

Andrzej CHYDZIŃSKI

ERGODITY OF PARTICULAR OSCILLATING PROCESS

Summary. The paper deals with the time-continuous, non-Markov, oscillating process. We are interested in the existing conditions and the form of its ergodic distribution.

ERGODYCZNOŚĆ PEWNEGO PROCESU OSCYLUJĄCEGO

Streszczenie. Tematem pracy jest proces oscylujący z ciągłym czasem. Proces nie jest markowowski. Interesują nas warunki istnienia oraz postać jego rozkładu ergodycznego.

Let $\{\alpha_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{\kappa_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ be two sequences of random variables. Assume that all these variables be independent. Variables α_i have the same distribution V_1 . Variables κ_i are non-negative and have the same distribution W_1 . Let us create stochastic process $\xi_1(t), t \geq 0$, as follows:

$$\xi_1(t) = - \sum_{i=1}^{\vartheta_1(t)} \alpha_i, \quad \vartheta_1(t) = \max\{n \geq 0 : \sum_{i=1}^n \kappa_i \leq t\}, \quad \left(\sum_1^0 = 0\right).$$

Denote:

$$\tau_1(y) = \inf\{t : \xi_1(t) < -y\}, \quad \gamma_1(y) = -\xi_1(\tau_1(y)) - y, \quad y \geq 0.$$

Process $\xi_2(t)$ is defined in similar way. We have another two sequences of random variables $\{\beta_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ i $\{\lambda_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$. Assume that all mentioned variables are independent, β_i have the same distribution V_2 , λ_i are non-negative and have the same distribution W_2 . Similarly for $t \geq 0$:

$$\xi_2(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{\vartheta_2(t)} \beta_i, \quad \vartheta_2(t) = \max\{n \geq 0 : \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i \leq t\}.$$

$$\tau_2(x) = \inf\{t : \xi_2(t) > x\}, \quad \gamma_2(x) = \xi_2(\tau_2(x)) - x, \quad x \geq 0.$$

Our main purpose is to check limit peculiarities of process $\zeta_z(t)$, $z \geq 0$, defined as follows:

$$\zeta_z(t) = \begin{cases} \xi_1(t) + z & \text{for } 0 \leq t < \tau_1(z), \\ \xi_2(t - \tau_1(z)) - \gamma_1(z) & \text{for } \tau_1(z) \leq t < \tau_1(z) + \tau_2(\gamma_1(z)), \\ \zeta_{\gamma_2(\gamma_1(z))}(t - \tau_1(z) - \tau_2(\gamma_1(z))) & \text{for } \tau_1(z) + \tau_2(\gamma_1(z)) \leq t. \end{cases}$$

For $z < 0$ the definition is analogous.

In articles [1, 4, 6] their authors have dealt with oscillating random walk with one switching point. The article [5] deals with more complicated problem – random walk with two switching points. The time-continuous process when switching takes place with one stochastic process with independent increments to another has been described in [2]. In this article we consider the time-continuous process in which switching takes place between two non-Markov processes. The switching point is zero. General idea of examination of the processes of this kind has been taken from [2], but specific character of this process has caused important changes. Here are main theorem.

Theorem 1. *If positive parts of the distributions V_1 and V_2 have non-zero absolutely continuous components and:*

$$0 < E(\alpha_i), \quad 0 < E(\beta_i), \quad E(\kappa_i) < \infty, \quad E(\lambda_i) < \infty,$$

$$E(\alpha_i^3) < \infty, \quad E(\beta_i^3) < \infty,$$

then for every x there exists a limit:

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} P(\zeta_z(t) < x)$$

and it does not depend on z .

Proof of this theorem will be conducted in two stages. First, the existence of this limit will be proven with weaker assumptions and for less complex version of the process $\zeta_z(t)$. Let variables α_i and β_i be non-negative with distributions F_1 and F_2 . Then the Theorem 2 is true.

Theorem 2. *If distributions F_1 and F_2 have non-zero absolutely continuous components and:*

$$E(\alpha_i^2) < \infty, \quad E(\beta_i^2) < \infty, \quad E(\kappa_i) < \infty, \quad E(\lambda_i) < \infty,$$

then for every x there exists a limit:

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} P(\zeta_z(t) < x)$$

and it does not depend on z .

