

DEDICATED TO PROFESSOR MIECZYŚLAW KUCHARZEWSKI
WITH BEST WISHES ON HIS 70TH BIRTHDAY

Włodzimirz WALISZEWSKI

ON EXTERIOR DIFFERENTIAL IN PREMANIFOLDS OF FINITE DIMENSION

0. Introduction

In [1] there is introduced the concept of the exterior differential of differential forms and the canonical correspondence between differential k -forms and k -linear mappings of local vector fields of premanifolds (see [3] and [4]) is examined. The present paper contains the proof of the Stokes' formula for chains in a premanifold of finite dimension and the de Rham mapping for de Rham cohomology.

1. Preliminaries

Let M be a real or complex premanifold. For any vector field X tangent to M , i.e. a function X with the domain $D_X \in \text{top}M$ and such that $X(p) \in T_p(M)$ for $p \in D_X$. For $\alpha \in M$ we have the function $\partial_X \alpha$ defined on $D_\alpha \cap D_X$ by the formula $(\partial_X \alpha)(p) = X(p)(\alpha)$ for $p \in D_\alpha \cap D_X$. By definition also we have $D_{\partial_X \alpha} = D_\alpha \cap D_X$. The set of all vector fields X tangent to M such that for every $\alpha \in M$ we have $\partial_X \alpha \in M$ will be denoted by $V_{\text{loc}}(M)$. The set $V_{\text{loc}}(M)$ together with the addition and the multiplication by functions of M defined by the formulae: $(X + Y)(p) = X(p) + Y(p)$ for $p \in D_X \cap D_Y$ and $(\alpha \cdot X)(p) = \alpha(p)X(p)$ for $p \in D_X \cap D_\alpha$, $X, Y \in V_{\text{loc}}(M)$, $\alpha \in M$ is a semigroup with local zeros (see [5]). A system (e_1, \dots, e_m) , where e_1, \dots, e_m belong to $V_{\text{loc}}(M)$, $D_{e_1} = \dots = D_{e_m}$ and $(e_1(p), \dots, e_m(p))$ is a base for $T_p M$ is said to be a local vector base in M . A premanifold for which any point of M has a neighbourhood with local vector base in M is said to be of a finite dimension.

A function ω such that $D_\omega \in \text{top}M$ and $\omega(p)$ is an element of $\wedge^k(T_p M)^*$ for $p \in D_\omega$ is said to be a differential k -form in M . A k -form ω is said to be smooth in M iff for any $x_1, \dots, x_k \in V_{\text{loc}}(M)$ the function $\bar{\omega}$ with the domain $D_{\bar{\omega}}(x_1, \dots, x_k)$ equal to $D_\omega \cap D_{x_1} \cap \dots \cap D_{x_k}$ defined by the formula

$$\bar{\omega}(x_1, \dots, x_k)(p) = \langle x_1(p) \wedge \dots \wedge x_k(p) \mid \omega(p) \rangle \quad \text{for } p \in D_{\bar{\omega}}(x_1, \dots, x_k) \quad (1.0)$$

belongs to M . The set of all smooth k -forms we denote by $A^k(M)$. We adopt also $A^0(M) = M$.

The set of all $\eta: (V_{loc}(M))^k \rightarrow M$ such that for any x_1, \dots, x_k , $x \in V_{loc}(M)$ and $\alpha \in M$ we have

$$\eta(x_1 + \alpha x, x_2, \dots, x_k) = \eta(x_1, \dots, x_k) + \omega \eta(x, x_2, \dots, x_k), \quad (1.1)$$

$$\eta(x_{i_1}, \dots, x_{i_k}) = \text{sgn } i \eta(x_1, \dots, x_k) \quad (1.2)$$

for any permutation $i = (i_1, \dots, i_k)$,

will be denoted by $\bar{A}^k(M)$. We adopt also $\bar{A}^0(M) = M$. In [1] we find the proof that if M is n -dimensional, then we have a one-one correspondence $\omega \mapsto \bar{\omega}$ between $A^k(M)$ and $\bar{A}^k(M)$ and the so called natural domain of $\bar{\omega}$ defined by (1.0) is equal to the domain D_ω of ω . This fact allowed to define the concept of the exterior differential $d\omega$ of $\omega \in A^k(M)$ in the following way:

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{d}\omega(x_0, \dots, x_k) &= \sum_{i=0}^k (-1)^i \partial_{x_i} \bar{\omega}(x_0, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_k) + \\ &+ \sum_{i < j} (-1)^{i+j} \bar{\omega}([x_i, x_j], x_0, \dots, x_{i-1}, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{j-1}, x_{j+1}, \dots, x_k) \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

for $x_0, \dots, x_k \in V_{loc}(M)$.

