

Brian FISHER, Andrzej KAMIŃSKI, Adem KILIÇMAN

THE NEUTRIX CONVOLUTION PRODUCT

$$(X_-^\lambda \ln^R X_-) \circledast (X_+^\mu \ln^S X_+)$$

Summary. The existence of the neutrix convolution product $f \circledast g$ as well as the explicit formulae are proved for the distributions $f(x) = x_-^\lambda \ln^r x_-$ and $g(x) = x_+^\mu \ln^s x_+$, where $r, s \in \{0\} \cup \mathbf{N}$ and λ, μ are real numbers such that $\lambda, \mu \notin -\mathbf{N}$ and $\lambda + \mu \notin \mathbf{Z}$, and for some related pairs of distributions. The theorems of the paper generalize earlier results proved in the case $r = s = 0$ and $\lambda, \mu, \lambda + \mu \notin \mathbf{Z}$.

SPLOT $(X_-^\lambda \ln^R X_-) \circledast (X_+^\mu \ln^S X_+)$ W SENSIE NEUTRIKSU

Streszczenie. W pracy dowodzi się istnienia splotu $f \circledast g$ w sensie neutriksu i znajduje jego wartość dla dystrybucji $f(x) = x_-^\lambda \ln^r x_-$ i $g(x) = x_+^\mu \ln^s x_+$, gdzie $r, s \in \{0\} \cup \mathbf{N}$, a λ, μ są liczbami rzeczywistymi, takimi że $\lambda, \mu \notin -\mathbf{N}$ i $\lambda + \mu \notin \mathbf{Z}$, a także dla pewnych innych par dystrybucji. Twierdzenia podane w pracy uogólniają wcześniejsze wyniki otrzymane w przypadku, gdy $r = s = 0$ oraz $\lambda, \mu, \lambda + \mu \notin \mathbf{Z}$.

In the following we denote by \mathbf{Z} the set of all integers, by \mathbf{N} the set of all positive integers, by $-\mathbf{N}$ the set of all negative integers, by \mathbf{N}_0 the set of all nonnegative integers, by $-\mathbf{N}_0$ the set of all nonpositive integers and by \mathbf{R} the set of all reals.

Moreover, we let \mathcal{D} be the space of infinitely differentiable functions on \mathbf{R} with compact support and \mathcal{D}' be the space of distributions on \mathbf{R} , i.e. linear continuous functionals defined on \mathcal{D} endowed with an appropriate topology (see e.g. [7]).

Definition 1. Suppose that f and g are distributions in \mathcal{D}' whose supports A and B satisfy the following condition of compatibility: for every compact set $K \subset \mathbf{R}$, the set $(K - A) \cap B$ is compact in \mathbf{R} . Then the convolution product $f * g$ in \mathcal{D}' is defined by the formula:

$$\langle (f * g)(x), \phi \rangle = \langle f(x), \langle g(y), \phi(x + y) \rangle \rangle$$

for arbitrary ϕ in \mathcal{D} (cf. [7]).

Obviously, the above definition embraces the two following particular cases of compatible supports:

- (a) either A or B is bounded;
- (b) A and B are bounded on the same side.

It follows from Definition 1 that if the convolution product $f * g$ exists, then also the convolution products $g * f$, $f * g'$, $f' * g$ and $f^\vee * g^\vee$ exist and

$$f * g = g * f, \quad (1)$$

$$(f * g)' = f * g' = f' * g, \quad (2)$$

$$(f \circledast g)^\vee = f^\vee \circledast g^\vee, \quad (3)$$

where $^\vee$ is the operation of replacing variable x by $-x$, defined formally as follows: $\phi^\vee(x) := \phi(-x)$ for $\phi \in \mathcal{D}$, $x \in \mathbf{R}$ and $\langle f^\vee, \phi \rangle := \langle f, \phi^\vee \rangle$ for $f \in \mathcal{D}'$, $\phi \in \mathcal{D}$ (see [6]).

There exist in the literature various general, without any restrictions on the supports, definitions of the convolution product of distributions (cf. [6]), but for many pairs of distributions such convolution products do not exist.

In [2] the neutrix convolution product was defined so that it exists for a considerably larger class of pairs of distributions. In order to recall the definition of the neutrix convolution product we first of all let τ be a fixed function in \mathcal{D} satisfying the following properties:

- (i) $\tau(x) = \tau(-x)$,
- (ii) $0 \leq \tau(x) \leq 1$,
- (iii) $\tau(x) = 1$ for $|x| \leq \frac{1}{2}$,
- (iv) $\tau(x) = 0$ for $|x| \geq 1$.

Next we define the sequence $\{\tau_n\}$ of functions setting

$$\tau_n(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } |x| \leq n \\ \tau(n^n x - n^{n+1}) & \text{if } x > n \\ \tau(n^n x + n^{n+1}) & \text{if } x < -n, \end{cases}$$

for $n \in \mathbf{N}$.

Throughout the paper, given a distribution f , by f_n we denote the distributions of the form

$$f_n := f \tau_n$$

for $n \in \mathbf{N}$.