Proof of theorems. Theorem 1 will be the corollary from the Theorem 2, which will be proven. Firstly let us make some considerations and prove some lemmas. Let B be the Borel set from $(0, \infty)$. For non-negative y denote $B_y = \{x : x = u + y, u \in B\}$. Let us find distribution $\gamma_1(y)$. We can write equation:

$$P\{\gamma_1(y) \in B\} = F_1(B_y) + \int_0^y P\{\gamma_1(y-u) \in B\} dF_1(u).$$

This renewal equation has solution as follows:

$$P\{\gamma_1(y) \in B\} = F_1(B_y) + \int_0^y F_1(B_{y-u})dH_1(u),$$

where $H_1 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} F_1^{n*}$, $F_1^{1*} = F_1$, $F_1^{(n+1)*} = F_1 * F_1^{n*}$. Similarly:

$$P\{\gamma_2(x) \in B\} = F_2(B_x) + \int_0^x F_2(B_{x-u})dH_2(u),$$

Function under last integrate has finite total variation. Distribution F_2 has finite average which we denote m_2 , and absolutely continuous component causes that distribution is not lattice. From main renewal theorem we have:

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \infty} P\{\gamma_2(x) \in B\} = \frac{1}{m_2} \int_0^{\infty} F_2(B_u)du.$$

Denote this limit by $\varphi(B)$. Function $\varphi(B)$ is Borel measure on $(0, \infty)$ and $\varphi((0, \infty)) = 1$.

Lemma 1. *If non-negative distribution F_2 has absolutely continuous component then for every fixed $0 < \epsilon < 1$ we can find k such that for every positive x and every Borel set A from $(0, \infty)$:*

$$\varphi(A) \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2^k} \implies P\{\gamma_2(x) \in A\} \leq 1 - \frac{\epsilon}{2^k}.$$

Proof of Lemma 1. For convenience we will be using γ, F, H instead of γ_2, F_2, H_2 . Assume that for certain ϵ exists sequences x_k, A^k such that:

$$\varphi(A^k) \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2^k} \quad i \quad P\{\gamma_2(x_k) \in A^k\} > 1 - \frac{\epsilon}{2^k}.$$

We can establish that x_k has a limit (perhaps ∞). Denote $B^n = \bigcup_{k=n}^{\infty} A^k$, $B = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} B^n$. The following relation is true:

$$\varphi(B^n) \leq \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \varphi(A^k) \leq \epsilon \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2^k} = \frac{\epsilon}{2^{n-1}},$$

thus:

$$\varphi(B^n) \longrightarrow 0, \quad \varphi(B) = 0.$$

Next for $n \leq k$ we have:

$$P\{\gamma(x_k) \in B^n\} \geq P\{\gamma(x_k) \in A^k\} > 1 - \frac{\epsilon}{2^k},$$

so for every n $P\{\gamma(x_k) \in B^n\} \longrightarrow 1$ with $k \rightarrow \infty$.

There are two possibilities: $x_k \rightarrow \infty$ or $x_k \rightarrow x_0$. In the case when $x_k \rightarrow \infty$ we see that $\varphi(B^n) = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} P\{\gamma(x_k) \in B^n\} = 1$. It is in conflict with $\varphi(B^n) \rightarrow 0$.

And now $x_k \rightarrow x_0$. Let us write F in form sum of components (absolutely continuous, discrete, singular) $F = F_c + F_d + F_o$. Denote by $P_c\{\gamma(x) \in A\}$ this part of distribution $P\{\gamma(x) \in A\}$ which comes into existence only due to component F_c , i.e.:

$$P_c\{\gamma(x) \in A\} = F_c(A_x) + \int_0^x F_c(A_{x-u}) dH_c(u).$$

H_c is renewal function for F_c . Function $P_c\{\gamma(x) \in A\}$ is continuous with respect to x , moreover $P_c\{\gamma(x) \in A\} \leq P\{\gamma(x) \in A\}$ and $P_c\{\gamma(x) \in R_+\} = a > 0$. Due to B^n being decreasing we have two possibilities mutually excluding. First one is that for any m $P_c\{\gamma(x_0) \in R_+ \setminus B^m\} = b > 0$. Hence with $k \rightarrow \infty$:

$$P\{\gamma(x_k) \in R_+ \setminus B^m\} \geq P_c\{\gamma(x_k) \in R_+ \setminus B^m\} \longrightarrow b.$$

