

Danuta JAMA, Robert CZECH, Barbara JAMA, Michał SZOPA,
Roman WITUŁA

*Institute of Mathematics
Silesian University of Technology*

ON THE STABILITY OF DISCRETE-CONTINUOUS SYSTEMS

Summary. A stability estimation is established for mixed discrete-continuous system with parabolic operator. One theorem is proved with the help of generalization maximum principle.

STABILNOŚĆ UKŁADÓW DYSKRETNOCIĄGŁYCH

Streszczenie. W artykule badana jest stabilność dyskretnociągłego układu ze sprzężeniem brzegowym, wykorzystuje się uogólnioną zasadę maksimum. Otrzymane zostają warunki stabilności w sensie Lapunova-Mowczana.

We consider the system in the form:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial u(t, x)}{\partial t} = & \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(t, x) \frac{\partial^2 u(t, x)}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} + \sum_{i=1}^n b_i(t, x) \frac{\partial u(t, x)}{\partial x_i} + \\ & + c(t, x) u(t, x) + g(t, x, u(t, x)) \quad (1) \end{aligned}$$

for $(t, x) \in \Omega$,

$$u(0, x) = \varphi_0(x) = \eta(x) \cdot |\rho(0)| \quad \text{for } x \in D, \quad (2)$$

$$u(t, x) = \eta(x) \cdot |\rho(t)| \quad \text{for } (t, x) \in \Gamma, \quad (3)$$

$$\frac{d\xi(t)}{dt} = f(t, \xi(t)) + \int_D k(x) \frac{\partial u(t, x)}{\partial t} dx \quad \text{for } t \geq 0, \quad (4)$$

where: D – bounded, open, connected subset n -dimensional Euclidean space \mathbb{R}^n , $T < \infty$, $\Omega = \langle 0, T \rangle \times D$, $\Gamma = \langle 0, T \rangle \times \partial D$ – side boundary of cylinder Ω , ∂D – boundary, G – down base of the cylinder Ω , $\eta(x)$, $\xi(t)$, $f(t)$, $k(x)$, $a_{ij}(t, x)$, $b_i(t, x)$, $c(t, x)$, $g(t, x, u(t, x))$, $u(t, x)$, $\varphi_0(x)$ – scalar functions. By the norms $\|\cdot\|$ for the scalar functions we have understood the maximum from the module of these functions for $(t, x) \in \Omega$.

Theorem 1. If

a) $\bigwedge_{\substack{(t, x) \in \Omega \\ x \in D}} a_{ij}(t, x), b_i(t, x), g(t, x, u(t, x)), \varphi_0(x), k(x)$ are bounded and $c(t, x) < 0$, $g(t, x, u(t, x)) \geq 0$,

b) $\bigwedge_{t \geq 0} |f(t, \xi(t))| \leq \alpha(t) |\xi(t)|$, and $\int_0^\infty \alpha(t) dt < d < \infty$,

c) $\bigwedge_{x \in D} d_1 \leq \eta(x) \leq d_2$, d_1, d_2 – some positive constants,

d) $\max_{x \in D} |k(x)| \max_{x \in D} |\eta(x)| \cdot |D| < 1$,

then the solution of the system (1)-(4) is stable in the Lapunov sense.

Proof. Under the assumptions of the theorem the generalized maximum principle is true. The function $u(x, t)$ attains the maximum

A) on the down base of the cylinder – G and then

$$\max_{(t, x) \in \Omega} |u(t, x)| \leq \max_{x \in \overline{D}} |\varphi_0(x)|, \quad (5)$$

or

B) on the side boundary of the cylinder – Γ and then

$$\max_{(t, x) \in \Omega} |u(t, x)| \leq \max_{\substack{x \in \overline{D} \\ t \geq 0}} |\eta(x)| |\xi(t)|. \quad (6)$$

