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NATURAL OPERATORS TRANSFORMING VECTOR FIELDS AND EXTERIOR FORMS INTO EXTERIOR FORMS

Summary. We determine all natural bilinear operators transforming vector fields and exterior p-forms into exterior q-forms.

The classical theory of differential geometric objects, several important contributions to which have been presented by the eninent Polish geometric school, was revisited by $A$. Nijenhuis in the form of the natural bundles. [5]. His general approach to this fundamental concept of differential geometry iniciated a new wave of research. In particular, such a point of view underlined the role of the natural operators in several differential geometric problens.

In the present paper we study the natural operators transforining vector fields and exterior p-forms into exterior q-forms. In order to get the results interesting geometrically, we restrict ourselves to the bilinear operators. Using our general method from [3], we determine all of then. We find it remarkable that our Proposition 1 gives a new look to the well-known relation between the Lie derivatives and the exterior derivatives of exterior forms. - All manifolds and maps are assumed to be infinitely differentiable.

1. Let $T M$ or $\wedge^{P_{T}}{ }^{*} M$ be the tangent bundle or the p-th exterior power of the cotangent bundle of an m-dimensional manifold $M$, respectively. Hence both $T$ and $\wedge^{P^{*}}{ }^{*}$ are natural bundles over m-manifolds in the sense of $A$. Nijenhuis, [5]. Let $C^{\infty} T M$ or $C^{\infty} \wedge^{\rho} T M$ denote the space of all smooth sections of $T M$ or $\Lambda^{P} T^{*} M$.

Definition. A natural operator $A: T \oplus \Lambda^{p} T^{*} \rightarrow \Lambda^{q} T^{*}$ is a system of maps

$$
A_{M}: C^{\infty} T M \times C^{\infty} \wedge^{p} T^{*} M \rightarrow C^{\infty} \wedge^{q} T^{*} M
$$

for every m-manifold $M$ such that
a) for every diffeomorphism $f: M \longrightarrow N$, it holds

$$
A_{N}\left(T f \circ x \circ f^{-1}, \Lambda^{p} T^{*} f \circ \omega \circ f^{-1}\right)=\Lambda^{q} T^{*} f \circ A_{M}(x, \omega) \circ f^{-1}
$$

for all $x \in C^{\infty} T M$ and all $\omega \in C^{\infty} \wedge^{P} T^{*} M$.
b) for every open subset $U \subset M$, it hold

$$
A_{U}(x|U, \omega| U)=A_{M}(x, \omega) \mid U
$$


2. The bilinear Peetre theorem reads that every bilinear support nonincreasing operator has locally finite order. [1]. This implies that every natural bilinear operator $T \oplus \Lambda^{p_{T}} T^{*} \Lambda^{q} T^{*}$ has globally a finite order $r$. According to the general theory, there is a canonical action of the group $G_{m}^{r+1}$ of all invertible $(r+1)-j e t s$ of $R^{m}$ into $R^{m}$ with source 0 and target 0 on the standard fibres $S^{r}:=J_{0}^{r} T R^{m}, Z^{r}:=$ $=J_{0}^{r} \wedge^{P} T^{*} R^{m}$ and $\Lambda^{q} R^{m *}=\wedge^{q} T_{0}^{*} R^{m}$. (The latter action factorizes through the standard actional of $G_{m}^{1}=G L(G, R)$ on $\wedge^{q_{R} m^{*}}$.) Further, there is a canonical bijection between the $r$-th order natural bilinear operators $T \oplus \Lambda^{p} T^{*} \rightarrow \Lambda^{q} T^{*}$ and the $G_{m}^{r+1}$-equivariant bilinear maps $S^{x} \times z^{r} \rightarrow \Lambda^{q} R^{m *}$. [3].