It is in conflict with $P\{\gamma(x_k) \in R_+ \setminus B^m\} \longrightarrow 0$. The second possibility is that $P\{\gamma(x_0) \in R_+ \setminus B^n\} = 0$ for every n . We thus infer that $P\{\gamma(x_0) \in B\} = a$ and next that set B has positive Lebesgue measure. But $\varphi(B) = 0$ implicates $\varphi_c(B) = 0$ where:

$$\varphi_c(B) = \frac{1}{m_c} \int_0^\infty F_c(A_u) du.$$

Hence we obtain that B has Lebesgue measure zero. It is impossible. ■

Lemma 2. *Under conditions from Theorem 2:*

$$\sup_{u \geq 0} \int_0^\infty z P\{\gamma(u) \in dz\} < \infty.$$

Proof of Lemma 2. Denote $\widehat{H}(t) = H(t) + 1, H(t) \geq 0$. Let us fix u and make calculations:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty z P\{\gamma(u) \in dz\} &= \int_0^\infty P\{\gamma(u) \geq z\} dz = \\ &= \int_0^\infty \int_0^u (1 - F(z + u - t)) d\widehat{H}(t) dz = \int_0^u \int_{u-t}^\infty (1 - F(z)) dz d(\widehat{H}(t) - \widehat{H}(u)) = \\ &= (\widehat{H}(t) - \widehat{H}(u)) \int_{u-t}^\infty (1 - F(z)) dz \Big|_0^u - \int_0^u (\widehat{H}(t) - \widehat{H}(u)) (1 - F(u - t)) dt = \\ &= H(u) \int_u^\infty (1 - F(z)) dz - \int_0^u \widehat{H}(u - t) - \widehat{H}(u) (1 - F(t)) dt = \\ &= \frac{H(u)}{u} u \int_u^\infty (1 - F(z)) dz + \int_0^u (\widehat{H}(u) - \widehat{H}(u - t)) (1 - F(t)) dt. \end{aligned}$$

As you know $\frac{H(u)}{u}$ is bounded. Next $u \int_u^\infty (1 - F(z)) dz \leq \int_u^\infty z(1 - F(z)) dz \leq \int_0^\infty z(1 - F(z)) dz$ which is finite. And lastly from main renewal theorem $\widehat{H}(u) - \widehat{H}(u - t) \leq at + b$ and it implicates that last integrate is finite. ■

Denote by $\nu_0 = 0, \nu_1, \nu_2, \dots$ the moments when process $\zeta_z(t)$ comes back on positive half-plane. Let us create Markov chain $\chi_n = \zeta_z(\nu_n)$.

Lemma 3. *Markov chain χ_n is ergodic and for its stationary measure π_+ the true is that:*

$$\int_0^\infty z \pi_+ \{dz\} < \infty.$$

Proof of Lemma 3. Let us write formula for conditional distribution for chain χ_n :

$$P\{\chi_{i+1} \in B | \chi_i = x\} = \int_0^\infty P\{\gamma_1(x) \in du\} P\{\gamma_2(u) \in B\} \equiv Q(x, B).$$

Function Q complies with Doeblin condition when the measure occurring in this condition is φ which was denoted earlier. The true is:

$$Q(x, B) = \int_0^\infty P\{\gamma_1 \in du\} P\{\gamma_2(u) \in B\} \leq 1 - \frac{\epsilon}{2^k} = \delta$$

for certain natural k and every $\epsilon \in (0; 1)$ if $\varphi(B) \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2^k}$. We yet have to prove the existence of the average. For stationary distribution we have $\pi_+(A) = \int_0^\infty Q(u, A) \pi_+ \{du\}$ hence, in accordance with Lemma 2:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^\infty z \pi_+ \{dz\} &= \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty \int_0^\infty z P\{\gamma_2(\nu) \in dz\} P\{\gamma_1(u) \in d\nu\} \pi_+ \{du\} \leq \\ &\leq \sup_{\nu \geq 0} \int_0^\infty z P\{\gamma_2(\nu) \in dz\} \leq \infty. \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Theorem 2. Let us create the process η_z as follows: $\eta_z(t) = \zeta_z(\nu_i)$, $\nu_i \leq t < \nu_{i+1}$. The process η_z is a semi-Markovian one with imbedded Markov chain χ_i . Denote by $Q(t, x, B)$ transition function of this process. Let be $Q_1(t, x, B) = Q(t, x, B)$ and:

$$Q_{n+1}(t, x, B) = \int_0^t \int_0^\infty Q(ds, x, dy) Q_n(t-s, y, B), \quad n \geq 1,$$

$$R(ds, z, dy) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} Q_n(ds, z, dy).$$