The notion of a neutrix which allows the extension of limits of numerical sequences was introduced by van der Corput in [1] and is based on a suitably chosen set of negligible functions.

As in [2], we adopt in this paper the following definition of negligible functions:

Definition 2. *The set of negligible functions of the neutrix N with the domain $N' = \mathbf{N}$ and the range $N'' = \mathbf{R}$ consists of all finite linear sums of the functions*

$$n^\lambda \ln^{r-1} n, \ln^r n \quad (\lambda > 0, r \in \mathbf{N})$$

and all functions which converge to zero in the usual sense as n tends to infinity.

Recall now the definition of the neutrix convolution product given in [2].

Definition 3. *The neutrix convolution product $f \circledast g$ of two distributions f and g in \mathcal{D}' is defined as the neutrix limit of the sequence $\{f_n * g\}$, provided that the limit $h \in \mathcal{D}'$ exists in the sense that*

$$\text{N-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle f_n * g, \phi \rangle = \langle h, \phi \rangle$$

for all ϕ in \mathcal{D} , where N is the neutrix described in Definition 2.

Note that in this definition the convolution product $f_n * g$ is meant in the sense of Definition 1 (the distributions f_n have bounded support since the support of τ_n is contained in the interval $[-n - n^{-n}, n + n^{-n}]$) and that the distribution h in Definition 3 is unique.

The following theorem was proved in [6] and shows that Definition 3 is an extension of Definition 1.

Theorem 1. *Let f and g be distributions with compatible supports. Then the neutrix convolution product $f \circledast g$ exists and*

$$f \circledast g = f * g.$$

The neutrix convolution product has the following important properties, analogous to the first of equations in (2) and to (3) (see [2] and [6]):

Theorem 2. *Let f and g be distributions in \mathcal{D}' and suppose that the neutrix convolution product $f \circledast g$ exists. Then the neutrix convolution products $f \circledast g'$ and $f^\vee \circledast g^\vee$ exist and*

$$\begin{aligned}(f \circledast g)' &= f \circledast g'; \\ (f \circledast g)^\vee &= f^\vee \circledast g^\vee.\end{aligned}$$

Note however that equation (1) does not necessarily hold for the neutrix convolution product and that $(f \circledast g)'$ is not necessarily equal to $f' \circledast g$.

In [2] the following result was obtained:

Theorem 3. *The neutrix convolution product $x_-^\lambda \circledast x_+^s$ exists and*

$$x_-^\lambda \circledast x_+^s = (-1)^{s+1} B(\lambda + 1, s + 1) x_-^{\lambda+s+1} \quad (4)$$

for $\lambda \in (-1, \infty)$ and $s \in \mathbf{N}_0$, where B denotes the beta function.

Later, the following two theorems were proved in [3] and [4], respectively:

Theorem 4. *The neutrix convolution product $x_-^\lambda \circledast x_+^s$ exists and satisfies equation (4) for $\lambda \in (-\infty, -1] \setminus (-\mathbf{N})$ and $s \in \mathbf{N}_0$.*

Theorem 5. *The neutrix convolution product $x_-^s \circledast x_+^\lambda$ exists and*

$$x_-^s \circledast x_+^\lambda = (-1)^{s+1} B(\lambda + 1, s + 1) x_+^{\lambda+s+1}$$

for $\lambda \in \mathbf{R} \setminus \mathbf{Z}$ and $s \in \mathbf{N}_0$.

The next theorem was proved in [5].

Theorem 6. *The neutrix convolution product $x_-^\lambda \circledast x_+^\mu$ exists and*

$$x_-^\lambda \circledast x_+^\mu = B(-\lambda - \mu - 1, \mu + 1) x_-^{\lambda+\mu+1} + B(-\lambda - \mu - 1, \lambda + 1) x_+^{\lambda+\mu+1}, \quad (5)$$

for $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbf{R}$ such that $\lambda, \mu, \lambda + \mu \notin \mathbf{Z}$.

In the following, we are going to generalize the last theorem by proving the existence of the convolution products of the form $(x_-^\lambda \ln^r x_-) \circledast (x_+^\mu \ln^s x_+)$ for all $r, s \in \mathbf{N}_0$ and λ, μ such that $\lambda, \mu \notin -\mathbf{N}$ and $\lambda + \mu \notin \mathbf{Z}$. It appears that these convolution products may be expressed in a concise form as the respective distributional derivatives with respect to λ and μ of the right hand side of (5). For this aim we need some auxiliary results on the beta function.