1.1. Proposition. In a premanifold M of finite dimension we have

- (i) $\langle v | d\alpha(p) \rangle = v(\alpha)$ when v is in $T_p M$, $p \in D_\alpha$, $\alpha \in M$,
- (ii) $d(\eta + \omega) = d\eta + d\omega$ for $\eta, \omega \in A^k(M)$,
- (iii) $d(\eta \wedge \omega) = d\eta \wedge \omega + (-1)^k \eta \wedge d\omega$ for $\eta \in A^k(M)$, $\omega \in A^1(M)$,
- (iv) $d \circ d = 0$.

For the proof see [1] and [5].

The local property of the operation d plays an essential part in the work with the exterior differential.

1.2. Lemma. If $\omega \in A^k(M)$, $D_\omega \supset U \in \text{top} M$, $\omega|U = 0$, then $(d\omega)|U = 0$.

Proof. For any $x_1, \dots, x_k \in V_{loc}(M)$ we have $\bar{\omega}(x_1, \dots, x_k)(p) = \langle x_1(p) \wedge \dots \wedge x_k(p) | \omega(p) \rangle = \langle x_1(p) \wedge \dots \wedge x_k(p) | (\omega|U)(p) \rangle = \bar{\omega}|U(x_1, \dots, x_k)(p)$ for $p \in U \cap D_{x_1} \cap \dots \cap D_{x_k}$. We have then

$$\bar{\omega}|U(x_1, \dots, x_k) = \omega(x_1, \dots, x_k)|U. \quad (1.4)$$

Applying (1.3) and (1.4) we get $\overline{d\omega}|_U(x_0, \dots, x_k) = \overline{d(\omega|_U)}(x_0, \dots, x_k) = 0$.
Q.E.D.

As a consequence of 1.2 we get

1.3. **Lemma.** If $\omega \in A^k(M)$ and $D_\omega \supset U \in \text{top}M$, $(d\omega)|_U = d(\omega|_U)$.

2. **Pull back of differential forms.** Let $f: M \rightarrow N$ where M and N are premanifolds of finite dimensions. We have the tangent linear mapping $f_{*p}: T_p M \rightarrow T_{f(p)} N$ defined by the formula $f_{*p} v(p) = v(\beta \circ f)$ for $\beta \in N(f(p))$, v in $T_p M$, where $N(q) = \{\beta; \beta \in N \text{ \& } q \in D_\beta\}$ for $q \in N$. For any $\omega \in A^k(M)$ we define the f -pull-back $f^* \omega$ of ω by the formula

$$\langle v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge v_k | (f^* \omega)(p) = \langle f_{*p} v_1 \wedge \dots \wedge f_{*p} v_k | \omega(f(p)) \rangle \quad (2.0)$$

for v_1, \dots, v_k of $T_p M$, $p \in f^{-1}D_\omega$. From this definition it follows immediately that for any $\eta \in A^k(M)$, $\omega \in A^1(M)$ and $V \in \text{top}N$

$$f^*(\eta \wedge \omega) = f^* \eta \wedge f^* \omega, \quad (2.1)$$

$$f^*(\omega|_V) = (f^* \omega)|_{f^{-1}V}. \quad (2.2)$$

Thus we have

$f^* \omega(x_1, \dots, x_k)(p) = \langle f_{*p} x_1(p) \wedge \dots \wedge f_{*p} x_k(p) | \omega(f(p)) \rangle$ for $p \in D_{x_1} \cap \dots \cap D_{x_k} \cap f^{-1}D_\omega$. It is easy to see that for $g: N \rightarrow P$ where P is of finite dimension we have

$$(g \circ f)^* \Theta = f^* g^* \Theta \quad \text{for } \Theta \in A^k(P).$$

We adopt also $f^* \omega = \omega \circ f$ for $\omega \in A^0(M)$. We will prove the following fact of importance.

