

DEDICATED TO PROFESSOR MIECZYSLAW KUCHARZEWSKI
WITH BEST WISHES ON HIS 70TH BIRTHDAY

Piotr ANTOSIK

Józef BURZYK

A THEOREM ON CONTINUOUS CONVERGENCE

In this note we prove the following

Theorem. Assume that X is a topological group, $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X , f_n for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and f are functionals on X . If the following conditions hold:

$$1^\circ |f_n(x+y)| \leq |f_n(x)| + |f_n(y)| \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } x, y \in X;$$

$$2^\circ f_n \text{ for } n \in \mathbb{N} \text{ are continuous functionals};$$

$$3^\circ f \text{ is continuous};$$

$$4^\circ f(0) = 0;$$

$$5^\circ f_n(x) \rightarrow f(x) \text{ for every } x \in X$$

and

$$6^\circ \text{ for each subsequence } \{u_n\} \text{ of } \{x_n\} \text{ there is a subsequence } \{v_n\} \text{ of } \{u_n\} \text{ and } v \in X \text{ such that}$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} v_n = v,$$

then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x_n) = 0$$

In particular, if X is a complete metric group, f_n and f are continuous quasi-norms on X , $f_n(x) \rightarrow f(x)$ for $x \in X$ and $x_n \rightarrow 0$ in X , then $f_n(x_n) \rightarrow 0$. Assume that \mathcal{F} is a pointwise bounded family of semi-norms on a Banach space X , $p_n \in \mathcal{F}$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $u_n \rightarrow 0$ in X . Let $\{\alpha_n\}$ be a scalar sequence such that $\alpha_n \rightarrow \infty$ and $\alpha_n u_n \rightarrow 0$. We note that $p_n(u_n) = \alpha_n^{-1} p_n(\alpha_n u_n)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Assuming in the theorem $f_n = \alpha_n^{-1} p_n$, $f=0$ and $x_n = \alpha_n u_n$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we see that $f_n(x_n) \rightarrow 0$ or, equivalently, $p_n(u_n) \rightarrow 0$. In other words, pointwise bounded families of semi-norms on Banach spaces are equicontinuous.

The proof of the theorem presented in this note is based on some properties of convergence of sequences in a topological group. This remark allow us to formulate a generalization of the theorem and the result in [1] which is given for convergence groups satisfying special conditions FLUSH. Other proof of the theorem based on topological properties is given in [2].

Lemma 1. If a_{mn} for m, n are nonnegative numbers such that $a_{mn} \rightarrow a_n$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $a_n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then for every positive number ε there exists a subsequence $\{p_n\}$ of $\{n\}$ such that for every subsequence $\{q_n\}$ of $\{p_n\}$ we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{m-1} a_{q_m q_n} < \varepsilon$$

for $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Since $a_n \rightarrow 0$, there exists a subsequence $\{a_m\}$ of $\{a_n\}$ such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_m < \varepsilon.$$

To avoid subscripts we assume that $m_n = n$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Then we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n < \varepsilon.$$

Assume $p_1 = 1$. Since $a_{mp_1} \rightarrow a_{p_1}$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$ and $a_{p_1} < \varepsilon$, there exists an index p_2 such that $a_{p_2 p_1} < \varepsilon$. Since $a_{mp_1} + a_{mp_2} \rightarrow a_{p_1} + a_{p_2}$ and $a_{p_1} + a_{p_2} < \varepsilon$, there exists an index p_3 such that $p_2 < p_3$ and

$$a_{p_3 p_1} + a_{p_3 p_2} < \varepsilon.$$

By induction we select a subsequence $\{p_n\}$ of $\{n\}$ such that

$$a_{p_n p_1} + \dots + a_{p_n p_{n-1}} < \varepsilon$$

for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence the lemma follows.

Lemma 2. If X is a topological group, f is a continuous functional on X and $x_n \rightarrow 0$, then for every positive ε there exists a subsequence

$\{p_n\}$ of $\{n\}$ such that for every subsequence $\{q_n\}$ of $\{p_n\}$ and for every finite subset M of N we have

$$|f(\sum_{n \in M} x_{q_n}) - f(o)| < \varepsilon.$$

Proof. Assume that

$$\mathcal{U} = \{x : |f(x) - f(o)| < \varepsilon\}.$$

Since $f(x_n) - f(o) \rightarrow 0$, there exists an index p_1 such that $x_{p_1} \in \mathcal{U}$. Since $f(x_{p_1} + x_n) \rightarrow f(x_{p_1})$, $f(x_n) \rightarrow 0$ and $|f(x_{p_1}) - f(o)| < \varepsilon$, there is an index p_2 such that $p_1 < p_2$, $|f(x_{p_2}) - f(o)| < \varepsilon$ and $|f(x_{p_1} + x_{p_2}) - f(o)| < \varepsilon$. Consequently, $x_{p_1}, x_{p_2}, x_{p_1} + x_{p_2} \in \mathcal{U}$. By induction, we select a subsequence $\{p_n\}$ of $\{n\}$, such that

$$\sum_{n \in M} x_{p_n} \in \mathcal{U}$$

for every finite subset M of N . This implies that for every subsequence $\{q_n\}$ of $\{p_n\}$ and for every finite subset M of N we have

$$\sum_{n \in M} x_{q_n} \in \mathcal{U}$$

or, equivalently,

$$|f(\sum_{n \in M} x_{q_n}) - f(o)| < \varepsilon$$

which was to be proved.