It was proved in [9] that

$$B_{r,s}(\lambda, \mu) := D_\lambda^r D_\mu^s B(\lambda, \mu) = \mathbf{N}\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{1/n}^{1-1/n} t^{\lambda-1} \ln^r t (1-t)^{\mu-1} \ln^s(1-t) dt$$

for $r, s \in \mathbf{N}_0$ and $\lambda, \mu \notin -\mathbf{N}_0$, where

$$D_\lambda^r := \frac{\partial^r}{\partial \lambda^r}, \quad D_\mu^s := \frac{\partial^s}{\partial \mu^s}.$$

In particular, if $\mu > 0$ and $\lambda \notin -\mathbf{N}_0$, the above expression can be replaced by

$$B_{r,s}(\lambda, \mu) = \mathbf{N}\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{1/n}^1 t^{\lambda-1} \ln^r t (1-t)^{\mu-1} \ln^s(1-t) dt. \quad (6)$$

In the lemma below (α_n) is the sequence of positive numbers tending to 0, given by one of the formulae:

- (a) $\alpha_n = 1/n$ for $n \in \mathbf{N}$;
- (b) $\alpha_n = x/n$ for $n \in \mathbf{N}$;
- (c) $\alpha_n = x/(x+n)$ for $n \in \mathbf{N}$.

Lemma. *If $x > 0$, then*

$$B_{r,s}(\lambda, \mu) = \mathbf{N}\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\alpha_n}^1 t^{\lambda-1} \ln^r t (1-t)^{\mu-1} \ln^s(1-t) dt \quad (7)$$

for $r, s \in \mathbf{N}_0$, $\mu \in (0, \infty)$ and $\lambda \in \mathbf{R} \setminus (-\mathbf{N}_0)$, where (α_n) is any of the three numerical sequences given by formulas (a) – (c) above.

Proof. Choose a positive integer p such that $p + \lambda > 0$ and let $\sum_{i=0}^{p-1} a_i t^i$ be the sum of the first p terms in the Taylor expansion of $(1-t)^{\mu-1} \ln^s(1-t)$.

We shall prove first that

$$\mathbf{N}\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\alpha_n}^1 t^{\lambda+i-1} \ln^r t dt = \frac{(-1)^r r!}{(\lambda+i)^{r+1}} \quad (8)$$

for $r \in \mathbf{N}_0$ in all cases (a) – (c), defined above.

Since $\lambda + i \neq 0$, we have

$$\int_{\alpha}^1 t^{\lambda+i-1} dt = \frac{1 - \alpha^{\lambda+i}}{\lambda + i} \quad (9)$$

and further, if $r \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\int_{\alpha}^1 t^{\lambda+i-1} \ln^r t dt = -\frac{\alpha^{\lambda+i} \ln^{r+1} \alpha}{\lambda + i} - \frac{r}{\lambda + i} \int_{\alpha}^1 t^{\lambda+i-1} \ln^{r-1} t dt. \quad (10)$$

Replacing α in (9) and (10) by $\alpha_n = x/(x+n)$, we have

$$\int_{\alpha_n}^1 t^{\lambda+i-1} dt = \frac{1 - n^{-\lambda-i} x^{\lambda+i} (1 + x/n)^{-\lambda-i}}{\lambda + i}$$

and

$$\int_{\alpha_n}^1 t^{\lambda+i-1} \ln^r t dt = -\frac{1}{(\lambda + i)} \left[\alpha_n^{\lambda+i} (\ln x - \ln(x+n))^r + r \int_{\alpha_n}^1 t^{\lambda+i-1} \ln^{r-1} t dt \right],$$

since λ is not an integer. It follows by induction that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{x/(x+n)}^1 t^{\lambda+i-1} \ln^{r+1} t dt = \frac{(-1)^r r!}{(\lambda + i)^{r+1}}$$

for $r \in \mathbb{N}$, i.e. (8) follows in case (c).

In a similar way, one can check that (8) holds true in cases (a) and (b).

Since $p + \lambda > 0$, the integral

$$I := \int_0^1 t^{\lambda-1} \ln^r t \left[(1-t)^{\mu-1} \ln^s(1-t) - \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} a_i t^i \right] dt$$

exists. Hence, in view of (6) and (8),

$$\begin{aligned} B_{r,s}(\lambda, \mu) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{1/n}^1 t^{\lambda-1} \ln^r t (1-t)^{\mu-1} \ln^s(1-t) dt \\ &= I + \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} a_i \left[\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{1/n}^1 t^{\lambda+i-1} \ln^r t dt \right] \\ &= I + \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} a_i \left[\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\alpha_n}^1 t^{\lambda+i-1} \ln^r t dt \right] \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\alpha_n}^1 t^{\lambda-1} \ln^r t (1-t)^{\mu-1} \ln^s(1-t) dt \end{aligned}$$

for the sequences (α_n) of the form (b) and (c).

Consequently, equation (7) follows. \square

Theorem 7. *The neutrix convolution product $(x_-^\lambda \ln^r x_-) \circledast (x_+^\mu \ln^s x_+)$ exists and*

$$\begin{aligned} & (x_-^\lambda \ln^r x_-) \circledast (x_+^\mu \ln^s x_+) \\ &= D_\lambda^r D_\mu^s [B(-\lambda - \mu - 1, \mu + 1) x_-^{\lambda+\mu+1} + B(-\lambda - \mu - 1, \lambda + 1) x_+^{\lambda+\mu+1}] \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

for $r, s \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R} \setminus (-\mathbb{N})$ such that $\lambda + \mu \notin \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. The proof will consist of three parts, depending on the values of λ and μ . In all three parts we assume that $r, s \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

Part I: $\lambda, \mu > -1$; $\lambda + \mu \notin \{-1\} \cup \mathbb{N}_0$.