Let $\alpha, \beta$ be multiindices of range $m$. Denote by

$$
x_{\alpha}^{1} \quad 0 \leqslant|\alpha| \leqslant r
$$

the canonical coordinates on $S^{r}$, by

$$
b_{i_{1} \ldots i_{p}}, \beta \quad 0 \leqslant|\beta| \leqslant r
$$

the canonical coordinates on $z^{r}$ and by

$$
c_{i_{1} \ldots i_{q}}
$$

 shall need the explicit expression of the above-mentioned actions in the case $r^{r}=1$ only. Let $a_{i}^{i}, a_{i k}^{\ddagger}$ be the usual coordinates of an element a $E G^{2}$ and let ${\underset{a}{m}}_{1}^{1} \hat{a}_{j k}^{1}$ denote the coordinates of the inverse element $a^{-1}$. A standard evaluation yields
$\bar{x}^{i}=a_{j}^{i} x^{j}$
$\bar{x}_{j}^{i}=a_{k z}^{i} \tilde{a}_{j}^{z} x^{k}+a_{k}^{i} x_{z}^{k} \tilde{a}_{j}^{z}$
$\bar{b}_{i_{1} \ldots i_{p}}=b_{j_{1} \ldots j_{p}} \tilde{a}_{i_{1}}^{j_{1}}{ }^{\tilde{e}_{i}}{ }_{p}^{j_{p}}$
$\vec{b}_{i_{1} \ldots i_{p}, i}=b_{j_{1}} \ldots j_{p}, j^{\tilde{a}_{i_{1}}} \ldots \tilde{a}_{i_{p}}^{j_{p}} \tilde{a}_{i}+b_{j_{1}} \ldots j_{p}\left[\tilde{a}_{i_{1}}^{j_{1}} \tilde{a}_{i_{2}}^{j_{2}} \ldots \tilde{a}_{i}^{j}+\ldots+\tilde{a}_{i_{1}}^{j_{1}} \ldots \tilde{a}_{i} p_{p}\right]$
$\bar{r}_{1_{1}} \ldots 1_{q}=c_{j_{1} \ldots j_{q}} \tilde{a}_{1_{1}}^{j_{1}} \ldots \tilde{a}_{1}^{1} q_{q}$
3. Let $f: S^{r} \times z^{r} \rightarrow \wedge^{q} R^{m}$ be an $G^{r+1}$-equivariant ilinear map. Consider the canonical inclusion $1: G L(m, R) \longrightarrow G_{m}^{r+1}$ trasforming every matrix into the, $(r+1)$-jet of the corresponding linear trasformation. One verifies easily that the tranaformation laws of $x_{\alpha,}^{i} b_{i_{1}, \ldots i_{p} \beta}$ with respect to $1(G L(m, R))$ are tensorial. Then the equivariany of $f$ with respect to the homotheties $a_{j}^{i}=k^{-1} \delta_{j}^{1}, k \in R, k>0$ givs the homogeneity condition

$$
k^{q^{f}}\left(x_{\alpha}^{1}, b_{1_{1} \ldots i_{p}, \beta}\right)=f\left(k^{|\alpha|-1} x_{\alpha,}^{1}, k^{|\beta|+p_{b_{1}}} 1_{1} \ldots 1_{p}, \beta\right)
$$

Hence $f$ is a linear combination of those products of $X$ and $b_{1} \ldots 1_{p} \rho_{1}$ that satisfy the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
q=p-1+|\alpha|+|\beta| \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

4. Consider the case $p=q$. Then the only two possibilties for (1) are $|\alpha|=0,|\beta|=1$ and $|\alpha|=1, \quad|\beta|=0$. We first etermine all $G L(m, R)$-equivariant bilinear maps $f_{1}: \quad R^{m n} \times \Lambda^{p} R^{m *} \otimes \wedge R^{m *} \rightarrow \wedge_{R^{m *}}^{m}$. Anslogously to $[4]$, consider the following diagram

where Alt denote the alternator of the indicated degree. $n$ this diagram the vertical maps are also $G L(m, R)$-equivariant and the $L(m, R)$-equivariant map in the botom row can be determined by the invaiant tensor theorem. [2], [3]. This implies that $f_{1}$ is a linaar comination of the contraction of $x^{1}$ with the derivation entry in $b_{1}, \ldots 1$, and of the contraction of $x^{1}$ with a non-derivation entry in $b_{i_{1} \ldots i_{p} . j}$ followed by the alternation.

Next we determine all GL(回, R)-equivariant bilinear map $f_{2}:\left(R^{m} \otimes R^{m *}\right) x$ $x \wedge^{P_{R}{ }^{n}} \xrightarrow{ } \wedge_{P_{R}{ }^{\text {an }}}$. Consider the diagram

where $\tilde{f}_{2}$ is the linearization of $f_{2}$. Taking into account that the map in the bottom row is determined by the invariant tensor theorem, we conclude similarly as above that $f_{2}$ is a linear combination of the inner contraction $x_{j}^{j}$ multiplied by $b_{i} \ldots i_{p}$ and of the contraction $x_{i_{1}}^{1} b_{i_{2}} \ldots i_{p}{ }^{j}$