Let A) be true, then inequality (5) is fulfilled. Integrating in the interval $\langle 0, T \rangle$ and taking the norm of both sides we have under the assumption b) the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} |\xi(t)| &\leq |\varphi_0(x)| + \int_0^t \alpha(\tau) |\xi(\tau)| d\tau + \\ &+ \int_D |k(t)| |u(t, x)| dx + \int_D |k(t)| |\varphi_0(x)| dx, \quad (7) \end{aligned}$$

so

$$|\xi(t)| \leq |\varphi_0(x)| + 2 \max_{x \in \bar{D}} |k(t)| \max_{x \in \bar{D}} |\varphi_0(x)| \cdot |D| + \int_0^t \alpha(\tau) |\xi(\tau)| d\tau \quad (8)$$

with (8) and from Gronwall-Belmann inequality we have

$$|\xi(t)| \leq \left[|\xi(0)| + 2 \max_{x \in \bar{D}} |k(t)| \max_{x \in \bar{D}} |\varphi_0(x)| \cdot |D| \right] \exp \int_0^t \alpha(\tau) d\tau, \quad (9)$$

so that from (9) we have

$$\|\xi(\tau)\| \leq \max |\varphi_0(x)| \left[\frac{1}{d_1} + 2 \max_{x \in D} |k(x)| \cdot |D| \right] d, \quad (10)$$

putting

$$m = \left[\frac{1}{d_1} + 2 \max_{x \in D} |k(x)| \cdot |D| \right] d, \quad (11)$$

we have

$$\|\xi(\tau)\| \leq m \|\varphi_0(x)\|. \quad (12)$$

Taking the norm $\|(u|\xi)\|$ in the form

$$\|(u|\xi)\| = \|u(t, x)\| + \|\xi(t)\|, \quad (13)$$

where: $\|u(t, x)\| = \max_{(t, x) \in \Omega} |u(t, x)|$, $\|\xi(t)\| = \max_{t \in \langle 0, T \rangle} |\xi(t)|$ from inequality (5) we have

$$\|(u|\xi)\| \leq \|\varphi_0(x)\| + m \|\varphi_0(x)\| \quad (14)$$

where: $\|\varphi_0(x)\| = \max_{x \in D} |\varphi_0(x)|$ Putting $\bar{m} = 1 + m$ we have

$$\|(u|\xi)\| \leq \bar{m} \|\varphi_0(x)\|, \quad (15)$$

and we have Theorem if A) is fulfilled.

If B) is fulfilled that is if inequality (6) is fulfilled analogically as in A) we may get inequality in the form

$$\begin{aligned} |\xi(t)| \leq |\varphi_0(x)| + \int_0^t \alpha(\tau) |\xi(\tau)| d\tau + \max_{x \in \bar{D}} |k(x)| \max_{x \in \bar{D}} |\eta(x)| |\xi(t)| \cdot |D| + \\ + \max_{x \in \bar{D}} |k(x)| \max_{x \in \bar{D}} |\varphi_0(x)| \cdot |D|, \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} |\xi(t)| \left[1 - \max_{x \in \bar{D}} |k(x)| \max_{x \in \bar{D}} |\eta(x)| \cdot |D| \right] \leq \\ \leq |\xi(0)| + \max_{x \in \bar{D}} |k(x)| \max_{x \in \bar{D}} |\varphi_0(x)| \cdot |D| + \int_0^t \alpha(\tau) |\xi(\tau)| d\tau. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

Under the assumption d) we have

$$1 - \max_{x \in \bar{D}} |k(x)| \max_{x \in \bar{D}} |\eta(x)| \cdot |D| = C > 0. \quad (18)$$

And putting $C_1 = \frac{1}{C}$ we get

$$|\xi(t)| \leq C_1 \left[|\xi(0)| + \max_{x \in \bar{D}} |k(x)| \max_{x \in \bar{D}} |\varphi_0(x)| \cdot |D| \right] + C_1 \int_0^t \alpha(\tau) |\xi(\tau)| d\tau. \quad (19)$$