First notice that in our case $x_-^\lambda \ln^r x_-$ and $x_+^\mu \ln^s x_+$ are locally integrable functions. Put

$$(x_-^\lambda \ln^r x_-)_n := (x_-^\lambda \ln^r x_-) \tau_n(x).$$

Then the convolution product $(x_-^\lambda \ln^r x_-)_n * (x_+^\mu \ln^s x_+)$ exists both in the sense of Definition 1 and in the classical sense and we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (x_-^\lambda \ln^r x_-)_n * (x_+^\mu \ln^s x_+) \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} y_-^\lambda \ln^r y_- \tau_n(y) (x - y)_+^\mu \ln^s (x - y)_+ dy = I_1^n + I_2^n, \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

where

$$I_1^n := \int_{-n}^0 (-y)^\lambda \ln^r(-y) (x - y)_+^\mu \ln^s(x - y)_+ dy; \quad (13)$$

$$I_2^n := \int_{-n-n}^{-n} (-y)^\lambda \ln^r(-y) \tau_n(y) (x - y)_+^\mu \ln^s(x - y)_+ dy. \quad (14)$$

In the case $x < 0$, we substitute in the integral in (13) $y = xt^{-1}$ and obtain

$$\begin{aligned} I_1^n &= \int_{-n}^x (-y)^\lambda \ln^r(-y) (x - y)^\mu \ln^s(x - y) dy \\ &= (-x)^{\lambda+\mu+1} \int_{-x/n}^1 t^{-\lambda-\mu-2} [\ln(-x) - \ln t]^r (1 - t)^\mu [\ln(-x) + \ln(1 - t) - \ln t]^s dt \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^r \sum_{k=0}^s \sum_{j=0}^k c_{i,j,k} I_{i,j,k}^n (-x)^{\lambda+\mu+1} \ln^{r+s-i-k}(-x), \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

where

$$c_{i,j,k} := (-1)^{i+j} \binom{r}{i} \binom{s}{k} \binom{k}{j}; \quad I_{i,j,k}^n := \int_{-x/n}^1 t^{-\lambda-\mu-2} \ln^{i+j} t (1 - t)^\mu \ln^{k-j}(1 - t) dt$$

for the respective integers i, j, k .

Since $-x > 0$, it follows from the lemma that

$$\mathbf{N}\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I_{i,j,k}^n = B_{i+j,k-j}(-\lambda - \mu - 1, \mu + 1) \quad (16)$$

for the respective i, j, k .

On the other hand, using the formula $x_+^\lambda \ln^p x_+ = D_\lambda^p x_+^\lambda$ for $p \in \mathbf{N}$ (see [8]) and the equations:

$$\begin{aligned} D_\lambda B_{l,m}(-\lambda - \mu - 1, \mu + 1) &= -B_{l+1,m}(-\lambda - \mu - 1, \mu + 1); \\ D_\mu B_{l,m}(-\lambda - \mu - 1, \mu + 1) &= \\ &= -B_{l+1,m}(-\lambda - \mu - 1, \mu + 1) + B_{l,m+1}(-\lambda - \mu - 1, \mu + 1), \end{aligned}$$

valid for any $l, m \in \mathbf{N}$, one can prove by induction with respect to $r + s$ that

$$\begin{aligned} D_\lambda^r D_\mu^s [B(-\lambda - \mu - 1, \mu + 1) x_-^{\lambda+\mu+1}] &= \\ = \sum_{i=0}^r \sum_{k=0}^s \sum_{j=0}^k c_{i,j,k} B_{i+j,k-j}(-\lambda - \mu - 1, \mu + 1) x_-^{\lambda+\mu+1} \ln^{r+s-i-k} x_-. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

Combining (15), (16) and (17), we get

$$\mathbf{N}\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I_1^n = D_\lambda^r D_\mu^s [B(-\lambda - \mu - 1, \mu + 1) x_-^{\lambda+\mu+1}] \quad (18)$$

in the case $x < 0$.

If $x > 0$, we use the substitution $y = x(1 - t^{-1})$ in the integral in (13) and then

$$\begin{aligned} I_1^n &= \int_{-n}^0 (-y)^\lambda \ln^r(-y)(x - y)^\mu \ln^s(x - y) dy \\ &= x^{\lambda+\mu+1} \int_{x/(x+n)}^1 t^{-\lambda-\mu-2} [\ln x + \ln(1 - t) - \ln t]^r (1 - t)^\lambda [\ln x - \ln t]^s dt \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^s \sum_{k=0}^r \sum_{j=0}^k c_{i,j,k} J_{i,j,k}^n x^{\lambda+\mu+1} \ln^{r+s-i-k} x \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

where

$$J_{i,j,k}^n := \int_{x/(x+n)}^1 t^{-\lambda-\mu-2} \ln^{i+j} t (1 - t)^\lambda \ln^{k-j}(1 - t) dt.$$

By the lemma,

$$\mathbf{N}\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} J_{i,j,k}^n = B_{i+j,k-j}(-\lambda - \mu - 1, \lambda + 1) \quad (20)$$

for the respective integers i, j, k .