2.1. **Lemma.** For $f: M \rightarrow N$, where M and N are of finite dimension and for any $\omega \in A^k(N)$ we have

$$df^* \omega = f^* d\omega.$$

Proof. Let $p \in f^{-1}D_\omega$. Then $f(p) \in D_\omega$ and there exists a local vector base (e_1, \dots, e_n) in N , where e_1, \dots, e_n are defined in a neighbourhood V of the point $f(p)$. For any $\beta \in N(f(p))$ we set

$$l(\beta) = (e_1(f(p))(\beta), \dots, e_n(f(p))(\beta)).$$

We have then the function l defined on the set $N(f(p))$ such that the image $lN(f(p))$ of this set is contained in \mathbb{R}^n for real premanifolds

or in \mathbb{C}^n for complex ones. It is easy to see that $\text{LN}(f(p))$ is a linear subspace of \mathbb{R}^n or \mathbb{C}^n , respectively. If would be $\text{LN}(f(p)) \neq \mathbb{R}^n$ ($\text{LN}(f(p)) \neq \mathbb{C}^n$, resp.), then the set $\text{LN}(f(p))$ should be a subspace of a lower dimension than n . Then should exist numbers c_1, \dots, c_n not vanishing simultaneously such that $c_1 e_1(f(p))(\beta) + \dots + c_n e_n(f(p))(\beta) = 0$ for $\beta \in \text{LN}(f(p))$. Hence it follows that $e_1(f(p)), \dots, e_n(f(p))$ would be linearly dependent. Therefore there exist $\beta^1, \dots, \beta^n \in \text{LN}(f(p))$ for which $l(\beta^j) = (\beta_1^j, \dots, \beta_n^j)$, $j=1, \dots, n$. Hence it follows that there is a neighbourhood of $f(p)$ such that for any q in this neighbourhood we have non-singular matrix

$$[e_i(q)(\beta^j); 1, j \leq n]. \quad (2.3)$$

We may assume that V is such a neighbourhood. Taking the matrix $[\gamma_h^i(q); h, 1 \leq n]$ being inverse to (2.3) for $q \in V$ we have $\gamma_h^i(q) e_i(q)(\beta^j) = \delta_h^j$, $h, j=1, \dots, n$. We may assume that functions γ_h^i have the set V as their domains. Thus,

$$\gamma_h^i \partial_{e_i} \beta^j = \delta_h^j.$$

We have $\partial_{e_i} \beta^j \in N$, $h, j=1, \dots, n$. Therefore $\gamma_h^i \in N$. Setting

$$g_h(q) = \gamma_h^i(q) e_i(q) \quad \text{for } q \in V, h=1, \dots, n$$

we get a vector base (g_1, \dots, g_n) in M such that

$$\partial_{g_h} \beta^j = \delta_h^j, \quad h, j=1, \dots, n.$$

Let us set for $q \in V$

$$\omega_0(q) = \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_k} \langle g_{i_1}(q) \wedge \dots \wedge g_{i_k}(q) | \omega(q) \rangle d\beta^{i_1}(q) \wedge \dots \wedge d\beta^{i_k}(q) \quad (2.4)$$

We have $\langle g_{i_1}(q) | d\beta^j(q) \rangle = g_{i_1}(q)(\beta^j) = (\partial_{g_{i_1}} \beta^j)(q) = \delta_{i_1}^j$ for $q \in V$.

For any $q \in V$ we have then the base $(d\beta^{i_1}(q), \dots, d\beta^{i_k}(q))$ for $(T_q N)^*$. By (2.4), for $q \in V$ and $h_1 < \dots < h_k$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle g_{h_1}(q) \wedge \dots \wedge g_{h_k}(q) | \omega_0(q) \rangle = \\ & = \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_k} \langle g_{i_1}(q) \wedge \dots \wedge g_{i_k}(q) | \omega(q) \rangle \langle g_{h_1}(q) \wedge \dots \wedge g_{h_k}(q) | d\beta^{i_1}(q) \wedge \dots \wedge d\beta^{i_k}(q) \rangle = \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_k} \langle g_{i_1}(q) \wedge \dots \wedge g_{i_k}(q) | \omega(q) \rangle \det [\langle g_{h_r}(q) | \beta^s(q) \rangle; r, s \leq k] = \\
 &= \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_k} \langle g_{i_1}(q) \wedge \dots \wedge g_{i_k}(q) | \omega(q) \rangle \det [\delta_{h_r}^{i_s}; r, s \leq k] = \\
 &= \langle g_{h_1}(q) \wedge \dots \wedge g_{h_k}(q) | \omega(q) \rangle. \text{ Hence it follows that } \omega_0(q) = \omega(q)
 \end{aligned}$$

for $q \in V$. Setting for $q \in V$

$$\omega_{i_1 \dots i_k}(q) = \langle g_{i_1}(q) \wedge \dots \wedge g_{i_k}(q) | \omega(q) \rangle$$

we may rewrite (2.4) as

$$\omega(q) = \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_k} \omega_{i_1 \dots i_k}(q) d\beta^{i_1}(q) \wedge \dots \wedge d\beta^{i_k}(q) \text{ for } q \in V,$$

or equivalently in the form

$$\omega|_V = \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_k} \omega_{i_1 \dots i_k} d\beta^{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge d\beta^{i_k}. \tag{2.5}$$

