nie mających jej.

## О СХОДИМОСТИ РЯДОВ ВИНА

# Резюме

Биллер П. и Витковски А. спращивают в 1 о сходимости рядов вида  $\sum \min \{a_n, b_n\}$  где  $\{a_n\}$  and  $\{b_n\}$  принадлежат классу d убивающихся последовательностей положительных чисел, таких что  $\sum a_n = \sum b_n = \infty$ . В следующей работе представлены необходимые и достаточные условия для фиксированной последовательности  $\{a_n\}$  на то, что  $\sum \min \{a_n, b_n\}$  для некоторой последовательности  $\{b_n\}$   $\in$  d. Эти условия определяют широкие классы примеров последовательностей  $\{a_n\}$   $\in$  d, таких что свойство имеет место не имеет места.