On the other hand, replacing $-x$ by x and interchanging λ and μ as well as r and s in (17), we get

$$\begin{aligned} D_\lambda^r D_\mu^s [B(-\lambda - \mu - 1, \lambda + 1) x_-^{\lambda+\mu+1}] &= \\ = \sum_{i=0}^s \sum_{k=0}^r \sum_{j=0}^k c_{i,j,k} B_{i+j,k-j}(-\lambda - \mu - 1, \lambda + 1) x_+^{\lambda+\mu+1} \ln^{r+s-i-k} x_+. \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

Combining (19), (20) and (21), we obtain

$$\mathbf{N}\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I_1^n = D_\lambda^r D_\mu^s [B(-\lambda - \mu - 1, \lambda + 1) x_+^{\lambda+\mu+1}] \quad (22)$$

in the case $x > 0$.

Further, it is easily seen that

$$I_2^n = O(n^{-n+\lambda+\mu} \ln^{r+s} n),$$

so

$$\mathbf{N}\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I_2^n = 0. \quad (23)$$

It now follows from equations (12), (18), (22) and (23) that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{N}\text{-}\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (x_-^\lambda \ln^r x_-)_n * (x_+^\mu \ln^s x_+) &= \\ &= D_\lambda^r D_\mu^s [B(-\lambda - \mu - 1, \mu + 1) x_-^{\lambda+\mu+1} + B(-\lambda - \mu - 1, \lambda + 1) x_+^{\lambda+\mu+1}], \end{aligned}$$

which completes the proof of Part I.

Part II: $\lambda > -1$; $\mu \notin -\mathbf{N}$; $\lambda + \mu \notin \mathbf{Z}$.

Denote $\mathbf{N}_0^- := \emptyset$, $\mathbf{N}_i^- := \{-1, -2, \dots, -i\}$ and

$$X_i := \{(\lambda, \mu) : \lambda > -1, \mu > -i, \mu \notin \mathbf{N}_{i-1}^-, \lambda + \mu \notin \mathbf{N}_i^- \cup \mathbf{N}_0, \}.$$

for $i \in \mathbf{N}$.

To prove the assertion of the theorem under the conditions of this part we will show the existence of $(x_-^\lambda \ln^r x_-) * (x_+^\mu \ln^s x_+)$ and equation (11) for arbitrary $i \in \mathbf{N}$ and $(\lambda, \mu) \in X_i$ by induction with respect to i .

For $i = 1$ our assertion is valid, in view of Part I. Fix $k \in \mathbf{N}$ and suppose that the assertion holds true for $i = k$. Now take $(\lambda, \mu) \in X_{k+1}$ and put $\bar{\mu} := \mu + 1$. Since $(\lambda, \bar{\mu}) \in X_k$ and $\bar{\mu} \neq 0$, the neutrix convolution product $(x_-^\lambda \ln^r x_-) * (x_+^{\bar{\mu}} \ln^s x_+)$ exists for arbitrary $r, s \in \mathbf{N}_0$. Moreover, by Theorem 2 and induction hypothesis, the neutrix convolution product $(x_-^\lambda \ln^r x_-) * (x_+^{\bar{\mu}} \ln^s x_+)'$ exists and

$$\begin{aligned} (x_-^\lambda \ln^r x_-) * (x_+^{\bar{\mu}} \ln^s x_+)' &= (x_-^\lambda \ln^r x_-) * [\bar{\mu} x_+^{\bar{\mu}} \ln^s x_+ + s x_+^{\bar{\mu}} \ln^{s-1} x_+] = \\ &= [(x_-^\lambda \ln^r x_-) * (x_+^{\bar{\mu}} \ln^s x_+)]' = D_\lambda^r D_\mu^s [(\lambda + \mu + 2) f_{\lambda, \mu}(x)], \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

for $r, s \in \mathbf{N}_0$, where

$$f_{\lambda, \mu}(x) := B(\lambda - \mu - 2, \mu + 2) x_-^{\lambda+\mu+1} + B(\lambda - \mu - 2, \lambda + 1) x_+^{\lambda+\mu+1}.$$

Notice that

$$-(\lambda + \mu + 2) B(-\lambda - \mu - 2, \mu + 2) = \bar{\mu} B(-\lambda - \mu - 1, \mu + 1); \quad (25)$$

$$(\lambda + \mu + 2) B(-\lambda - \mu - 2, \lambda + 1) = \bar{\mu} B(-\lambda - \mu - 1, \lambda + 1), \quad (26)$$

due to the known property of the gamma function and its relation to the beta function. It follows from (25) and (26) that

$$(\lambda + \mu + 2)f_{\lambda,\mu}(x) = \bar{\mu}g_{\lambda,\mu}(x), \quad (27)$$

where

$$g_{\lambda,\mu}(x) := B(-\lambda - \mu - 1, \mu + 1)x_-^{\lambda+\mu+1} + B(-\lambda - \mu - 1, \lambda + 1)x_+^{\lambda+\mu+1}.$$