From (2.5) by (2.1) it follows that

$$f^*(\omega|_V) = \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_k} f^* \omega_{i_1 \dots i_k} f^* d\beta^{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge f^* d\beta^{i_k}.$$

For any $\beta \in N$ and $q \in f^{-1}D_\beta$, by (2.0) and (i) we have $\langle v | (f^*d\beta)(q) \rangle = \langle f_*v | (d\beta)(f(q)) \rangle = d\beta(f(q))(f_*v) = f_*v(\beta) = v(f^*\beta) = (df^*\beta)(q)(v) = \langle v | (df^*\beta)(q) \rangle$ for v in T_qM . Thus

$$f^*d\beta = df^*\beta \text{ for } \beta \in M. \tag{2.6}$$

This yields

$$f^*(\omega|_V) = \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_k} f^* \omega_{i_1 \dots i_k} df^*\beta^{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge df^*\beta^{i_k}.$$

According to (ii)-(iv), (2.6) and (2.1) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 df^*(\omega|V) &= \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_k} df^*\omega_{i_1 \dots i_k} df^*\beta^{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge df^*\beta^{i_k} = \\
 &= f^* \sum_{i_1 < \dots < i_k} d\omega_{i_1 \dots i_k} \wedge d\beta^{i_1} \wedge \dots \wedge d\beta^{i_k} = f^*d(\omega|V).
 \end{aligned}$$

From 1.3 and (2.2) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned}
 (df^*\omega) f^{-1}V &= d((f^*\omega) f^{-1}V) = df^*(\omega|V) = f^*d(\omega|V) = \\
 &= f^*((d\omega)|V) = (f^*d\omega)|f^{-1}V.
 \end{aligned}$$

This ends the proof of 2.1.

3. Chains in a premanifold. For any natural number n the set R^n of all real-valued functions of C^∞ class on open sets in R^n is a premanifold. The set Δ_n of all points (x^1, \dots, x^n) such that $0 \leq x^i \leq 1$, $i=1, \dots, n$ and $x^1 + \dots + x^n \leq 1$ gives a premanifold Δ_n of the shape $R_{\Delta_n}^n$. Setting $x = (x_1, \dots, x^n)$,

$$t_n^0(x) = 1 - \sum_{i=1}^n x^i \quad \text{and} \quad t_n^i(x) = x^i, \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

we have the barycentric coordinates of the point x .

Any smooth mapping $s: \Delta_n \rightarrow M$ will be called a singular n -simplex in M . The set of all simplices in M will be denoted by $S_n(M)$. Every function $c: S_n(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that the set of all $s \in S_n(M)$ for which $c(s) \neq 0$ is finite will be called a singular n -chain in M or, shortly, n -chain in M . The set of all n -chains in M will be denoted by $C_n(M)$.

For any $s \in S_n(M)$ and any $a \in \mathbb{R}$ we set $(as)(u) = a$ if $u = s$, and $(as)(u) = 0$ if $s \neq u \in S_n(M)$. In such a way we have defined the chain $as \in C_n(M)$. It is evident that any $c \in C_n(M)$ may be written in the form $c = c(s_1)s_1 + \dots + c(s_k)s_k$ where s_1, \dots, s_k are all of $s \in S_n(M)$ for which $c(s) \neq 0$. It is convenient to write

$$c = \sum_s c(s)s.$$

For any smooth $f: M \rightarrow N$ and $c \in C_n(M)$ setting $f_*c = \sum_s c(s) \circ s$ we get the mapping $f_*: C_n(M) \rightarrow C_n(N)$. The set $C_n(M)$ may be treated in a natural way as a linear space. Now we take the standard inclusions $\Delta_{n,1}: \Delta_n \rightarrow \Delta_{n+1}$ setting for any $x \in \Delta_n$

$$t_{n+1}^j(\Delta_{n,i}(x)) = \begin{cases} t_n^j(x) & \text{if } j < i, \\ 0 & \text{if } j = i, \\ t_n^{j-1}(x) & \text{if } j > i. \end{cases}$$