From Gronwall-Bellman inequality we have

$$|\xi(t)| \leq C_1 \left[|\xi(0)| + \max_{x \in \bar{D}} |k(x)| \max_{x \in \bar{D}} |\varphi_0(x)| \cdot |D| \right] \exp C_1 \int_0^t \alpha(\tau) d\tau, \quad (20)$$

and from b) there exists a constant M such that

$$\exp C_1 \int_0^t \alpha(\tau) d\tau < M. \quad (21)$$

Putting $C_1 \cdot M = C_2$ we have

$$|\xi(t)| \leq C_2 \left[|\xi(0)| + \max_{x \in \bar{D}} |k(x)| \max_{x \in \bar{D}} |\varphi_0(x)| \cdot |D| \right]. \quad (22)$$

From (22) and assumptions of our Theorem we have

$$\|\xi(t)\| \leq C_2 \max_{x \in D} |\varphi_0(x)| \left[\frac{1}{d_1} + \max_{x \in D} |k(x)| \cdot |D| \right], \quad (23)$$

putting

$$m_1 = C_2 \left[\frac{1}{d_1} + \max_{x \in D} |k(x)| \cdot |D| \right], \quad (24)$$

we have

$$\|\xi(t)\| \leq m_1 \|\varphi_0(x)\|. \quad (25)$$

From inequalities (6), (25) putting $m_2 = m_1 d_2$ we have

$$\|u(t, x)\| \leq m_2 \|\varphi_0(x)\|. \quad (26)$$

Using the norm given by (13), from inequalities (25), (26) we have

$$\|(u|\xi)\| \leq \bar{m} \|\varphi_0(x)\| \text{ for } \bar{m} = (m_1 + m_2), \quad (27)$$

so the proof in the case B) is ended. \square

References

1. Ames W.F.: *Non-linear partial differential equations*. Academic Press, New York 1967.
2. Bellman R.: *The Boundedness of solutions of infinite systems of linear differential equations*. Duke Math. J. **14** (1947), 695–706.
3. Bhatic N.P., Szego G.P.: *Dynamical systems stability theory and applications*. Lecture Notes in Math. **35**, Springer, New York 1967.
4. Jama D., Nawrat A.: *Application of modernization the Gronwall-Bellman lemma and its application to differential equations with delay*. Abstracts of Invited Lectures Short Communications Delivered at The Ninth International Colloquium on Differential equations, Plovdiv, Bulgaria, August, 18–23, 1998, pp. 78.
5. Jama D.: *Generalization of maximum principle*. Zesz. Nauk. Pol. Śl. Mat.-Fiz. **92** (2010), 13–19.

Streszczenie

W pracy zbadana została stabilność dyskretno-ciąglego układu ze sprzężeniem brzegowym w postaci:

$$\frac{\partial u(t, x)}{\partial t} = \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(t, x) \frac{\partial^2 u(t, x)}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} + \sum_{i=1}^n b_i(t, x) \frac{\partial u(t, x)}{\partial x_i} + \\ + c(t, x) u(t, x) + g(t, x, u(t, x))$$

dla $(t, x) \in \Omega$,

$$u(0, x) = \varphi_0(x) = \eta(x) \cdot |\rho(0)| \quad \text{dla } x \in D, \\ u(t, x) = \eta(x) \cdot |\rho(t)| \quad \text{dla } (t, x) \in \Gamma,$$

$$\frac{d\xi(t)}{dt} = f(t, \xi(t)) + \int_D k(x) \frac{\partial u(t, x)}{\partial t} dx \quad \text{dla } t \geq 0,$$

gdzie: D – ograniczony, otwarty, spójny podzbiorów n -wymiarowej przestrzeni Euklidesowej \mathbb{R}^n ; $T < \infty$ $\Omega = \langle 0, T \rangle \times D$, $\Gamma = \langle 0, T \rangle \times \partial D$ – boczna powierzchnia walca Ω ; ∂D – brzeg obszaru D ; G – dolna podstawa walca Ω ; $\eta(x)$, $\xi(t)$, $f(t)$, $k(x)$, $a_{ij}(t, x)$, $b_i(t, x)$, $c(t, x)$, $g(t, x, u(t, x))$, $u(t, x)$, $\varphi_0(x)$ – funkcje skalarne. Wykorzystując uogólnioną zasadę maksimum z pracy [5], otrzymuje się warunki stabilności w sensie Lapunowa-Mowczana.