By (27),

$$\begin{aligned} D_\lambda^r D_\mu^s [(\lambda + \mu + 2)f_{\lambda,\mu}(x)] &= D_\lambda^r D_\mu^{s-1} D_\mu^1 [(\mu + 1)g_{\lambda,\mu}(x)] = \\ &= D_\lambda^r D_\mu^{s-1} [(\mu + 1)D_\mu^1 g_{\lambda,\mu}(x)] + D_\lambda^r D_\mu^{s-1} g_{\lambda,\mu}(x) = \\ &= D_\lambda^r D_\mu^{s-2} [(\mu + 1)D_\mu^2 g_{\lambda,\mu}(x)] + 2D_\lambda^r D_\mu^{s-1} g_{\lambda,\mu}(x). \end{aligned}$$

and, by induction,

$$\begin{aligned} D_\lambda^r D_\mu^s [(\lambda + \mu + 2)f_{\lambda,\mu}(x)] &= \\ &= D_\lambda^r [(\mu + 1)D_\mu^s g_{\lambda,\mu}(x)] + sD_\lambda^r D_\mu^{s-1} g_{\lambda,\mu}(x). \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, by (24),

$$\begin{aligned} (x_-^\lambda \ln^r x_-) \circledast [\bar{\mu}x_+^\mu \ln^s x_+ + sx_+^\mu \ln^{s-1} x_+] \\ = \bar{\mu}D_\lambda^r D_\mu^s g_{\lambda,\mu}(x) + sD_\lambda^r D_\mu^{s-1} g_{\lambda,\mu}(x) \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

for $r, s \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

Taking $s = 0$, we see that $(x_-^\lambda \ln^r x_-) \circledast x_+^\mu$ exists and, since $\bar{\mu} \neq 0$,

$$(x_-^\lambda \ln^r x_-) \circledast x_+^\mu = D_\lambda^r g_{\lambda,\mu}(x),$$

i.e. (11) holds for $s = 0$.

Assume that $(x_-^\lambda \ln^r x_-) \circledast (x_+^\mu \ln^{s-1} x_+)$ exists and

$$(x_-^\lambda \ln^r x_-) \circledast (x_+^\mu \ln^{s-1} x_+) = D_\lambda^r D_\mu^{s-1} g_{\lambda,\mu}(x) \quad (29)$$

for some $s \in \mathbb{N}_0$. Since the neutrix convolution products in (28) and (29) exist, it follows that also $(x_-^\lambda \ln^r x_-) \circledast (x_+^\mu \ln^s x_+)$ exists and

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\mu}(x_-^\lambda \ln^r x_-) \circledast (x_+^\mu \ln^s x_+) &= \\ &= (x_-^\lambda \ln^r x_-) \circledast [\bar{\mu}x_+^\mu \ln^s x_+ + sx_+^\mu \ln^{s-1} x_+] - s(x_-^\lambda \ln^r x_-) \circledast (x_+^\mu \ln^{s-1} x_+) = \\ &= D_\lambda^r D_\mu^s [(\lambda + \mu + 2)f_{\lambda,\mu}(x)] - sD_\lambda^r D_\mu^{s-1} g_{\lambda,\mu}(x) = \bar{\mu}D_\lambda^r D_\mu^s g_{\lambda,\mu}(x). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\bar{\mu} \neq 0$, it follows by induction with respect to s that our assertion holds for $(\lambda, \mu) \in X_{k+1}$ and this completes the proof of Part II.

Part III: the general case.

Denote

$$Y_i := \{(\lambda, \mu) : \lambda > -i, \lambda \notin \mathbf{N}_{i-1}^-, \mu \notin -\mathbf{N}; \lambda + \mu \notin \mathbf{Z}\}.$$

We have to prove the assertion of the theorem for $(\lambda, \mu) \in Y_i$ and all $i \in \mathbf{N}$.

Evidently, the assertion is true for $(\lambda, \mu) \in Y_1$, due to Part II. Assume that the assertion holds for all pairs in Y_k , $k \in \mathbf{N}$ and let $(\lambda, \mu) \in Y_{k+1}$. Clearly, $(\bar{\lambda}, \mu) \in X_k$ and $\bar{\lambda} \neq 0$, where $\bar{\lambda} := \lambda + 1$.