Assume also that $x \geq 0$. Then:

$$P\{\zeta_z(t) \geq x\} = P\{\xi_1(t) + z \geq x\} + \int_0^t \int_0^{\infty} R(ds, z, dy) P\{\xi_1(t-s) + y \geq x\}.$$

We will use now more general version of the main renewal theorem (see [7]):

Theorem 3. *If transition function $Q(t, x, B)$ complies with the three following conditions:*

- 1) *Function $Q(x, B) = Q(\infty, x, B)$ complies with Doeblin condition,*
- 2) *$m_+ = \int_0^{\infty} x \pi_+ \{dx\} < \infty$ where π_+ is stationary distribution for $Q(x, B)$,*
- 3) *$Q(t, x, B)$ is not lattice,*

then for any non-negative function $g(t, y), t \geq 0, y \geq 0$, immediately integrated in Riemann sense with respect to t for any y such that $\int_0^{\infty} \pi_+ \{dy\} \int_0^{\infty} g(t, y) dt < \infty$, true is:

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^t \int_0^{\infty} R(ds, z, dy) g(t-s, y) = \frac{1}{m_+} \int_0^{\infty} \pi_+ \{dy\} \int_0^{\infty} g(t, y) dt.$$

Proof. We will prove, that Theorem 3 implicates Theorem 2. Three conditions, which are mentioned earlier, are complied. It is a consequence of assumptions and considerations made above. We will prove that function $P\{\xi_1(t) + y \geq x\}$ is immediately integrated in Riemann sense. This function is decreasing, thus to be ordinary integrated is sufficient. We have:

$$\int_0^{\infty} P\{\xi_1(t) + y \geq x\} dt \leq \int_0^{\infty} P\{\xi_1(t) + y \geq 0\} dt \leq \int_0^{\infty} P\{\tau_1(y) \geq t\} dt =$$

$$= E(\tau_1(y)) = E(\kappa_i)(H_1(y) + 1) \leq cy + d,$$

what arises from Wald identity and properties of renewal function. This and Lemma 3 implicates also that:

$$\frac{1}{m_+} \int_0^\infty \pi_+\{dy\} \int_0^\infty P\{\xi_1(t) + y \geq x\} dt < \infty.$$

All of conditions of Theorem 3 are complied. Then, because of $P\{\xi_1(t) + z \geq x\} \rightarrow 0$ with $t \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain:

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} P\{\zeta_z(t) \geq x\} = \frac{1}{m_+} \int_0^\infty \pi_+\{dy\} \int_0^\infty P\{\xi_1(t) + y \geq x\} dt.$$

Theorem 2 for non-negative x is proven. We can prove existence of the limit for negative x in the same way by taking Markov chain in points where process comes back to negative half-plane. ■

Proof of Theorem 1. We will assimilate process $\zeta_z(t)$ to less complex version which was consider in Theorem 2. For that purpose we will use ladder random variables. For random walk generated by $\{\alpha_i\}$ first ladder height we denote by A_1 , and first ladder index by I_1 . Ladder height number k can be pictured in form $A_1 + \dots + A_k$ independent random variables with distribution like A_1 . Ladder index number k can be pictured in form $I_1 + \dots + I_k$ independent random variables with distribution like I_1 . For random walk generated by $\{\beta_i\}$ we denote in similar way B_i and J_i . Denote $K_1 = \sum_{m=1}^{I_1} \kappa_m$, $K_2 = \sum_{m=I_1+1}^{I_1+J_2} \kappa_m$, etc. $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. Similarly: $\Lambda_1 = \sum_{m=1}^{J_1} \lambda_m$, $\Lambda_2 = \sum_{m=J_1+1}^{J_1+J_2} \lambda_m$, etc. In our situation sequences A_i, K_i, B_i, Λ_i are the sequences of independent random variables with the same distributions which we denote by F_1, G_1, F_2, G_2 . Moreover true is $E(A_i^2) < \infty$, $E(B_i^2) < \infty$, what arises from existence of the third moments for α_i, β_i , and $E(K_i) < \infty$,