Lemma 3. Assume that X is a topological group f_n for $n \in N$ are continuous functionals on X and $x_n \rightarrow 0$ in X . Then there exists a subsequence $\{p_n\}$ of $\{n\}$ such that for every subsequence $\{q_n\}$ of $\{p_n\}$, for every $n \in N$ and for every finite subset M of N we have

$$|f_{q_n}(\sum_{k \in M} x_{q_{n+k}}) - f_{q_n}(o)| < 1/n.$$

Proof. By Lemma 2 there exists a subsequence $\{m_{1n}\}$ of $\{n\}$ such that $m_{11} = 1$ and

$$|f_{m_{11}}(\sum_{k \in M} x_{m_{1k}}) - f_{m_{11}}(o)| < 1$$

whenever M is a finite set of positive integers and $\min M > 1$. Again, by Lemma 2 there exists a subsequence $\{m_{2n}\}$ of $\{m_{1n}\}$ such that $m_{21} = m_{12}$ and

$$|f_{m_{21}}(\sum_{k \in M} x_{m_{2k}}) - f_{m_{21}}(0)| < 1/2$$

whenever M is a finite subset of N and $\min M > 1$. By induction we select a sequence of sequences $\{m_{in}\}$ such that for every $i \in N$ $\{m_{i+1,n}\}$ is a subsequence of $\{m_{in}\}$, $m_{i+1,1} = m_{i2}$ and

$$|f_{m_{i1}}(\sum_{k \in M} x_{m_{ik}}) - f_{m_{i1}}(0)| < 1/i \quad (0)$$

whenever M is a finite subset of N and $\min M > 1$. We put $p_1 = m_{11}$ for $i \in N$. Let $\{q_1\}$ be a subsequence of $\{p_1\}$. Assume that $q_1 = p_{r_1}$ for $i \in N$ where $\{r_1\}$ is a subsequence of $\{i\}$. We note that for every $i \in N$, $\{q_{1+k}\}$ is a subsequence of $\{m_{r_1,k}\}$ with $q_{1+k} > m_{r_1,1}$ for $k \in N$. Hence, by (0), we get

$$|f_{q_1}(\sum_{k \in M} x_{q_{1+k}}) - f_{q_1}(0)| < 1/i$$

for $i \in N$ and every finite subset M of N . Replacing in the last inequality i by n we get the lemma.

Proof of the Theorem. At first we note that if 6^0 holds, then $x_n \rightarrow 0$ and $-x_n \rightarrow 0$. Let $\{m_1\}$ be a subsequence of $\{i\}$ and let ε be a positive number. In view of conditions 6^0 , 3^0 and 4^0 and Lemma 2 there is a subsequence $\{p_1\}$ of $\{m_1\}$ such that for every subsequence $\{n_1\}$ of $\{p_1\}$ we have

$$|f(-\sum_{k=1}^j x_{n_k})| < \varepsilon/3 \quad \text{and} \quad |f(\sum_{k=1}^j x_{n_k})| < \varepsilon/3 \quad \text{for } j \in N. \quad (1)$$

From 6^0 , 1^0 , 5^0 and (1) it follows that there is a subsequence $\{q_1\}$ of $\{p_1\}$ such that for every subsequence $\{n_1\}$ of $\{q_1\}$ we have

$$|f_{n_1}(-\sum_{k=1}^{i-1} x_{n_k})| < \varepsilon/3 \quad \text{for } i \in N. \quad (2)$$

By 2^0 , 6^0 and Lemma 3, there exists a subsequence $\{r_1\}$ of $\{q_1\}$ such that for every subsequence $\{n_1\}$ of $\{r_1\}$ we have

$$|f_{n_1}(-\sum_{k=1+1}^j x_{n_k}) - f_{n_1}(0)| < \varepsilon/3 \quad \text{for } 1, j \in N. \quad (3)$$

And finally, by 6^o, there is a subsequence $\{n_1\}$ of $\{r_1\}$ and $x \in X$ such that

$$\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} x_{n_j} = x \quad (4)$$

Thus we may assume that $\{n_1\}$ is a subsequence of $\{n_1\}$ such that (1), (2), (3) and (4) hold. From (4) we get

$$x_{n_1} = x - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} x_{n_j} - \sum_{j=i+1}^{\infty} x_{n_j}$$

for $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence, by 1^o, we can write

$$|f_{n_1}(x_{n_1})| \leq |f_{n_1}(x)| + |f_{n_1}(-\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} x_{n_j})| + |f_{n_1}((-\sum_{j=i+1}^{\infty} x_{n_j}) - f_{n_1}(0))| + |f_{n_1}(0)|$$

for $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence, by 5^o, (2) and (3) we get

$$\limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} |f_{n_1}(x_{n_1})| \leq |f(x)| + 2\varepsilon/3.$$

From (4) and the second part of (1) we get $|f(x)| < \varepsilon/3$. Consequently, we can write

$$\limsup_{i \rightarrow \infty} |f_{n_1}(x_{n_1})| < \varepsilon. \quad (6)$$

In this way we have shown that every subsequence $\{f_{n_1}(x_{n_1})\}$ and for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there is a subsequence $\{f_{n_1}(x_{n_1})\}$ of $\{f_{n_1}(x_{n_1})\}$ such that (6) holds or, equivalently

$$\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} f_{n_1}(x_{n_1}) = 0$$

which was to be proved.

REFERENCES

- [1] Antosik, P., Swartz, Ch.: Matrix Methods in Analysis, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, V. 1113, 1985.
- [2] Burzyk, J.: On K-sequences: Czechoslovak Math. (to appear).