Since the convolution product $(x_-^{\lambda+1} \ln^r x_-)_n * (x_+^\mu \ln^s x_+)$ exists in the sense of Definition 1 for $n \in \mathbf{N}$, equations (2) can be used. Given an arbitrary $\phi \in \mathcal{D}$ (let the support of ϕ be contained in the interval $[a, b]$), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle [(x_-^{\bar{\lambda}} \ln^r x_-)_n * (x_+^\mu \ln^s x_+)]', \phi(x) \rangle &= -\langle (x_-^{\bar{\lambda}} \ln^r x_-)_n * (x_+^\mu \ln^s x_+), \phi'(x) \rangle = \\ &= \langle \{(\bar{\lambda})(x_-^{\bar{\lambda}} \ln^r x_- + r(x_-^{\bar{\lambda}} \ln^{r-1} x_-)]_n * (x_+^\mu \ln^s x_+), \phi(x) \rangle + \\ &+ \langle [x_-^{\bar{\lambda}} \ln^r x_- \tau_n'(x)] * (x_+^\mu \ln^s x_+), \phi(x) \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

The support of $\tau_n'(x)$ is contained in the interval $[-n - n^{-n}, -n]$. Therefore, for $n > -a$,

$$\langle (x_-^{\bar{\lambda}} \ln^r x_- \tau_n'(x)) * (x_+^\mu \ln^s x_+), \phi(x) \rangle = \int_a^b \phi(x) I_n(x) dx, \quad (31)$$

where

$$I_n(x) := \int_{-n-n^{-n}}^{-n} (-y)^{\bar{\lambda}} \ln^r(-y) \tau_n'(y) (x-y)^\mu \ln^s(x-y) dy dx,$$

with the functions $(-y)^{\bar{\lambda}} \ln^r(-y)$ and $(x-y)^\mu \ln^s(x-y)$ integrable on the domain of integration. Integration by parts yields

$$I_n(x) = h_n(x) + \int_{-n-n^{-n}}^{-n} [(-y)^{\bar{\lambda}} \ln^r(-y) (x-y)^\mu \ln^s(x-y)]' \tau_n(y) dy, \quad (32)$$

where

$$h_n(x) := n^{\bar{\lambda}} \ln^r n (x+n)^\mu \ln^s(x+n).$$

Choosing a positive integer p greater than $\lambda + \mu$, we can put

$$h_n(x) = n^{\lambda+\mu+1} \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \sum_{j=0}^s \frac{a_{ij} x^i \ln^j n}{n^i} + O(n^{\lambda+\mu+1-p} \ln^{r+s} n).$$

Since $\lambda + \mu$ is not an integer, we conclude that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h_n(x) = 0. \quad (33)$$

It is easily seen that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{-n-n^{-n}}^{-n} [(-y)^{\bar{\lambda}} \ln^r(-y) (x-y)^\mu \ln^s(x-y)]' \tau_n(y) dy = 0 \quad (34)$$

and thus, by (31), (32), (33) and (34),

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle (x_-^\lambda \ln^r x_- \tau'_n(x)) * (x_+^\mu \ln^s x_+), \phi(x) \rangle = 0. \quad (35)$$

Now, using (30), (35), the induction hypothesis and the fact that $\bar{\lambda} \neq 0$, it can be proved by induction with respect to r that the assertion of the theorem is true for $(\lambda, \mu) \in Y_{k+1}$, in much the same way as in Part II (the role of μ in Part II is now played by λ).

By induction, the assertion in the general case follows. \square

Corollary. *The neutrix convolution product $(x_+^\lambda \ln^r x_+) \circledast (x_-^\mu \ln^s x_-)$ exists and*

$$\begin{aligned} (x_+^\lambda \ln^r x_+) \circledast (x_-^\mu \ln^s x_-) &= \\ &= D_\lambda^r D_\mu^s [B(-\lambda - \mu - 1, \mu + 1) x_+^{\lambda+\mu+1} + B(-\lambda - \mu - 1, \lambda + 1) x_-^{\lambda+\mu+1}] \end{aligned}$$

for $r, s \in \mathbf{N}_0$ and $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbf{R} \setminus (-\mathbf{N})$ such that $\lambda + \mu \notin \mathbf{Z}$.

Proof. The assertion of the corollary follows immediately by the second part of Theorem 2, i.e. on replacing x by $-x$ in equation (11). \square

The distributions $|x|^\lambda \ln^r |x|$ and $\operatorname{sgn} x \cdot |x|^\lambda \ln^r |x|$ are defined by

$$|x|^\lambda \ln^r |x| = x_+^\lambda \ln^r x_+ + x_-^\lambda \ln^r x_-, \quad \operatorname{sgn} x \cdot |x|^\lambda \ln^r |x| = x_+^\lambda \ln^r x_+ - x_-^\lambda \ln^r x_-.$$

We finally note that since the convolution products $(x_+^\lambda \ln^r x_+) * (x_+^\mu \ln^s x_+)$ and $(x_-^\lambda \ln^r x_-) * (x_-^\mu \ln^s x_-)$ exist by Definition 1 and since the neutrix convolution product is clearly distributive with respect to addition, it follows that further neutrix convolution products such as

$$\begin{aligned} (x_-^\lambda \ln^r x_-) \circledast (|x|^\mu \ln^s |x|), \quad (x_+^\lambda \ln^r x_+) \circledast (|x|^\mu \ln^s |x|), \\ (x_-^\lambda \ln^r x_-) \circledast (\operatorname{sgn} x \cdot |x|^\mu \ln^s |x|), \quad (|x|^\lambda \ln^r |x|) \circledast (x_-^\mu \ln^s x_-) \end{aligned}$$

exist for $r, s \in \mathbf{N}_0$, $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbf{R} \setminus (-\mathbf{N})$ with $\lambda + \mu \notin \mathbf{Z}$.