$E(\Lambda_i) < \infty$. It is clear that distributions F_1, F_2 have absolutely continuous components. Therefore in identical way we introduce Markov chain and prove Lemmas 1, 2, 3. Similarly we introduce process $\eta_z(t)$, transition function $Q(t, x, B)$. Here for $x \geq 0$ we have:

$$P\{\zeta_z(t) \geq x\} = P\{\xi_1(t) + z \geq x, \min_{u < t} \xi_1(u) + z \geq 0\} + \\ + \int_0^t \int_0^\infty R(ds, z, dy) P\{\xi_1(t-s) + y \geq x, \min_{u < t-s} \xi_1(u) + y \geq 0\}.$$

As has been done before we use Theorem 3. All three conditions, mentioned there, are complied. Similarly:

$$\int_0^\infty P\{\xi_1(t) + y \geq x, \min_{u < t} \xi_1(u) + y \geq 0\} dt \leq \int_0^\infty P\{\tau_1(y) \geq t\} dt = \\ = E(\tau_1(y)) = E(K_i)(H_1(y) + 1) \leq cy + d, \\ \frac{1}{m_+} \int_0^\infty \pi_+\{dy\} \int_0^\infty P\{\xi_1(t) + y \geq x, \min_{u < t} \xi_1(u) + y \geq 0\} dt < \infty,$$

and function $P\{\xi_1(t) + y \geq x, \min_{u < t} \xi_1(u) + y \geq 0\}$ is immediately integrated in Riemann sense. Thus:

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} P\{\zeta_z(t) \geq x\} = \\ = \frac{1}{m_+} \int_0^\infty \pi_+\{dy\} \int_0^\infty P\{\xi_1(t) + y \geq x, \min_{u < t} \xi_1(u) + y \geq 0\} dt.$$

We finish the proof for negative x like for the less complex process. ■

References

1. А. А. Боровков, *Предельные распределения осциллирующего случайного блуждания*, Теор. Вероятностей и её Применения **25** (1980), 663-665.
2. N. S. Bratijchuk, D. V. Gusak, *Ergodic distribution of an oscillating process with independent increments*, Ukrain. Math. J. **38** (1986), 465-471.
3. W. Feller, *Wstęp do rachunku prawdopodobieństwa*, tom 2, PWN, Warszawa 1969.
4. J. H. Kemperman, *The oscillating random walk*, Stochastic Proc. Appl. **2** (1974), 1-29.
5. V. I. Lotov, *On oscillating random walks*, Sib. Math. J. **37** (1996), 764-774.
6. Б. А. Рогозин, С. Г. Фосс, *Возвратность осциллирующего случайного блуждания*, Теор. Вероятностей и её Применения **23** (1978), 161-168.
7. В. М. Шуренков, *К теории марковского восстановления*, Теор. Вероятностей и её Применения **29** (1984), 248-263.

Andrzej Chydziański

Institute of Mathematics

Silesian Technical University

Kaszubska 23

44-100 Gliwice

Streszczenie

Błądzeniem przypadkowym nazywamy ciąg postaci $\zeta_n = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_n$, gdzie $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots\}$ jest ciągiem niezależnych zmiennych losowych o jednakowym rozkładzie. Błądzenie przypadkowe jest łańcuchem Markowa o dobrze poznanych własnościach. W [1, 4, 6] był rozpatrywany nowy rodzaj błądzenia przypadkowego, w którym rozkład skoku w chwili n zależy od znaku ζ_n . Mamy wtedy $\zeta_{n+1} = \zeta_n + \alpha_i$, jeżeli $\zeta_n > 0$, oraz $\zeta_{n+1} = \zeta_n + \beta_j$, jeżeli $\zeta_n \leq 0$, gdzie $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots\}$, $\{\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots\}$ są niezależnymi ciągami niezależnych zmiennych losowych o jednakowym rozkładzie w każdym ciągu. Taki rodzaj błądzenia nazywamy oscylującym błądzeniem przypadkowym. W tym artykule wprowadzamy analog z ciągłym czasem oscylującego błądzenia przypadkowego. Skoki procesu $\zeta(t)$ mają miejsce w momentach odnowy t_1, t_2, \dots , gdy $\zeta(t)$ jest w dodatniej półpłaszczyźnie, lub w momentach odnowy s_1, s_2, \dots , gdy $\zeta(t)$ jest w ujemnej półpłaszczyźnie. Procesy odnowy t_1, t_2, \dots , s_1, s_2, \dots są generowane przez dwa ciągi nieujemnych niezależnych zmiennych losowych $\{\kappa_i\}$, $\{\lambda_i\}$. W artykule znajdziemy warunki wystarczające do ergodyczności procesu $\zeta(t)$ oraz wzór określający jego rozkład ergodyczny.