$i = 0, \dots, n$ and $j = 0, \dots, n+1$. This definition yields

$$\Delta_{n+1,h} \circ \Delta_{n,i} = \Delta_{n+1,i+1} \circ \Delta_{n,h} \quad \text{if } h \leq i. \quad (3.1)$$

For any $s \in S_n(M)$ we define $(n-1)$ -chain ∂s by the formula

$$\partial s = \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^i s \circ \Delta_{n-1,i} \quad (3.2)$$

called the border of s . For any $c \in C_n(M)$ we define its border by the formula

$$\partial c = \sum_s c(s) \partial s. \quad (3.3)$$

Equalities (3.1) - (3.3) yield $\partial \partial c = 0$.

4. Stokes' formula. At every point $x \in \mathbb{R}^k$ we have a base $\partial_{1x}^k, \dots, \partial_{kx}^k$ for $T_x \mathbb{R}^k$ of vectors defined by the formulae $\partial_{ix}^k(\alpha) = \partial_i \alpha(x)$ for $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}^k(x)$, ∂_i is the partial derivation with respect to i -th variable. Taking the mapping

$$id_{\Delta_k} : \Delta_k \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^k$$

we remark that there exists a unique system of vectors $\partial_{1x}^k, \dots, \partial_{kx}^k$ being a vector base for $T_x \Delta_k$ such that

$$id_{\Delta_k} * x \partial_{ix}^k = \partial_{ix}^k, \quad i=1, \dots, k.$$

For any $s \in S_k(M)$ and $\omega \in A^k(M)$ the integral

$$\int_{\Delta_k} \langle \partial_{1x}^k \wedge \dots \wedge \partial_{kx}^k | s^* \omega(x) \rangle dx$$

will be denoted by $\int_s \omega$. For any $c \in C_k(M)$ we set

$$\int_c \omega = \sum_c c(s) \int_s \omega.$$

The number $\int_c \omega$ just defined will be called the integral of the form ω along the chain c .

Theorem (Stokes' formula). If M is a premanifold of finite dimension, then for any $\omega \in A^k(M)$ and any $c \in C_{k+1}(M)$

$$\int_c d\omega = \int_{\partial c} \omega.$$

Proof. By linearity of the mapping $(c \mapsto \int_c \omega): C_k(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ it suffices to prove that

$$\int_s d\omega = \sum_{h=0}^{k+1} (-1)^h \int_{s \circ \Delta_{k,h}} \omega$$

or, what by 2.1 is equivalent to

$$\int_{i_{k+1}} \Theta = \sum_{h=0}^{k+1} (-1)^h \int_{\Delta_{k,h}} \Theta, \quad (3.4)$$

where $\Theta = s^* \omega$ and $i_{k+1}(z) = z$ for $z \in \Delta_{k+1}$. The right-hand side of (3.4) may be written as $\int_{\partial i_{k+1}} \Theta$. Formula (3.4) takes the form

$$\int_{i_{k+1}} d\Theta = \int_{\partial i_{k+1}} \Theta.$$

This formula is nothing but the Stokes' formula known in advanced calculus.

Linearity of ∂ and the equality $\partial \circ \partial = 0$ allows us to define the k -th singular homology group $H_k(M)$ of the premanifold M . Stokes' formula allows us to consider the de Rham mapping

$$((h, w) \mapsto \langle h, w \rangle): H_k(M) \times H^k(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

for a premanifold of finite dimension, where for any homology class h in $H_k(M)$ and any cohomology class w in $H^k(M)$ we set

$$\langle h, w \rangle = \int_c \omega, \quad c \in h, \quad \omega \in w.$$

REFERENCES

- [1] H. Matuszczyk: Exterior differential forms in premanifolds (to appear).
- [2] H. Matuszczyk, W. Waliszewski: Stokes' formula for chains in a differential space of finite dimension, Colloq. Math. 53(1987), pp. 211-218.
- [3] W. Waliszewski: Analytical premanifolds, Zeszyty Naukowe Politechniki Śląskiej, Seria: Matematyka-Fizyka 48 (1986), pp. 217-226.
- [4] -, Complex premanifolds and foliations, Seminar on Deformations, Lublin-Łódź 1985/87, D. Reidel Publishing Company, Dordrecht.
- [5] -, Abelian inverse semigroups and some skew-differential operations in semigroups (to appear).