References

- [1] J.G. van der Corput, *Introduction to the neutrix calculus*, J. Analyse Math. **7** (1959-60), 291-398.
- [2] B. Fisher, *Neutrices and the convolution of distributions*, Univ. u Novom Sadu Zb. Rad. Prirod.-Mat. Fak. Ser. Mat. **17** (1987), 119-135.
- [3] B. Fisher, *A result on the neutrix convolution product of distributions*, Publ. Math. Debrecen **37** (1990), 267-271.
- [4] B. Fisher, *On the neutrix convolution product $x^* \circledast x_+^\lambda$* , in: Generalized Functions and Convergence (ed.: P. Antosik, A. Kamiński), World Scientific, Singapore 1990, 105-116.
- [5] B. Fisher, *The neutrix convolution product $x_-^\lambda \circledast x_+^\mu$* , Dem. Math. **25** (1992), 525-532.
- [6] B. Fisher, A. Kamiński, *The neutrix convolution product $\ln x_- \circledast x_+^r$* , Proc. of the Steklov Institute (in print).
- [7] I.M. Gel'fand and G.E. Shilov, *Generalized Functions, Vol. I*, Academic Press 1964.
- [8] E. Özçağ, B. Fisher, *On defining the distribution $x_+^{-r} \ln^s x_+$* , Rostock. Math. Kolloq. **42** (1990), 25-30.
- [9] E. Özçağ and B. Fisher, *On partial derivatives of the beta function*, Rostock. Math. Kolloq. **45** (1991), 43-56.

Recenzent: Władysław Kierat

B. Fisher and A. Kiliçman
 Department of Mathematics
 University of Leicester
 Leicester, LE1 7RH, England

A. Kamiński
 Instytut Matematyki
 Politechnika Śląska
 ul. Kaszubska 23
 44-100 Gliwice

Streszczenie

Splot $f * g$ dystrybucji Schwartza $f, g \in \mathcal{D}'$ definiuje się wzorem

$$\langle f * g, \phi \rangle = \langle f \tau_n * g, \phi \rangle,$$

postulując, by granica istniała w przestrzeni \mathcal{D}' dla dowolnych funkcji $\phi \in \mathcal{D}$ oraz ciągów (τ_n) elementów przestrzeni \mathcal{D} aproksymujących funkcję 1 i należących do określonej klasy.

Splot dystrybucji f i g , oznaczany symbolem $f \circledast g$, rozumiany jest w tej pracy w ogólniejszym sensie, bowiem zakłada się, że granica po prawej stronie powyższej równości istnieje w sensie neutriksu (van der Corput [1]), wyznaczonego przez przestrzeń liniową funkcji zaniedbywalnych $f: \mathbf{N} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$, generowaną przez wszystkie funkcje f zbieżne do 0 oraz funkcje f postaci:

$$n^\lambda \ln^{r-1} n, \ln^r n \quad (\lambda > 0, r \in \mathbf{N}).$$

Dowodni się, że splot $f \circledast g$ istnieje w sensie neutriksu dla dystrybucji $f(x) = x_-^\lambda \ln^r x_-$ i $g(x) = x_+^\mu \ln^s x_+$ oraz zachodzi równość:

$$f \circledast g = D_\lambda^r D_\mu^s [B(-\lambda - \mu - 1, \mu + 1) x_-^{\lambda+\mu+1} + B(-\lambda - \mu - 1, \lambda + 1) x_+^{\lambda+\mu+1}]$$

dla $r, s \in \{0\} \cup \mathbf{N}$ oraz $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbf{R} \setminus (-\mathbf{N})$, takich że $\lambda + \mu \notin \mathbf{Z}$, gdzie

$$D_\lambda^r = \frac{\partial^r}{\partial \lambda^r}, \quad D_\mu^s = \frac{\partial^s}{\partial \mu^s},$$

a B oznacza funkcję beta Eulera.

Stąd wynika także istnienie następujących splotów:

$$\begin{aligned} & (x_+^\lambda \ln^r x_+) \circledast (x_-^\mu \ln^s x_-); \quad (x_-^\lambda \ln^r x_-) \circledast (|x|^\mu \ln^s |x|) \\ & (x_+^\lambda \ln^r x_+) \circledast (|x|^\mu \ln^s |x|); \quad (x_-^\lambda \ln^r x_-) \circledast (\operatorname{sgn} x \cdot |x|^\mu \ln^s |x|); \\ & (|x|^\lambda \ln^r |x|) \circledast (x_-^\mu \ln^s x_-) \end{aligned}$$

przy tych samych warunkach na r, s, λ, μ , co poprzednio.