Thus, the equivariancy of $f$ with respect to $1(G L(m, R))$ leads to the following 4-parameter family

$$
\begin{align*}
f_{i_{1} \ldots i_{p}} & =a x^{j} b_{i_{1}} \ldots i_{p}, j \\
& +b x^{j} b_{j}\left[i_{2} \ldots i_{p}, i_{1}\right]  \tag{4}\\
& +e x^{j}\left[i_{1} b_{i_{2}} \ldots i_{p} b_{1} \ldots\right.
\end{align*}
$$

a, b, c, e $\in$ R, where the square bracket denotes alternation. Further we express the equivariancy of $f$ with respect to the kernel $a_{j}^{i}=\delta_{j}^{1}$ of the jet projection $G_{m}^{2} \longrightarrow G_{m}^{1}$. It is characterized by

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & =-a x^{j}\left(b_{k i_{2} \ldots i_{p}} a_{i_{1} j}^{k}+\ldots+b_{i_{1}} \ldots i_{p-1} k^{k^{k}} i_{p} j\right)+ \\
& \left.\left.+b x^{j} b_{k\left[i_{2} \ldots i_{p}\right.} a_{i_{1}}^{k}\right]_{j}+c a_{k j}^{k} x^{j} b_{i_{1}} \ldots i_{p}+e x^{k} a_{k\left[i_{1}\right.}{ }^{n_{1}} \ldots i_{p}\right]_{j} \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

This implies $c=0$ and one linear relation among a, b, e. Interpreting the result geametrically, we obtain (provided $\rfloor$ denotes the inner product of a vector field and of an exterior form).

Proposition 1. All natural vilinear operators $T \oplus \Lambda^{P} T^{*} \rightarrow \Lambda^{P_{T}} T^{*}$ from the following 2-parameter family

$$
\left.\left.k_{1} d(x\lrcorner \omega\right)+k_{2}(x\lrcorner d \omega\right) \quad k_{1}, k_{2} \in R
$$

There ia a third well-known natural bilinear operator $T \oplus \Lambda^{P_{T}} \rightarrow \Lambda^{P_{T}}{ }^{*}$, namely the Lie derivative $L_{x} \omega$ of $\omega$ with respect to $x$. Hence Proposition 1 implies that $L_{x} \omega$ must be a linear combination of $d(x \mid \omega)$ and $x\rfloor d c r e$ If we evaluate $k_{1}=1=k_{2}$ in two suitable cases, we obtain an interesting proof of the classical formula.
5. In the case $q=p-1$ relation (1) can be satisfied for $|\alpha|=$ $=|\beta|=0$ only. Analogously to (2) or (3), we then deduce that all GL(m,R)-equivariant bilinear maps $R^{m} \times \wedge^{P_{R}} \xrightarrow{m *} \Lambda^{p-1} R^{m *}$ are the constant multiples of the tensor contraction. This proves.

Proposition 2. The only bilinear natural operators $T \oplus \Lambda^{P} T^{*} \rightarrow \Lambda^{p-1} T^{*}$ are the constant multiples of $x\lrcorner \omega$.
6. In the case $q=p+1$ the homogeneity condition (1) and the invariant tensor theoren yield the following 4-parameter fanily

$$
\begin{align*}
& a x^{j}\left[1_{1} 1_{1} \ldots i_{p} \cdot j\right]+b x^{j}\left[i^{b} 1_{1} \ldots . i_{p-1} \cdot i_{p}\right]^{+c x} j_{j}^{j}\left[i_{1} \ldots i_{p}\right]^{+} \\
& +e x^{j} b\left[1_{1} \ldots 1_{p}, i\right] j \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

Considering its equivariancy with respect to the kernel of the jet projection $G_{m}^{3} \longrightarrow G_{m}^{1}$, we deduce $c=0$ and two linear independent relations among $a, b, e$. Interpreting this result geometrically, we obtain

Proposition 3. The only natural bilinear operators $T \oplus \wedge^{p} T^{*} \rightarrow \wedge^{p+1} T^{*}$ are the constent multiples of $d(X J d \omega)$.
7. In the case $q<p-1$ relation (1) cannot be satisfied for any $|\propto|$. $|\beta|$. This implies that the only natural bilinear operator is the zero operator.

In the case $q=p+2$ we proceed similarly to item 6. Having applied the invariant tensor theorem, we see that the only term which does not vanish after alternation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
a x^{k}\left[1^{b_{1}} \ldots 1_{p}, j\right] k \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

However, considering the equivariancy of (7) with respect to the subgroup $a_{j}^{1}=\delta_{j}^{1}$ in $G_{m}^{2}$, we find $a=0$. In the case $a \geqslant p+3$, the invariant tensor theorem yields the zero map. Thus, we have proved

Proposition 4. In the case $q \neq p-1, p, p+1$ theonly natural bilinear operator $T \oplus \Lambda^{p} T \longrightarrow \Lambda^{q} T^{*}$ is the zero operator.
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